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Preface

6

For more than a century, during the enormous expansion of the United States education system, a recurring image of an ideal teacher gives testimony to a consistent aspiration. John Dewey expressed the goal clearly in 1904, calling for teachers to possess a firm foundation in fundamental disciplines of knowledge balanced with competent skill in the art of pedagogy. Periodic examination of the nation's schools, however, has repeatedly failed to find persuasive evidence of this ideal in actual practice. As a result, public confidence in schools and teachers has been equivocal.

With the publication of A Nation at Risk (1983) concern about the effectiveness of public education and the quality of its teachers was again brought into focus for the general public. The ensuing discourse, producing hundreds of articles and monographs, studies in all fifty states, and countless conferences, has confirmed that there is no simple solution. This intense period of analysis has, nonetheless, brought many individuals and groups into constructive dialogue about the quality of schools and teaching.

The mriad reports of recent years clearly and consistently indicate that teachers must be better prepared than ever before to address the complex tasks they face. It also is clear that the modern preparation of teachers requires much - , re than the efforts of any single university faculty. The task is beyond the faculties in schools of education, and all faculties in the university, especially the faculties of arts and sciences, must participate in the reform. The redesign of the teacher education curriculum must ground pedagogy in the arts and sciences and promote the study of discipline-based pedagogy throughout the academy'. Further, joint efforts of cooperating faculties can demonstrate convincingly to the public that universities have accepted major responsibility for teacher education and thus for the quality of our schools.

## Objective

Project 30 is a national initiative of 30 representative institutions of higher education to redesign the way that prospective teachers are educated at the nation's colleges and universities. What makes the project unusual is the full engagement of faculties of arts and sciences with faculties in education in joint action for fuidamental reform.

Project 30 is designed to achieve better educated, better prepared teachers through collaborative curriculum redesign. In developing this project, we decided to avoid dependence on any formulaic curriculum model. Ticre are many ways that the preparation of prospective teachers may be restructured with greater emphasis on the traditional arts and sciences. Rather than proceeding from a particular idea about curriculum, we chose to stress functional objectives.

The primary objective of Project 30 is to begin to implement a redesign of teacher education that will (a) increase the competence and authority of teachers, (b) provide for the substantive and imaginative development of the intellect of
the nation's school pupils, and (c) strengthen the profession of teaching. We believe that a fuller integration of reformed liberal arts and education curricula will achieve the objective. How to strengthen foundations in the arts and sciences, and to improve articulation between those areas and pedagogical study, is best determined by each campus. By focusing on the functional objectives, each university faculty can design programs in their own settings that are likely to produce the targeted outcome.

## Themes

Project 30 has identified five themes that are important to clarifying the intellectual underpimings of teacher education and to the development of the teaching profession. These serve as the functional objectives that are the substance of the reform we advocate. The issues and problems embedded within the themes are well beyond the expertise of either the arts and science faculty or the education faculty to solve alone. Through joint consideration of these themes faculties can work productively toward effective and durable curriculum redesign. The five themes are:

1) Subject matter understanding. We agree that education programs for prospective teachers that are long on pedagogy but short on subject matter knowledge cannot properly prepare teachers to develop the intellectual resources of the nation's children. Teachers must be well-grounded in the academic areas they teach. However, students who earn good grades in their arts and sciences courses may still be unable to answer their pupil's questions with either the clarity or the integrity that the discipline requires. The typical course in the academic major may not yield the kind of understanding the prospective teacher needs to have. Therefore, courses and major programs in arts and sciences must be redesigned to insure greater conceptual subject matter understanding and more penetrating comprehension of the interrelationships among disciplines.
2) General and liberal education. Teachers ought to be respected as well-educated persons. Indeed, it is the teacher"s command of general knowledge, and the teacher's display of quality of mind associated with liberal learning, that can effectively entitle the teacher to be called professional. The conversion of an activity from an occupation to a profession often requires that a large body of information and skills be acquired even though it cannot be shown that this acquisition enables the person to practice the profession better. The person's authority to practice rests on the demonstrated acquisition of these traits. In short, in order to behave like what the public expects from a professional, the teacher needs a broad store of basic knowledge and a lively mode of intellectual inquiry, even though the teacher may never specifically be charged with teaching these to anyone.
3) Pedagogical content knowledgr. There is a kind of knowledge, indispensable to teaching, that is qualitatively different from the knowledge that is contained in
the subject matter disciplines, but cannot exist in the discipline of education without the prior study of an academic discipline. As an example, the teacher in the elementary school may teach subtraction with any of a half dozen algorithms that yield correct answers and make sense mathematically. How is the teacher to decide which algorithm to teach? The discipline of mathematics gives no guidance on this question, but the discipline of education can only be useful to the teacher who is well-grounded in this aspect of mathematics. Similarly, physics has nothing to say to the teacher about whether hydraulics is a good metaphor for electricity. The teacher cannot decide whether to use the metaphor without first consulting both the disciplines of education and physics. Pedagogical content knowledge is an amalgan forged of deep structural understanding of content and equally rich knowledge of pedagogy and its complexities. It is the basis upon which the teacher builds a "representational repertoire" of content. The repertoire is built through the invention of multiple metaphors and analogies that allow the content to be taught. Teaching, then, is the art of constructing a bridge between the content knowledge possessed by the teacher and the pre-existing implicit understanding brought to the situation by the learner. The representational repertoire consists of the conceptual knowledge to build many bridges and the developed judgement to select which ones to construct.
4) Intemational, cultural, and other human perspectives. For all persons, but especially for prospective teachers, the college curriculum must be accurate with respect to recent scholarship on matters of race. gender, ethnicity and cultural perspective. One sure anchor for the study of cultural diversity is the core value of the academy, namely the pursuit of truth. The likelihood of success in this purusit. for truth yielding its secrets, increases significantly when multiple perspectives are brought to bear, and themselves scrutinized, in the search. As the global economy has become increasingly interdependent, the United States has made little progress in freeing itself from its historical sense of isolation from the rest of the world. Americans can participate effectively in the international arena by learning other languages and comprehending other cultures. To address this challenge forthrightly, prospective teachers should have primary knowledge of other nations, languages, and cultures.
5) Increasing representation of under-represented groups in teaching. The population of minority students in public schools has grown, and continues to grow, while the proportion of minority teachers continues to decline. If present trends continue, we will approach a crisis in which the proportion of minority teachers (about $5 \%$ in the year 2000) will differ sc severely from the proportion of minority pupils (about $40 \%$ in the year 2000) that the effectiveness of education will be compromised for all students. The recruitment and retention of minority teachers is a topic that must be taken up by a wider group than the education facults. Ways of attracting more minority teachers and retaining their services and views must be discovered and incorporated into teacher education programs. The profession
of teaching will also benefit from the recruitment of other under-represc nted groups. These include men, and talented students of all kinds.

## Project strategies

Project 30 s participating colleges and universities, whose reports comprise this anthology of our accomplishments to date, are a representative cross-section of all four-year institutions in the United States that prepare teachers for certification: they are large and small, public and private, urban and rural, and include many that enroll large numbers of minority students. Individual institutions are devising models and plans that work best in their own settings. The project's implementation strategy relies upon effective and imaginative faculty who have a record of success in their home institutions. Each campus is different and has its own strengths and internal dynamics that govern how well academic programs are delivered.

In selecting the faculty teams to participate in this project we focused on those faculty in arts and sciences and in education with established reputations as faculty leaders in educational policy. We sought persons who during their careers on campus have often served in curricular leadership roles and who have tangible records of success in advocating and delivering new courses and programs. Participating teams from each institution have been charged by the project with forging a new plan for learning, one that works in the special circumstances of their distinct academic environment. By emphasizing the role of local leaders and stressing functional outcomes we have tried to create powerful conditions for genuine change of lasting duration.

Two national conferences of the 30 participating schools have been held in addition to two meetings of the team leaders and two meetings of the National Advisory Committec. Initially the teams met at the Woodlands (Texas) in October 1988. This conference addressed the overall goals of Project 30, and, hrough a series of seminars, engaged the teams in extended exploration of the five themes. Within Project 30 these themes have come to be called "conversations," in recognition of the dialogue taking place between faculty in education and faculty in the arts and sciences. In one of the schools, the term, project 30, bec ne. a syonym for other collaborative projects between different facth. - in, "Oh, that's a project 30 type thing").

During the first conference, teams also spent time formulating the acting plans they would be initiating on their home campuses. Six months after this conference cach team submitted a written report on the status of its curriculum redesign. The distintive chatacter of these imnovations is summarized in our Year One Report: The Reform of Teacher Education for the 21st Century.

The second national conference was held in December 1989 in Monterey, California. Seminars, presentations, and plenary sessions were devoted to exploring implications of the five themes. Teams reported on their respective
progress in curriculum redesign, as well as reflecting further on insights they had gained regarding the five themes. Summary reports of each team's initiatives and curriculum redesign efforts were submitted in July 1990. These reports are the basis of this anthology.

As the final phase of implementation for Project 30, a national organization has been formed, open to all who plan to work within and continue the Project 30 agenda. In addition to this anthology, the project co-directors are extending the themes of Project 30 in a book about the reform agenda and the need for national action.

## The National Advisory Committee

At the outset of the project, a national advisory committee was established to review policy and oversee the coordination of the project. Stanley Katz, President of the American Council of Learned Societies, serves as cl air. Other members of the committee include: John Goodlad, University of Washington's Director of the Center for Educational Renewal; Patricia Graham, Dean of Harvard Liniversity's Graduate School of Education; Barbara Hatton, Deputy Director of the Ford Foundation's Education and Culture Program; James Kelly, Jr., President of National Board for Professional Teaching Standards; Eugene Cota-Robles, Assistant Vice President of the L'niversiey of California at Berkeley's Office of Academic Affairs; Lee Shulman, Professor of Education at Stanford University; Ron Wolk, President of Editorial Projects in Education; and Donald Stewart. President of The College Board. The presidents of CCAS and AACTE and the chair of ACAD serve in an ex-officio capacity on the Advisory Board.

## Cooperating Organizations

From the beginning three professional organizations supported the idea for a collaborative project on the connections between the liberal arts and education.

The American Association of Colleges of Teacher Education (AACTE). The AACTE is a national, voluntary organization of colleges and miversities that prepare the nation's teachers. Member institutions include small liberal arts colleges, state universities, and large rescarch institutions. Combined, they graduate more than four-fifths of new school personnel each ycar.

American Conference of Academic Deans (ACAD). Affiliated with the Association of American Colleges, ACAD is a national organization composed of more than 350 academic administrators from some 275 four-year liberal arts institutions.

Council of Colleges of Arts and Sciences (CCAS). The CCAS is the national association of deans of colleges of arts and sciences. The CCAS serves as a forum for the exchange of ideas and infommation among deans of arts and sciences and as a representative of the liberal arts at a national policy-making level.

Finally, the generous support of Carnegie Corporation of New York enabled the project to go forward and eventually to become self-sustaining. Also the Johnson Foundation made its Wingspread Center available for a meeting of the team leaders for a review and analysis of the Year One Report.
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## Section One

Education Program Reform in Method and Content

## Chapter I

## Initicting: Dialoogue:

A number of Project 30 teams found that the first step in educational reform at their institutions was the initiation of dialogue between faculty from different disciplines and departments within the institution. This was done in a number of ways, from formally structured retreats and symposia, to simple, more informal gatherings.

## Pembroke State University

Perhaps one of the most exciting and encouraging activities resulting from Pembroke State L'niversity's involvement in Project 30 was a retreat held in May 1990. The two-dar retreat involved the chief academic officers of the miversity and faculty from the Departments of Education, Art, Psychology, American Indian Studies. Communicative Arts, History, Health, Physical Education and Recreation, and the Graduate Studies Office.

Initial reactions from faculty to the instation to participate in the retreat were overwhelmingly positive. Those invited graciously agreed to give of their own time to attend (school was not in session). As time for the retreat grew closer several individuals expressed some skepticism about the retreat but agreed to honor their commitment to attend.

Away from phones and other distractions at a facility specifically designed to accommodate retreats, faculty and administrators engaged in lengthy, uninterrupted conversations on issues and topics of particular importance to the teacher education programs at Pembroke.

Before the retreat, participants received appropriate background reading materials. The evening they arrived at the center, a meeting to orient participants to the specific goals of the retreat was held. At this time, each participant received additional information to facilitate discussion. Time was provided for retreat participants to interact informally the first evening so that faculty and administrators would have a chance to become acquainted with one another outside of the university setting.

The next moming, several whole-group activities were utilized to further set the stage for discussion. Participants viewed a slide presentation on the demographics of the 21 st century. Produced by a group of high school students and available through Phi Delta Kappa, the slides sividly portray what teachers face in the years to come. Participants were then asked to determine how they would present a particular lesson to a group of students. The infommation they were asked to teach was carefully selected to ensure that none of the participants would have presented it before so that the exercise would allow them to think about the teaching and learning process. The final whole-group activity asked participants to rank several candidates for a teaching position. Discussion of the rankings helped identify which characteristics of effective teachers the group perceived as important.

Pembroke State Liniversit!

The remainder of the morning session was spent in small group discussions focusing on the characteristics of an effective teacher, the challenges facing teachers today, the skills, knowledge, concepts, and attitudes needed by teachers, and how Pembroke can best prepare future teachers.

The afternoon session began with reports to the entire group from each of the smaller morning discussion groups. The groups reached consensus on many ideas. Participants spent the greatest part of the afternoon in additional small group discussions. Each group was assigned different tasks and asked to prepare specific products to share with the entire group. These products included:

- specific suggestions to strengthen the professional education sequence;
- a draft of a revised mission statement for teacher education at Pembroke State Lniversity in line with the revised Cniversity Mission statement and reflecting the participants' conception of a teacher:
- specific suggestions for minority recruitment; and
- specific suggestions for program improvement based on feedback from survevs of graduates and their employers and the standardized test performance of students.
The following day, each of the small groups presented its ideas to the entire group for discussion and reaction. The numerous helpful strategies, suggestions, and ideas that were generated will be presented to the Teacher Education Committee when school resumes in the fall.

Was the retreat successfulः Feedback from all participants was extremely positive and even those that initially had reservations have asked for follow-up sessions and meetings next year. Greater understanding across disciplines and strengthened bonds between education and liberal arts faculty are already apparent. The only regret is that the retreat was not held at the onset of the project.

In the fall, follow-up meetings will be conducted for retreat participants to further strengthen the bonds between education and liberal arts faculty and to pursue conversations begun at the retreat. Invitations to participate in these conversations will be extended to education and liberal arts faculty who did not participate in the retreat.

## Indiana State University

Indiana State Cniversity found a number of ways for faculty from different schools and departments to communicate with one another. Linder the leadership of one Project 30 team member, who chairs the Department of Secondary Education, methods teachers in the College of Arts and Sciences, the School of Business, the School of Education, the School of Health, Physical Education, and Recreation, and the School of Technology came together in the spring of 1989 for an all-day retreat to attempt to work througl, in a coherent way some of the issues related to methods teaching. A very different vehicle for bringing the arts and
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sciences and education faculties together to discuss common goals was a pair of wine and cheese gatherings held at one-year intervals at a private club off campus. The first was devoted to the exploration of Lee Shulman's notion of pedagogical content knowledge and the second to content-specific examples of effective pedagogical practice. The events drew fifty and thirty-five factilty, respectively, and provided an effective context for both the formal and informal sharing of ideas and the meeting of colleagues across school/college lines. For jumior faculty in particular, the events allowed contacts to be formed that might otherwise not have taken place.

The team also sponsored two faculte symposia, held in a large meeting room in the School of Education. The first. devoted to "Engaging Students Actively in University Classrooms," featured speakers from the Deparment of History, the School of Education, and the School of Business and drew approximately 100 attendees in the spring of 1989. A year later, the second symposium drew a likesized audience and featured faculty from the Department of Communications, the School of Education, and the School of Nursing addressing the same subject.

The value of the team members' meeting frequently with one another, various university groups, and certain administrators cannot be overstated. What had been occasional and often formal relationships among team members became, in the course of Prcject 30, regular, enjoyable confabs between close colleagues. Arts and sciences and education farulty and administrators weren't just meeting to plan events that would improve inter-unit relationships; they were improving those interrelationships through their very contact.

The deans of the two units, who, for the most part, did not play very direct roles in Project 30, had developed an excellent working relationship before the Project began. Project 30 allowed the associate deans who represented their units in Project 30 to develop a close working relationship as well. The value of that relationship, independent of events of the last several months, is considerable, but even greater value has been attached to the relationship since the Dean of the School of Education throughout the Project 30 period resigned to assume the Vice Presidency at the U'niversity of South Dakota, and the associate dean has succeeded him as Acting Dean. Project 30 has, in other words, ended up playing an important role in paving the way for what both units hope will be a continuing period of cooperation between Arts and Sciences and Education.

## Millersville University

Millersville University's Forum Series, intended to explore the links between liberal arts and education, was a six-lecture series intiated in March of 1989 and continuing to April 1990. Topics were related to themes of teaching excellence and to the integration of liberal arts and education. The speakers were split equally among scholars whose primary training was in education and those whose training was in liberal arts. All the Form Series speakers were persons who trave

Millersville University
themselves, in their lives and work, integrated liberal arts knowledge and background with educational careers and activities.

Forum Series programs included: Frank Murray, Dean of the College of Education at the University of Delaware, and Alan Tom, then Professor of Education at W'ashington University, discussing "Educational Reform and Teacher Preparation"; Albert Shanker, President of the American Federation of Teachers, discussing "Teaching as a Profession"; Florence Howe, former President of the Modern Language Association and publisher of The Feminist Press, discussing "The Role of the Teacher in Student's Lives and Lea"ning"; Stephen Jay Gould, Alexander Agassiz Professor of Zoology, Harrard Cniversity, discussing "Boundaries: A Taxonomist Looks at Arts and Sciences"; Patricia Hill Collins, Associate Professor of Afro-American Studies at the University of Cincinnati, discussing "The Multi-Cultural Context of Teaching"; and Lee Shulman, Professor of Education, Stanford University, discussing "What Teachers Know/How Teachers Think."

Each lecture was set up to model the kind of cross-disciplinary conversation Millersville seeks to encourage. Each guest speaker was introduced by a member of the Millersville faculty who was not of his or her discipline. For example, Drs. Murray and Tom were introduced by Dr. Pat Hill, a member of the Millersville University Chemistry faculty. At the end of the featured discussion, Dr. Hill responded with comments and questions from her point of view, not as an education specialist but as a scientist.

The lecture series was generally well attended and successful in that the stature of the speakers lent credibility to Millersville's efforts, and in that it clearly ciemonstrated that issues related to teaching were of interest to arts and sciences scholars. It was also successful in that Millerstille attempted to coordinate Forum Series events with other activities and other departments on campus. Al Shanker's and Lee Shulman's lectures were coordinated with the Anna Funk Lockey Lectureship in Education. Dr. Collins' lecture on the "Multi-Cultural Context of Teaching" was coordinated with Black History Month activities at Millersville. Florence Howe was accorded Scholar-in-Residence status and was sponsored jointly with the Department of English and the Commission on the Status of Women. Again, these were efforts to model appropriate interaction between education and arts and sciences faculty. However, while the Forum Series was successful in enabling virtually all faculty to "see themselves" in relation to issues to teaching, it does not seem that the lecture series actually changed the way faculty thought about teaching, nor did it encourage cross-cultural conversation. The follow-up "metaphor" discussions may have been more successful in achieving that goal.

During the week following each Fortm Series lecture, faculty were invited to participate in small group discussions devoted to developing metaphors for the aspect of education or teacher education addressed in the lecture. These were one-hour discussions, and faculty were divided into groups of 8 to 10 for purposes
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oí discussion. The number of faculty participating ranged from as few as eight after one lecture to as many as forty-five after another.

The six separate discussion assignments included developing metaphors to convey: (1) the relationship between general education, the academic major, and professional studies in a teacher's education; (2) the relative roles of the public school teacher and the college or university professor; (3) the good teacher's impact on the student; (4) the relationship between a field's modes of inquiry and its methods of teaching;(5) the differences in students' background and learning; and (6) the interaction of content and method in teaching.

An example of the kind of discussion that went on may help the reader to understand the value of these discussions in teasing out individual faculty members' assumptions about issues related to teaching and in focusing, as well, on the differences between education faculty and arts and sciences faculty in those assumptions. At one discussion, an education faculty member suggested that the relationship between general education, the academic major and professional education course work in a future teacher's education could be metaphorically described as a "hot air balloon." The basket, that in which you ride and which holds you up, is general education. The balloon itself is the academic major or subject matter. The hot air, the helium, which enables the balloon to rise is pedagogy or professional education. A scientist agreed that the metaphor might have value, but sharply disagreed about which parts of the balloon represented which parts of a teacher's education. She allowed that general education might be compared to the basket, but argued that pedagogy or professional education is the balloon, and the hot air which makes the balloon rise is the discipline, the acadenic major. While it $r$ ar seem fumny that arts and sciences and education faculty are arguing over which one of them is really the "hot air," it is important to note that this is precisely the issue that Lee Shulman (1990) and Jonas Soltis (1990) address in their recent reconsideration of the "foundations" of teacher education. The value of the metaphor discussions is that they allowed faculty to engage in important theoretical discussions without worrying about the appropriate academic jargon. The issues became clear metaphorically.

In general, the metaphor discussions seemed interesting and effective for faculty who participated. The difficulty was that not all faculty, or even as many as Millersville might have liked, participated.

There is some evidence that these kinds of discussions can go on effectively in much larger groups. Dr. Barbara Stengel had an opportunity to address a Pennsylvania State System Colloquium in the Spring of 1989 on the integration of liberal arts and professional education and used that opportunity to engage an audience of approximately 100 participants in a metaphor discussion. She gave the assignment, gave participants five minutes to think, and then asked them to share their thinking with a friend or neighbor. Subsequent discussion raged. Faculty in attendance at this colloquium became so involved in the task of talking
$\qquad$
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about their metaphors that they continued their discussion with Dr. Stengel and with other participants long after the session was completed.

## University of Texas at El Paso

The L'niversity of Texas at EI Paso's Project 30 goals were to promote teacher education as a university-wide responsibility and to promote quality preparation prograns. These goals grew out of some unfortunate faculty interactions that resulted from the passage of a state law reorganizing teacher education and from a historical lack of communication. A number of faculty retreats were held in which participants heard presentations on and discussed teacher education issues. Although the university's decision-making structure in teacher echication was tangibly changed, the results of the project were in many ways more process than product.

The late 1980 's was a time of dramatic and tramatic change in teacher education at the Lniversity of Texas at El Paso. In carly 1987, the Texas legislature passed a bill that placed an 18 -semester-hour cap on university professional preparation for teachers and mandated that would-be teachers major in an academic or interdisciplinary academic area The necessity to comply with that legislation led to the initiation of dialogue between education faculty and ants and science faculties. Partially because of time constraints and partially because of lack of mutual understandings, those discussions focused on pragmatic concerns (which courses and which degrees) rather than authentic issues of program and quality preparation. The discussions lacked recognition of teacher preparation as a campus-wide activity: instead focusing on turf concems.

University leadership saw Project 30 as an oppormmity on extend and improve the quality of earlier discussions by shifting the focus to programmatic and quality concerns and promoting mutual understandings about the importance and need for wide participation in teacher preparation. Thus, the goal of [.T. El Paso's Project 30 was o stimulate authentic ctiscussions about teacher education among faculty al! across the institution as well as to build a consensus on the nature of quality teacher education. The Project sought to develop a genume partnership across the Colleges of Education, Liberal Arts, and Sciences and the local schools.

The strategy selected by the Project Stecring Commitee was to broadly involve faculty and local practitioners in discussions of teacher preparation through welldesigned faculty retreats. The first of these was held March 17, 1989, at a local hotel conference center. Participants in this retreat included numerous leaders of the university community, prominent administrators, and respected school practitioners. Dr. Gary Fenstemacher, Dean of Education (University f Arizona) and president of AlCOTE. opened the day-Iong retteat with a presentation on the status of teadher preparation in public miversities: under that there he addressed themes of subject matter knowledge, professional knowledge and

## U'niversity of Texas at El Paso

pedagogical content knowledge. Participants then heard from local teachers, graduates of U.T. El Paso, who provided anecdotes critiquing the university's teacher preparation program. Finally, task groups were created, each charged with reflecting upon the information presented, examining the recently approved teacher preparation programs, and making recommendations for changes; these recommendations were presented to and discussed by the larger group.
Participants reported significant increases in their understanding of teacher education and a significant desire to meet on a regular basis to consider and discuss issues and developments in teacher preparation. The group also called for establishing some type of cross-collegiate governance group that could continue the dialogue and try o assert institution-wide leadership in teacher education.

In . .ovember of 1989 , a second retreat was held. A keynote address was given by the university President, who focused on the need for interdisciplinary study for teachers in arts and sciences courses. This also provided the opportunity for the newly appointed dean of Liberal Arts to conver his commitment to broad institutional responsibility for teacher education. In this retreat, task groups focused on specific top:cs (e g., pedagogical content knowledge) in order to make specific recommendations.

Athough the steering committee intended initially to follow up with action groups to flesh out specific curricular initiatives, it decided to be somewhat less aggressive and concentrate more on continuing to promote institutional dialogue on teacher education (i.e., open commmication) and broad consideraton (and perhaps consensus) on quality aspects of teacher preparation. Thus, the university plans to continue faculty retreats, at least annually, where participants will have an opportunity to consider and discuss novel and stimulating ideas on quality teacher practices and the preparation of teachers to demonstrate such practices.

The results of L'.T. Ei Paso`s Project 30 are in many ways more process than product. Unlike the atmosphere prior to Project 30 activties and planning, Gniversity faculty and local school practitioners were able to sit together, discuss points of riew calmly, and get excited about common ideas. While programmatic changes were not realized (it was decided that stability after wide changes was healthy in the short run). Project 30 activities have 'elped build the infrastructure for future developments-quality focused ideas, positive professional relationships across disparate disciplines, a desire for learning more about teacher education, and the acceptance of teacher preparation as a university-wide responsibility.

One very observable product was the establishment of the Teacher Education Council as a new element in the universitys decision-making structure. This group, representing the entire university and the practicing profession, considers all teacher education initiatives and proactively advocates positive practices in teacher preparation. It seems clear that the project goal of establishing teacher education as an institution-wide mission has occurred. The ongoing task of Project 30 is to maintain that commitment. With resources at both the collegiate and institutional level pledged to do this. Project 30 will live on.

## 10 <br> University of Texas at El Paso

If the plaming erred in any direction, it was in assuming that group processing in retreats could quickly come to concrete inituatives. The process was much slower than expected, but as the steering committee came to believe, an atmosphere of collegiality and consensus will lead to better quality decisions and developments later. The level of fatigue of the faculty, especially education faculty, after the lons, tough curricular discussions held earlier, had been misread and became an important factor as events proceeded; a strong, energetic education faculty is rital to any major shifts in teacher education, and the retreat process went a long way toward breathing new life into that group.

## University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Project 30 has provided faculty and administrators at Univคrsity of North Carolina at Chapel Hill with important opportunities to improve the university's capacity to educate future teachers-by educating themselves about the special skills and sensibilities our nation's increasingly multicultural classrooms require.

At a two-day workshop in May 1988, a group of faculty from several Arts and Sciences departments and the School of Education discovered, among other things, that

- Changing cumicula and course content will not be enough.

Faculty and students usually get tense when race and gender stereotyping are discussed in class. To address these issues effectivels, most faculty will need, first, to receive guidance in self-discovery. Faculty must learn how their own stereotypes developed, and how they operate in classrooms today-for they do operate insidiously the e, despite the widespread assumption that "we" have gotten beyond such limitations.

- Learning about ourselves in this zuay can effectively begin by discovering how the classroom affects non-majority students in our oum individual institutions. At Chapel Hill, this beginning inas been achieved through strikingly informative interviews the Office of Institutional Research undertook with successful minority students. To read the transcripts of these interviews is to awaken, in surprise, to ways in which details of language. presentation, and non-verbal cues can seem racist to non-majority students.
- This beginning is best followed by a aookshop, directed by a counselor who specializes in the cognitive development and functions of racism and sexism, in which faculty explore their oum conditioning and classroom experiences unith an interdisciplinary and interracial group of peers.
This process has allowed an energetic and diverse group of UNC-Chapel Hill faculty to get to know the problem, and each other, unusually well. The degree of camaraderie and commiunent developed at this workslop began automatically to produce energy and ideas for follow-up activities.
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Those follow-up activities include:

- Initiatives to educate the institution's top administrators, as well as other faculiy, about the complexity and importance of the problems and opportunities multicultural classrooms present.
These efforts include the writing and dissemination of grant proposals and other plans that will broaden opportunities for faculty to benefit from multicultural workshops.
- The provision of increasing numbers of course-drvelopment and course-modification grants designed to foster specific projects that the multiculturalism workshops generate.
Several workshop participants who teach courses that enroll large numbers of freshman have already received such grants through Dean Gillian Cell's office; Dean Cell expects to be able to provide still more such funding.
- The development, in means of such grants, of "foundation courses," courses available $t o$ freshmen that will address issues of racism, sexism, and related "isms." These courses will enroll (through special advising arrangements) significant numbers of non-majority students, and students (e.g., the North Carolina Teaching Fellows) who plan to earn degrees in education.
This project will be a top priority in this January's workshop for faculty who participated last May. Among other topics-suggested by the participantswill be broader efforts (1) to modify the undergraduate curriculum, (2) to mount efforts to hire more non-majority faculty, (3) to study the influence of the SAT and GRE examination on admissions of non-majority students, (4) to develop a continuous process of faculty-student interviews that will (among other assessment aims) evaluate the University's capacity to educate racially and ethnically diverse groups of students.

Since teacher education students at UNC-Chapel Hill spend their first two years in General College, a strong foundation for understanding cultural diversity was considered important by the Teacher Education faculty. It is believed that by developing such a foundation at the General College level, the professional education courses for teachers at the upper-division levels (junior and senior years) could be radically transformed. In addition, Project 30 enabled faculty from Teacher Education as well as from Arts and Sciences to engage in sharing concerns and ideas related not only to the mainline courses in general education but also to courses and experiences in Teacher Education. It is believed that such interactions by faculty from across the campus are critical if Teacher Education is to be a prominent part of the university community. Project 30, along with other efforts such as Teacher Education Through Partnership, has enhanced this goal.

## Chapter

## Team Formation

Once dialogue concerning teacher education reform has been initiated, a possible next step is the formation of teams for work on projects. Teams can be formed of faculty from different departments or schools and can even include teachers from area elementary and secondary schools. Whatever the persomel, teams can draw on the experience and abilities of their different members, and the result can be a real capacity for educational reform.

## Texas A\&M University

The paising of professors in the arts and sciences with professors in education was the goal of Texas A\&M's project. Initial conversations and an informational breakfast meeting, as well as less formal follow-up meetings led the Project 30 team to discover an interesting phenomenon. Although the faculty were concemed about the need for vital links between arts and sciences and education faculty, and interest in the same was heightened, links were not forthcoming. The Colleges of Liberal Arts. Science, Geosciences, and Education had been created as separate entities from a single structure about twenty-five years ago. With the growth from 10,000 to 40,000 students and the corresponding desire to develop a faculty with significant research activity, Texas A\&:M had reached a point where its faculty was infused with many younger faculty members, the vast majority of whom have been concemed with developing or enhancing their scholarly reputations and quite naturally are in that mode of operation. Thus, the time-consuming process of developing partnerships with faculty in other colleges was one that was not generally begun unless there was common scholarly ground.

The difficulty of convincing faculty to form links with professors from other disciplines led the Texas A\&:M team to alter its stance to one of nurturing partnerships that had already formed or were forming. This required some careful listening and following up when the team encountered ideas, problems, or projects connected with the teacher education issue.

The team discovered that a key to these parmerships is a central core of committed faculty from each discipline who can work together to share information gleaned from conversations in their home departments. The sharing of information leads to recognition of pairings that can then be suggested along the lines of, "Professor X in the Department of Y is interested in a very similar issue. I'll get the phone number for you and......" Once a partnership is formed, the professors involved must be made aware of any institutional support system for such efforts. Clearly the commitment of the institution is key for further progress.

As a result of the process mentioned above, four pairings were initiated. Two ended early when partners took positions at other universities. The other two occurred later in the project time frame and are ongoing. Although Texas A\&M was discouraged at the small number of pairings, they also realized that if one or
two pairings could produce significant results, then they could serve as examples to other faculty members of what can be accomplished.

In particular, Texas A\&M found that the formation of faculty teams from different schools within the university is an effective way of addressing the problems of science and mathematics education in Texas. They realized that any enhancement in these areas must deal with shortages of qualified teachers, low salaries, and outflow from the teaching ranks. In general, Texas A\&M's faculties are aware of these issues and understand that their main impact can be in affecting the qualifications of the teachers. Thus, the main collaborations that are now forming address this issue.

One Texas A\&M Project 30 effort addressing this concern was a collaboration of Dr. Peter Mclntyre, Professor of Physics, and Drs. Donna Wiseman and Carol Stuessy, Professors of Educational Curriculum and Instruction. The project has involved a radical overhaul of the course sequence in physics taken by students intending to teach elementary school science.

The climate for interaction developed when Dr. McIntyre discussed with Dr. Wiseman the course topics and expected student enrollment. The course (Physics 350) had been on the books for some time but the recent events in Texas brought it to the foreground. During the discussion it became evident that there was a potential for the Coilege of Education and the Physics Department to interact in the course development and presentation. At the same time, it was known that Dr. Stuessy, a science educator, was joining the faculty of the College of Education in the fall of 1989. Project 30 at Texas A\&:M provided funding for some aspects of the collaboration among Drs. McIntyre, Stuessy, and Wiseman.

Of the preservice teachers enrolled in the fall semester of Physics 350, ten were chosen to participate concurrently in a seminar designed to monitor their learning and understanding of physics and physics instruction. The methodologies for this seminar were developed by Drs. Stuessy and Wiseman. Dr. McIntyre also met with the students in small group situations to discuss educational philosophy and instructional techniques. The development of the seminar was carried out with an eye to an adaptation of the traditional methodology' and instructional techniques to enhance the learning of the preservice teachers in the course. The feedback gained from the students was valuable to the instructors in adapting the course for spring semester students.

The revised curriculum integrated a group of experiments that apply directly to the topical content being discussed. Experiments involving lasers and experiments about superconductivity are readily accessible and relate to topics of current interest and indeed everyday use (lasers and automatic grocery checkout, superconductivity and the SSC .). One early recognition was that an experimentally based curriculum must be chosen carefally to avoid superficiality. Therefore, the quantification of results and observations is critical in preparation to teach in a socicty that is crolving ever more to a highly technological state. In developing the analytical skills necessary to the quantification abilities of the sturents, a perhaps
foreseeable difficulty arose: the mathematical preparation of the students was generally poor. Mathemaxics is an aid in understanding physics, but only if one knows mathematics. Purely descriptive physics is difficult to understand because basic principles are not always easy' to defme from unsophisticated experiments. A teacher can heip his or tser students understand only if he or she has a good knowledge of underlying principles. Without mathematical ability or background, the teacher candidate will have great difficulty in understanding physics. Thus, the team is seeking a future collaboration to involve a facuity member of mathematics to solve the problem of underpreparation.

The future of team formations among faculties of arts and sciences and education is a positive onc. The faculties are now more aware of the need for collaboration, especially in the arena of preparation of elementary school teachers.

## Millersville University

At Millerstille University, faculty members from the arts and sciences collaborated with education faculty to create "pedagogy seminars" for teacher education students. The pedagogy seminar constitutes an exploration of a single question: how does the successful teacher transform expertise in subject matter into a form that students can comprehend: This ability, which has recently been characterized as "pedarogical content knowledge" (Shulman, 1986, 1987), is central to the educational process.

Teacher education students require opportunities to think about course content in this way, that is, to integrate content and method for teaching. The pedagogy seminar is structured to make this possible. Pedagogy seminars are onecredit seminars which accompany regular three- or four-credit arts and sciences courses. These optional seminars are team-taught by the arts and sciences faculty member, who offers the primary course, and a teacher education faculty member.

The purpose of the pedagogy seminar is to identify and analyze the teaching techniques employed by the primary course instructor and to encourage students to reflect on the process of their own learning, so that students will themselves be able to take course content and transform or translate it for another audience. Therefore, the focus of the seminar is the primary course content as it is taught and learned, rather than generic principles of pedagogy. In essence, the primary course to which the seminar is attached becomes a "case study" in pedagogical content knowledge, and the instructional team leads the seminar participants through the case. In the process, students not only analyze the teaching techniques employed by the primary course instructor, but also construct and create alternatives for teaching the same material to other audiences.

Pedagogy seminars are limited to 16 studenis so that they can truly be conducted as seminars, relying heavily on group interaction and discussion. These
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seminars are open to any students but are designed to attract teacher education students. Registration is strictly voluntars.

The pedagogy seminar concept was approved by the Project 30 tean in the Spring of 1989 and five seminars were offered on an experimental basis in Fall 1989. The seminars accompanied courses in Transformational Grammar, the American Presidency, Introduction to Statistics, Nutrition, and Introduction to Psychology. Six additional pedagogy seminars, accompanying courses in Introduction to Philosophy, Introduction to Film Studies, Origins and Evolution of the Earth, Introduction to Chemistry. The Sociologry of the Family, and The Language of Music, were offered during Spring 1990. Crants from the Pennsylvania Academy for the Advancement of Teaching and the State System of Higher Education Faculty Development Committee enabled the university to implement the seminars.

Both faculty participants and stuclents were enthusiastic about their paricipation in the seminar and the value of the seminars in developing future teachers and allowing faculty to communicate one with the other over issues related to teaching.

Student sumes reveal that over 90 percent of the students feel that they will be able to use what they are learning in the pedagogs seminar in their teaching careers and would recommend pedagogy seminars to other teacher education students. The students' required joumals demonstrate, in a substantive way, what they have learned about pedagogical content knowledge in that particular discipline. Faculty are being encouraged to use student journals and their own experiences as data in scholarly articles about the pedagogr seminars.

All of the faculty who participated have been intervewed extensively about the nature and value of their experience. Dr. Katherine Geen, a psychology faculty member, summed up, without prompting, Millersville's goals for the pedagog. seminars. When asked, "Would vou chatacteriae the seminar as successful or unsuccessfinl:" she replied:

In terms of me personally, it was successful on a mumber of levels. It was successful in that I began to think about education, which I had never thought about before. I began to have a closer relationship with a person (involved in teacher education), which was a change.

It uas the forst time I thought about issues in pedegog' and honestly, as much waning as I have had in observation, training in self-observation and therapy, I never thought, in teaching, about why I did what I did. So I fommed myself constantly thinking, why are you puting that on the board: There are all these other things to put on the board. why did von choose that to put that on the board: It was really quite amazing. The seminar was very satisfying on that level. It was successfal, I think, for Pent love, menentor, in that he would sometimes take notes on psyehologe just because he was interested in the topic and had not bee. "xposed to it for awhile. Ile learned some more psichology, which is at ays a blessing. I think he enjoyed working with me. Wer rijowed working with ean other, which was really positive.
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I actually think the students got a lot out of it, because they commented on things like: " $I$ 've never had a chance to see professors in this light before. where we just sat and talked about things, and that we could come up with questions and considerations. You would prompt us, but we could come up with our own ideas, and you would take us seriously and begin to talk about it." I think that very informal way of discussing things and getting them thinking about their own carcers in teaching, and seeing us as human and struggling about how to teach and communicate and how to be effective, is really important.

In this lengthy quotation, Dr. Green targets the areas of effectiveness in the pedagoge seminar that other faculty echoed and that were Millersville's goals for the seminar program. The semmars serve to allow teacher education students to think about content írom the point of view of a teacher. They provide a crosscultural conversation between arts and sciences and education faculty about issues related to toaching, establishing bonds that allow faculty to work together in teacher preparation across departmental ines. The pedagogy seminars also seem to serve as individual faculty development devices, enabling individuals to reflect on and, sometimes, to alter their own teaching practices. In general, the pedagogy: seminars appear to be contributing to the generation of a miversity context and culture that values excellence in teaching.

Because of the apparent success and simplicity of the pedagogy seminar as a curricular reform, Millerssille has pursued its implementation as a formal part of the Millersville L'niversity' curriculum. It has been approved by the appropriate curriculum committees and by the Liniversity Faculty Senate and is "on the books." Millerswille contimes to se Vadditional external funding to run the pedagoge seminar program on an expanded, yet still experimental, basis. They wish to do so in order to determine not whether the pedagoge seminars are of value, but how, how many, in what disciplines, and with what courses, pedagogs. seminars might be required for teacher cducation students. This involves thinking, as well, about the relationship of pedagogy seminars to present teacher education course requirements, especially methods conses. In addition. contimuing the pedagogy seminars will also extend wide-ranging cross-campus discussion about the nature and structure of the wery best teacher education program that can be provided at Millerssille Liniversity.

All of these lessons have been incorporated inte the development of a pedagogy seminar advisory committee created by the Faculty Senate. The advisons committee consists of one member from each academic division and semes in an advisory capacity to the pedagogy seminar coordinator, who has responsibility for presenting the proposect pedagogy seminar offerings to the Edncational Foundations Department for approval. Broad-based participation in the detemination of those who will participate in the pedagege seminar program is one more example of cross-cultural conversation. For Millerswille, the concrete legacy of Project 30 is their pedagegy seminar.

## State University of New York at Buffalo

The State Lniversity of New York at Buffaio used collaboration among faculty, graduate students and undergraduaie students, in a team-teaching effort in large courses, as a means of examining pedagogical content knowledge issues. These courses, with enrollments of 100 to 300 students, are taught in a lecture/recitation format. The lectures are given by a professor. The recitations are taught by graduate assistants with the help of undergraduate assistants. The team meets weekly to critique the previous week's instructional strategies and pedagogical decisions and to plan for the following week.

This model had been uilized for several years prior to the initiation of Project 30 in an evolutionary biology course taught by Dr. Clyde Herreid, a Distinguished Teaching Professor in Biology. With the advent of Project 30 at UB, the activity was expanded and enhanced in three ways: (1) the model was replicated in a world civilizations course, (2) education faculty became involved in the weekly pedagogical seminars, and (3) the potential value of the model for teacher preparation was explicitly recognized.

The world civilizations effort, directed by Dr. Orville Murply of the History Department, grew directly from Project 30. Discussions in the Project 30 committee had underscored the need for preparing secondary school teachers to teach Nery York State's new global studies curriculum. Professor Murphy, the coordi:ator for L'B's new undergraduate course in world civilizations and a strong believer in the apprenticeship method of training teachers, saw in the Herreid model an opportunity to use the world civilizations course as a vehicle for preparing global studies teachers in both content and pedagogy. He also shared with Professor Herreid the belief that the undergraduate assistant format could generate interest among students in teaching as a profession.

The responsibilities of the undergraduate assistants are to attend the course lectures; help in constructing, monitoring and grading examinations; help plan recitation classes; and provide feedback on the quality and effectiveness of the instruction. The insights of the mendergraduate assistants (How is the course going: Was a particular topic too difficult: Were the recitations effective?) have proved to be particularly valuable. In the words of a graduate assistant:

As for the undergraduate TAs, their contributions were also considerable. Their intimate understanding of the needs, attitudes, and weaknesses of today's students provided valuable feedback and insights into experimental approaches to learning and instruction ate mpted by the graduate TAs, which succeeded or failed, and why. The interest and efforts they put into the monthly quizes resulted in fair and challenging test material that forced the students to keep up with the assigned reading and helped them to recognine its significance. The quiz questions they produced were also original. They, therefore, demanded an honest effort from every student
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because their input prevented anyone from resorting to standard multiple choice and true/false questions that, unfortumately, circulate within the student community. Last but not least, the team teaching/learning concept promoted a running dialogue that enabled all of us to view the tasks at hand from a number of perspectives. This enabled us to come up with answers to problems that if tackled individually would invariably have lacked the same degree of insight and thoughtful consideration that they received. We are certain that more improvements can be made, but we are equally certain that we are already on the right track.


Similarly, the experience proved valuable for the undergraduate assistants, one of whom reported:

Initially, my first response [to the question of what was leamed] would be that I have learned a great deal about how to give and grade quizaes. Granted, this is important to know, but when pressed I begin to see that there has been so much more that I will continue to draw on in the years to come. Primarily, this includes a lot of experience with which I can now decide whether I actually wish to pursue a career in teaching. Secondly, assuming that I do decide to, the course has given me a wide range of experiences that will be invaluable in solving problems that will arise with students. These experiences have come not only from working "behind the scenes" myself, but from watching others . . who have experiences to share. I have no doubt in my mind that I will draw on what I have gained from $m$. experience in World Civilizations in the future, probably in ways that I do not suspect now.

The role of the education faculty in the weekly pedagogical seminars was wofold: (1) to analyee and document the experiment and (2) to bring into the discussions the perspectives of educational research and practice. Dr. Hugh Petrie, Dean of the Graduate School of Education, participated in the evolutionary biology seminar. Dr. Catherine Cornbleth. Director of LB's Buffalo Research Institute on Education for Teaching, participated in the world civilizations seminar.

A written record kept of the weekly seminar provides an important resource for future planning. With it. faculty can anticipate topics that cause students trouble. file for future use solutions that seem to work, and flag pedagogical problems that have not yet been solved.

## Weber State University

At Weber State Liniversity a pilot project in Cooperative Student Teaching will be implemented during fall and winter quarters of 1990-91. Linder the project,

Weber State college
faculty from the English Department and the Teacher Education Department will work with student teachers. The weekly cooperative sessions will replace the traditional how-to-teach classes that have been offered by the English Department. This project integrates theory with practice in a school scting for the professional training of secondary school English teachers. The project is aimed to produce a more self-reflective. flexible graduate, rather than one merely socialized to the norms of a single supervising teacher.

## California State University at Los Angeles

Prior to the implementation of the Project 30 proposal, there was evidence of collaboration among the schools at California State University at Los Angeles. Partially as a result of the chancellor's office directive establishing the alluniversity responsibility for teacher education, efforts involving faculty from the schools were in place. One example of this is the team-teaching project involving Dr. Ken Wagner, a professor of political science and Dr. Demnis Heim, a professor of cumiculum and instruction. A section of the introductory political science course was designated for persons interested in pursuing a teaching credential.

Dr. Wagner, a strong supporter of teacher education, emphasizes the relenance of content related to teaching K-12 students. Dr. Heim, an expert in pedagogy, places special emphasis on pedagogy and relates it to actual classroom techniques. These same wo faculty members also team-teach the course in social science methodolog. This often occurs some two to four years after the completion of the introductory political science course. Therefore, while Dr. Heim concentrates on pedagogy. Dr. Wagner is aailable to review content and assist with information relative os pedagogy.

For CSLA, the opportunity to become a Project 30 school was seen as a means to institutionalize bevond the commitees and the chancellors office mandates. the kind of collaboration already being practiced by Drs. Heim and Wagner.

## San Diego State University

Through 1988-1990). San Diego State I niversity continned a major effort, begun earlier, to engage more faculty members, in different disciplines and deparments. in meaningful and sustained diakgue examining the extent to which their curricula were appropriate to the content of their disciplines. The goal was to build betuer bridges through collaboration in a sorics of seminars using faculty already collabotating in previous projects. These faculty members in education and arts and science had already devoted 1987 to planning the undergraduate cumicuhem strand that would integrate pedagogical content knowledge into discipline-based courses.
(onlabomation was essential to this project and prerequisite to the planning. These formerty uninsolved individuals were introduced to the concept of pedagogical content knowledge (PCK): developed and expanded their own
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understanding of the concept: formed triads comprising a teacher educator, a content specialist, and a public school teacher; and then practiced teaching their understandings to each other. The triads became true teams with élan, cohesion. and a commitment to faculty members and students, asking the kinds of questions teachers of disciplines ought to ask. The triads piloted and evaluated three bridge courses in 1988-1989 and offered them again in 1989-1990. The collaboration of the 1987 planning year continued in regular seminars between the triads.

Melding the undergraduate course with the four existing seminars-in Biology, English. History, and Mathematics-at Crawford High School in San Diego was also accomplished. Since 1986 the collaborative emphasis in these seminars had been on implementing a PCK-based curriculum that included social and philosophical and psychological foundations, instructional design and methodologr, and structured practicum. Triads for these seminars also met with members of the subject matter departments who semed as master teachers for the credentialing students during their spring student teaching.

These meetings provided formms for familiarizing additional faculty members with the pilot and for giving them opportunities to consider the implications of the pilot for their own teaching. During the year the Crawford pilot faculty met with colleagues from other credential program blocks to discuss the pilot, what they were learning from it, and what implications there might be for broader program change. Triad members also met with academic discipline department colleagues to discuss the pilot and their impressions of the subject matter understandings that credential students were demonstrating.

Additional faculty and public school teachers were inchaded in this plamning, and three workshops were held to introduce these new participants to the underlying conceptual framework of the project. There was also an all-day seminar in November at which Crawford and bridge course triads met to discuss emerging critical points of articulation and continuity between the curriculum of the subject matter major and the credential program curriculam. There was a two-day workshop in January 1989 with approximately fifty participants. This workshop included not only the members of the wo triad groups (Crawford and bridge course) but also other academic department faculty and administrators. teacher educators fiom both the single subject and multiple subject eredential programs, elementary and secondary school te. .hers, and school and university faculte who work on the New Peacher Retention Project and other induction year programs in the College of Education. There was a third orienting workshop in June 1989 whose participants included. besides those from the previous workshops, first-year teachers, new faculty-new either to the miversity or to the groups, that is, from the academic discipline deparments-and new publie schoob teachers.

All these discussions, seminars, and workshops focused on the following questions as central to San Diego State's collaboration: (1) What has been the impact of the project on the participants teaching practices: (2) What impact is
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the project having on the participants' colleagues outside of the project? (3) What were the participants' perceptions of the specific strategies that have been used in the project, that is, workshops. guest speakers, demonstration lessons? (4) What are the critical elements in establishing the triads or interdisciplinary teams? (5) Are the participants persuaded that they are developing courses that successfully' incorporate pedagogical content knowledge strategies? SDSU's undergraduate bridge courses have also refined the assessment of future teachers. An integral part of these courses was the use of journals, concept mapping, and textbook evaluations to assess students' grasp of the disciplinary structure and the pedagogy necessary to teach that structure, or portions thereof, to different student audiences. Success here stimulated participants to adrocate the use of a PCKbased course as a screening or assessment device for academic discipline departments to use for students wishing to enter the credentialing program. Disciplinc-based collaboration has so bridged the unbridgeable that at SDSU it is now possible and even probable in some disciplines to find academic faculty: members willing to teach in education's credential programs and to teach one of their department's upper division courses in a team with a teacher educator and a public school teacher. It was love of discipline that brought them together and proved to be the common denominator, but during the collaborative process concern for pedagogy captured them.

## University of Northern Colorado

The University of Northern Colorado created the Teachers for the Future Project. Seven Faculty Analysis Teams were established to develop analyses and recommendations in regard to an overall curriculum redesign. Each Faculty Analysis Team had seven faculty members from across the campus. The teams held hearings (four nights, five hours each) and then held a week-long retreat during which participants developed a set of overarching principles and recommendations. This set of recommendations came forward as a proposed framework for the overall goals, philosophy and direction for the redesign of the individual courses and teacher education programs at LNC.

As can be seen from Figure 1, the framework was simple and yet at the same time subtle and complex. It is basically one that organizes the curriculum of teacher education into four major components:

- The Leaming Core (previously known as general education at UNC)
- Content Knowledge
- Pedagogical Knowledge
- Pedagogical Content Knowledge (based upon the influences of Shulman's work). The columns are organized around preschool to fifth grade teacher education, middle school and secondary teacher education programs.

University of Northem Colorado

Organizing Framework for Teacher Education at the University of Northern Colorado


Figure 1
There are several subteties about this framework that are worth pointing out. One was the assumption that there would be Faculty Analysis Teams who had expertise and primary interests in one particular row or column of the matrix. A second key assumption was that the dymanic interactions would take place at the intersections of the rows and columns. The Steering Committee assumed that the Faculty Analysis Teams would be able to articulate the knowledge base, i.e. a set of assumptions, themes, key concepts and aspirations that would represent a particular row or columm. However, to develop a teacher education program, the rows and columns would have to negotiate ont differences by setting consensus and expectations for how each student would be constructing knowleclge.

This is indeed what occurred during the FAT Retreat week. The Faculty Analysis Teams went through a process of articulating the knowledge base for their row or column and also went through a series of negotiations with other FAT's to determine where there were agreements anfl ummet needs and to develop consensus.

Two other stresses that helped stimulate discussion and analysis of programs are inherent in this framework. One has to do with the fact that there are four knowledge components identified in the rows of the matrix. The precexisting structure of programs at L'NC: was based upon the distribution of semester credit hours across three components: general education, subject major, and professional education. By having four rows of knowledge components, it wats necessary to fracture the existing three-part paradigen. In other words, the turf battles became more complex because it was not clear where PCK fit or what it represented in terms of courses and student credit hour production. This became the wedge that opened up the possibility of examining all courses and components. A key to this was the overall perspective presented by the Stecring
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Committec that participants needed to look at what in theorv, Nomern Colorado should do rather than care up turf in the carly phases of the FAT process.

Another point of stress that the framework offered at C.NC was to break out middle school teacher edacation from clementary teacher education. In the FAT work, a further breakoti emerged. distinguishing carly pre-schoot and elementary teacher education. The middle school education shift was one that was underway within the state and nationally. The early childhood education shift was in the process of being sorted out within the state and nationally. In summaty, proposing three program levels in the organizing framework became another wedge to help break up the older ways of thinking. These wedges also represent areas where there were no pat or fixed answers in place at L'NC.

This overarching framework provided the structure for establishing Faculty Anahsis Teams and a general focus for a campus-wide discussion. This framevork and the FAT process has resulted in a series of recommendations for the overall structure of curriculum at L.NC.. The next step will be to analye individual comrses and programs and to develop proposals for new approaches that will then go through the standard curriculum review and approval process.

The framework provided a structure that was sufficiently loose and yet focused enough to maintain that careful balance between prescription and intolerable ambiguity. By basing this strucure heavily upon the work of Lee Shutman and having Dr. Shulman make a very forceful presentation during the information phase, the need to address the knowledge components of the matrix ststematically was made obvious. The criticism of schools and the emergence of the middle school movement made the three program columms obvious and appropriate. Details of what gees on inside each of those must be determined by the faculty. However, no part of the faculty can work in isolation if coherent programs are the goal. Thas, the intersections in the matrix become the key, not only to the curriculam redesign process, but to the utimate integration that will be developed in programs.
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## Curbicitum Reform

One of the most direct routes to educational reform is to change the nature of the curriculum. A number of Project 30 schools focused their efforts on the creation of new courses, new majors, or new requirements in an attempt to improve their teacher education programs. Several institutions choose to more closely align subject matter knowledge and pedagogy, while others took on the challenge of providing courses that would help future teachers be responsive to the multiculumal needs of the schools today.

## University of Pennsylvania

At the Linversity of Pemnsylamia several new subject matter courses that are especially appropriate for future teachers and others interested in child developnent, teaching and learning, and cognition were designed or proposed. For example, a new course in the psychology and philosophy of thinking was offered for the first time this rear to advanced psychology undergraduates. undergraduates enrolled in the teacher edncation program. and interested graduate students in teacher education or other fields such as reading/language. curriculam and instriction, and school administration. Developed by Dr. Jonathan Baron of the Psychology. Deparment, the course is founded on a theory of rational thinking and on empirical investigation of fallacions reasoning, which makes it stand in sharp contast to much of what passes for content and pedagogy in the "thinking skills" craze characteristic of mant school systems.

A new course that focuses on rescarch on teaching was developed by Dr. Marilyn Cochran-Smith. This course will be taken be secondary education sudents and some elementary education sudents along with graduate students in administration, curriculum. and supervision. This is not a course in research methods. nor is it intended to prepare students to write dissertations or do sehoolbased researeh. Rather the course is designed to explore critically the researeh literature on classroom teaching processes as well as the contrasting conceptual and methodological approaches upon which this Fiterame is based.

The course is intended to help students become aware of the major substantive areas in the field and develop a critical perspective on contrasting paradigns. In the course, sudents and teacher raise questions about the implications of rescarch on teaching for curriculum, instraction, crahation, and teacher education. The course conceptualizes the teacher as a deliberative and reflective professional who reads educational research intelligently and then takes the results as starting points for decision-making about actual classroom situations.

Facnles at Pemnstania have also worked across disciplines to revise both subject-mater and pedagogy courses in the social seiences, which is a central subject matter area for boh elementary and secondary school teachers. Unfortmately, courses in the social sciences generally do not provide the kind of
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understanding that prospective elementary and secondary school teachers need. Dr. Walter Licht of the History Department and Dr. James Larkin of the School of Education worked collaboratively to redesign two core courses offered in elementary and secondary social studies teaching and to rethink some of the other traditional social science offerings.

A modified elementary social studies course was co-designed and co-planned, and cross-visits between education and history are now in place. A secondary social studies course was also redesigned. The intention of both redesigns was to help students better understand the forms of inquiry of the social sciences and to consider thoughtful ways to teach these to their own students. To support the development of inquiry and provide thorough grounding in the social sciences, a core of courses to be taken by prospective teachers in those disciplines was established. Seminars for students considering careers in history and social studies classroom teaching were also offered in order to help prospective teachers take what they learn in their social sciences classes and transform it to inspire their own pupils with new ways to think about critical issues.

## University of Delaware

The Cniversity of Delaware Project 30 team believed that something needed to be done to better ensure that future elementary school teachers would have a reliable understanding of the actual curriculum they would be teaching. They looked with concern at the case of a recent NSF video in which some of Harvard's graduating seniors-at their commencement-were asked how it is that we have seasons. Without hesitation and with confidence they each replied incorrectly that it was because the earth was closer to the sun in the summer and farther away in the winter. Yet each would no doubt know the distance between the earth and sum, that days are of different lengths, the shape of the earth's orbit, that the seasons differ by hemisphere, and so on-all facts, that upon reflection, are inconsistent with their response. The point is that the nation's best and brightest are not themselves well-grounded in an essential, but a relatively simple, part of the elementany school curriculum. And it would not be hard to document that gaps like this exist among our best and brightest in all aspects of the elementary school curriculum! At the University of Delaware, the Project 30 team considered six proposals for the reform of the arts and science component of the teacher education program Delaware offers prospective elementary teachers. The team members were the chairs of the Departments of English, Mathematics, History, Philosophy, and Educational Development, an Honor's Program faculty member in Chemistry, the associate dean of the College of Arts and Science, a faculty member in science education, a faculty member of the Department of Physics, and the dean of the College of Education, who chared the team.

Currently Delaware's students take a modest number of basic arts and science general education courses, about one-quarter of their total program, plus the equivalent of a minor in a field of study outside Education.

University of Delaware

The team explored six approaches to the question of the elementary academic major, and, as these are not mutually exclusive, the final outcome could very well have features from each approach within the interdisciplinary major option that was ultimately recommended by the Project 30 team.

Delaware's proposed interdisciplinary major is actually a collection of reworked minors in six areas-mathematics, foreign language, history and social srience, English and language arts, natural science, and fine arts. Each "minor" is responsive to the unique requirements of the elementary school teacher insofar as each has courses tailored to the needs of the elementary school teacher, either through the integration of the methods courses or by the addition of special sections of subject matter courses. The interdisciplinary major option is fairly conservative and administratively feasible. It represents about 80 credit hours of focused study, a considerable increase in the current program, but still affords only minimal levels of study in each area. Yet it is an honest approach insofar as each major area of the elementary school curriculum is addressed in a coherent manner.

The team considered several ways in which the separate minors could be reshaped with the interests of the prospective elementary school teacher in mind. Five themes, or some combination of them, are under discussion by the faculty members who are designing the courses that comprise each minor.

1. Philosophy of subject matter. In this approach the philosophy of each subject matter (e.g., philosophy of science) is taken up, and essential and fundamental aspects of the structure of the subject matter are covered. Elementary science instruction, for example, would be improved if teachers understood that there are no facts apart from theories or that "true" theories are not those that were proved, but only those that have failed to be disproved. Similarly, social studies education would be improved if teachers would view the history curriculum not so much as the recreation of the past, but as one of several possible stories of the past that could be constructed to make sense of the same historical events. The barriers to an understanding of mathematics would be lower if teachers appreciated the similarities in the grammar and syntax of mathematics and language.
2. Text approach. This approach entails a close reading of seminal texts (the "great books") in each area coupled with an examination of school textbooks for the assumptions each makes about the discipline in question. The logic of this approach, like the philosophy of the disciplines approach, is that the core structure of each discipline is addressed directly by the initial promulgator of an idea who (like a teacher) takes on the burden of making his or her ideas clear to an audience (like a classroom of pupils) who hears them for the first time. The teacher's grasp of the origins of important ideas may provide a good foundation for the teaching of these ideas to pupils. This approach is not to be confused with the discredited "cultural epoch" approach to curviculum and pedagogy, in which the mental development of
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young pupils was thought to recapitulate the race's cultural and intellectual history.
3. Genetic Epistemologr. This option entails the study of the developmental psychological literature from the perspective of the development of the concepts that make up the curriculum. In this approach the prospective teacher learns the relevant developmental constramts upon the pupils acquisition of the curriculum and lays out, as an unavoidable part of the discussion, the nature of the subject itself. The story of how the young child develops the notion of number, for example, is valuable in its own right, but also reveals salient portions of number theory, the arithmetical algorithms. and other aspects of mathematics. Similarly, the account of the child's moral development reveals the principal issues in moral philosophy and political theor:
4. Cognitive Psychology. In this option, the studem would major in cognitive psychology and make the workings of the mind his or her specialization. The subject matter content would be picked up through the consideration of how the mind operates mathematically, aesthetically, and so forth. Like the philosophy of the disciplines or text approaches, this approach would provide a structure for the reformed minors in cach subject area. Each area would be approached from the perspective of how we think about and know the content in question. The approach fits well with the current trend in cognitive psychology that stresses the domain specificity of our thinking.
5. Pedagogical Content Knowledge. This approach addresses the fact that teachers, even professors, inevitably transform what they know into a teachable subject. They give the subject a new structure and meaning, one that is appropriate to their sudents' level of understanding. These structures can be studied and codified. Since this reformulation of the discipline is inevitable, one might as well address it directly and, as in the other approaches, use it as a way to structure the reformed minors.

In teaching Hucklebeny Fimn, for example, the teacher inevitably interpets the book as a story of race relations, or generation gaps. or an historical period, or latent homosexuality on the frontier, or whatever. How is this done and shouldn't the academic major address this question explicitly: As another example, many science teachers attempt to clarify the nature of electric current by comparing it to the behavior of water currents in various sited pipes. Is this a good way to think about electricity, and how would one know: The answer to the question is not to be found in physics or in education, but in a qualitatively different kind of knowledge that will come from conversations between disciplinarians and pedagogues.

This knowledge-the knowledge of what is a telling example, a good analog:, a provocative question, a compelling theme-is a proper object of sundy in an academic major and could yield the kind of understanding of the disciplines that is deep and generative. To have multiple ways of
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representing a subject matter, to have more than one example or metaphor, to have more than one mode of explanation, requires a high order and demanding form of subject matter understanding.
Concurrent with the Project 30 proposals, Delaware has developed a plan for the restructuring of the pedagogical portion of the elementary teacher education program that is consistent with the Holmes Group reforms. The two components, the Project 30 proposal and the Holmes proposal, will be debated by the full faculty during the Spring 1991 and presumably be implemented as reformed undergraduate program of about 130 credit hours or an entirely new option for a master's/undergraduate program of about 150 hours that will lead to a master's degree in pedagogy based upon an undergraduate interdisciplinary major of the type recommended by the Project 30 team.

## Baruch College

At Baruch College a Joint Committee on Teacher Education began its work by exploring certain fundamental principles that would give their new curriculum coherence and integrity. Committee mem'sers agree that prospective elementary and carly childhood teachers need a broad background -what the curriculum document labels "Foundations." In the course of an elementary or early childhood teacher's carcer, she or he may be called on to teach a wide variety of subjects, to develop projects for students, and to teach in different grades (K-6). Both versatility and depth are required.

Constructing a new curriculum that speaks to so many different objectives is difficult, especially since the Joint Committee set as its task answering this question: what is it that elementary ( $\mathrm{K}-6$ ) and early childhood teachers ( $\mathrm{N}-3$ ) need: In other words, the Joint Committee has not approached the idea of a new curriculum in terms of disciplines, majors. or academic deparments. The Committee's intent is to provide enough depth for those students wishing to attend graduate school at the masters level and to provicle a set of courses in the liberal arts that enhances the education certification sequence. The structure of the new curriculum suggests that professional courses and a concentration in liberal studies is the best waty to integrate pedagogy and content.

The new curriculum included two major components:

1. Foundations (7)-8] credits)

Mathematics, Natural and Behational Sciences (19)
Health and Physical Education (3)
United States Studies (9)
World Sudies and Forrign Language ( $27-29$ )
9. 1.iberal Studicヶ / Education (oncentration ( 48 -it credits).

Elementary or carly childhood students move from the Foundations sequence to one of the following concentations: Humanities, Namal Sciences, Social Studies or Mathematics; Computer Science. In both the liberal studies
concentrations and in the education certification sequence, there is an interdisciplinary focus that is achieved by close collaboration of Liberal Arts and Sciences and Education and Educational Services faculty: As a result, the Joint Committee has developed a Liberal Studies/Education Concentration for the second half of the curriculum that carries on the thrust of the Foundations sequence.

While the liberal studies concentration and education certification sequence constitute separate elements, they are conceived as interdependent, with methods and field-related courses in education linked to interdisciplinary capstone courses in the liberal studies concentrations. The intent of this innovation is to strengthen content and pedagogy: Lepper-kevel courses must demonstrate how the knowledge acquired in the Foundations sequence is applicable to the curricula taught in the schools. In the new curriculum, students taking Methods of Teaching in the Content Areas (part of the Education Certification sequence) will also take the interdisciplinary capstone methods course.

This new course in each of the four concentrations will be jointly planned and taught by Education and Educational Services and Liberal Arts and Sciences faculty members. A content specialist in social studies, for example, will team up with an Education specialist to design a course that fuses content and pedagogy: and builds upon the coursework in both the liberal studies concentration and the education certification sequence.

This coordination between the liberal studies concentration and the education certification sequence is the cornerstone of the new curriculum. One of the fundamental principles agreed upon by the Joint Commitiee on Teacher Education is that the preparation of elementary and early childhood teachers cannot be enhanced by simply piling more liberal arts courses onto the backs of students. Such a mechanical exercise would suggest that teaching is merely a matter of stuffing more knowledg e into students' heads.

The new curriculum, on the other hand, recognizes that faculty members in both Schools must teach their courses in terms of others' objectives: the liberal studies, natural sciences, humanities, and mathematics in the elementary school classroom. The education certification sequence must incorporate a liberal studies perspective, orienting students not only to general teaching methods but to precisely those techniques that seem to work best for the content they wish to communicate.

The program will lead to a Bachelor of Arts degrec with three quarters of the credits in the liberal arts and sciences. It will lead to provisional state certification. The program will be governed jointly by the Schools of Education and Educational Services and Liberal Arts and Sciences. A Teacher Education Coordinating Committee (TECC) will help monitor the coherence of the curricuhum, review course proposals and revisions. and, through a coordinator. monitor the orientation and progress of students.

## University of the Pacific

As part of the University of the Pacific's efforts in educational reform, the requirements that the education majors must meet have been revised. Since the knowledge of a subject that is essential for teaching it is also central to "knowing" it, the Project 30 team believes that courses that are appropriate for teachers should be appropriate for all students. As a consequence, the revised credential program has not only been adopted as the Liberal Studies major in the School of Education (for elementary credential candidates), but it has also been adopted with minor changes as the Liberal Studies major in the College of the Pacific, where it serves (with an Integrated Studies major) as an alternative for all students wiz su: not wish to pursue a regular disciplinary major. The Deans of Education and the College of the Pacific have recently agreed that from now on all elementary credential candidates will matriculate in the School of Education. The Cinversity Ceneral Education Committee has detemined that those who complete the new Liberal Studies major in cither college have therebr satisfied the unisersity general education requirements.

As a cal ston, experience to this new program, a pedagogical seminar will require : :uder.; to complete a significant project analyzing how specific subject mater in ther area of concentration may be transformed and represented $t^{t} t_{1} \cdot$ ugh examples and illustrations, metaphors, and analogies so that it may be ei!? cively taught to a variety of students in a variety of circumstances. Students will work with two practitioners-a college faculty member in the discipline and a elementary school teacher-and their students to observe, consult with, and anslyze their teaching and learning and to develop narratives of how those instructors foster understanding in their studrnts.

## Bridgewater State College

At Bridgewater State College, key Project 30 members were able to make reforms in their undergraduate program, as well as to compensate for whatever. remaining shortcomings they perceived, by designing a clinical master's progiam that achieves what shoukd be a very effective integration of the disciplines. For undergraduates, the goals of Bridgewater’s efforts were (1) to strengthen the liberal arts background of teachers by requiring all teacher preparation candidates to major in a liberal arts or science discipline, (2) to transfer more of the training of teachers out of the college classroom into field-sites, and (3) to increase the number of minority students preparing to teach. Most revolutionary of Bridgewater's programmatic reforms was the establishment of a two-stage certification process, in which undergraduate teacher preparation programs will provide only provisional certification and a clinical master's with a substantial field experience and mentorship will be required for full certification.

The program designed for the clinical master's, now proceeding through governance, will complement the undergraduate programs and achieve an
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integration of professional education and academic disciplines never before seen at Bridgewater, Each master's level candidate will be guided in his or hè program by a committee made up of a mentor, an advisor from professional education, and one from the major discipline. This committee will be solely responsible for designing an individualized program for the student that will take into consideration certification requirentents. gaps in the student's undergraduate program, the school's needs, and the student's career objectives. The culmination of the student's program will be a research project that will integrate professional and disciplinary studies.

Enroblments continue to be very high in early childhood. elementary. and special education programs. ancl a steady increase has been noted in secondarylevel programs as well. Master's level certification programs are thriving. prowicing a vital service to increasing numbers of okder-than-average stuclents making a cancer change to teaching. The well-founded predictions of teacher shortages will apparenty continue to fuel these emollment increases as well.

## Brooklyn College

Brooklyn College's curriculum, in response to the crisis in urban education, sces as its mission the preparation of teachers who wish to take responsibility for what happens in schools. The focus is on education as a social process and on school as a working, pedagogical community where students, parents, teachers. and the administrators engage in collabomative work. Studio I. Brooklyn's very first Education offering, introduces an aesthetic model of practice that supports collaboration as students in cohort groups respond to each other's work. This supportior relationship extends to Studio II as the same groups continue to work together anatying their educmional experiences as colleagues. Leaming throuth this kind of bonding reinforces a familiar way of knowing-the association of pleasure and knowledge connected to people we care about.

In this curviculum, collaborative activity is pirotal in the paired courses in social science, humanities, and mathematics/seiences in the liberal arts clisciplines and educaton. For example. collaborators should be able to devise appropriate wates of exploring how ecology and politics impinge upon the curiculam, or how sociological knowledge about diverse cultures can be useful for developing thematic projects in the classroom. Through the interaction of their professors and the integration of subject matter, the students in this program will experience firsthand the collaborative process and will have models to emblate.

Students will conduct interviews and weate anthologies of storie's gathered from their older friends and neighbors; they will examine issues of social adaptation and integration viewed through the prism of different groups; and their own intiative will be a factor in the nowels or autobiographes selected for courses. Students' lives and their informed critique of their personal experiences are important content in this curriculum. In some cases. their writings will sere an
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class texts and be analazed for insights into the learning process.
Courses in this program will focus on the African-American cuhture, the Puerto Ric:n experience and the experience of American Jews as an immigrant community:

Aesthetic experiences are helpful catalysts in this effort because of their emphasis on the human capacity to identify with another individual. When students are engaged by a literary experience and can place themselves in the loner role of Hamlet or Richard Wright's man who lived underground, they begin to understand any young person`s identity crisis. Students who do a retrospective of their favorite literature and intervew individuals whose cultural background is different from their own broaden their understandings of the similarities and diversities inherent in the aesthetic experience.

Students will learn to appreciate how stories told through dance, paintings. music and literature, though reflecting the cultural background of the teller, can communicate to diverse audiences. This humanities strand of courses acknowledges, to use W.E. Dubois" words, "the gift of the spirit" and the power of culture to inspire concern and develop thought around feeling through aesthetic forms.

Assignments may focus on the social construction of identify, the forms of prejudice, and the relationship between language and culture. In preparation for the students' participation in the schools, ther will learn how the public schools of New York City have tried to be responsive to the various immigrant groups and closely examine classroom practices that reflect biases and attitudes about gender, race and class.

The students who sit in Brooklyns liberal arts sections are frequenty graduates of schools in the borough of Brooklyn and many have been taught by teachers prepared for the profession by New York City institutions of higher learning. Education faculty can increase their own awareness of the disciplines and the ways in which ther might. for example. link work in biolog' with child development. At the same time. liberal arts colleagues may transfom their own pedagogy after questioning traditional assumptions about how people leam their discipline.

Brooklyn's bidge courses in the social sciences. humanities and mathematics/sciences work towards having students look at themselves as both leamers and teachers in tarying disciplines. The liberal ats must assist students in recognizing how, for example political changes affect curricuhum or the ways culture is encoded in the narratives that children share.

The new curriculum for early childhood and elementary education majors is structured into three major components:
I. Integrative strand conses in social science, humanities and mathematics/science courses in both liberal arts and education help sudents integrate and understand the ways that the disciplines are related to learning and teaching. These couses will serve as a bridge between the core courses at the college and the teaching arts courses
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in education.
II. The teaching arts courses provide intensive work in the discipline of education, forging connections between learning theory, subject matter content and pedagogy. Work in the major initiated with the Studio course, the first of two such offerings, is followed by courses in teaching the various disciplines and culminates with the student teaching component.
III. Liseral arts courses provide concentrations of twelve to eighteen creclits in intermediate- and advanced-level courses in a discipline. These courses address the need for more specification in liberal arts courses, greater depth in an area, and at the same time provide students with greater choice in their course of study:

## St. Mary's University

- St. Marys Project 30 team focused on curriculum recommendations with the goal of integrating content and pedagogy. The team proposed to incorporate, within appropriate and already existing core courses, content segments that focus on educational foundations. Once instituted, all students interested in teacher education would be encouraged to register for these specific core courses. St. Mary's hopes that such courses will provide greater integrated knowledge of education, while also creating student interest in teaching as a possible career choice.

These core courses, which integrate educational foundations within appropriate academic areas, would be taught by faculty who "teach as we would have them (future teachers) teach." Such courses would include reflection on areas of teaching and learning, and would ask students to reflect on their own educational experiences. These courses would, when possible, include some obseration or service component. This could involve observation or service at child care facilities, schools, nurseries, etc.

Components on educational foundations could include something like the following:

1. "The History and Development of the American Educational System" within an American History course:
2. "Politics and the American School" within an American Politics course:
3. "American Economic Systems and Education" within an American Economics course:
4. "Educational Philosophy in America" within an American Pnilos"phy course;
5. "Ethics and Education" within an Ethics course;
6. "The School and Education in American Literature" within a surey of American Literature course.
These courses would be available to students as core courses throughout their four years at St. Mary's. They would be a source of carly integrated learning for
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future teachers, would add an aspect of intellectual challenge to the concept of teacher-preparation, and could be used to attract new students into teacher education.

In order to better integrate content and pedagogy, a one-hour "lab" component would be connected with courses whose content has a wide applicability in elementary and/or high school teaching. The Lab Component would deal with pedagogy for teaching the specific content of the course. For example, a course in Shakespeare (widely taught in high school) would contain a weekly one-hour lab, in which pedagogy for teaching Shakespeare in high school would be discussed. Labs would be conducted, desirably, by a team-the professor of the course, an education professor, and an elementary/high school teacher. Labs should be conducted as seminars, not lecture classes. Hopefully, "case studies" could be used as part of these labs.

Only those students interested in preparing for teaching would attend the labs. Certification programs would require a minimum of three semester hours in these "labs." The students emrolled for and participating in these labs would earn three credit hours in the specific content area. The one-hour lab could be taken as a fourth hour in a content area. Students would be encouraged to take as many of these labs as possible. Once they had fulfilled the credit requirements of three hours, they would be allowed to free audit these labs.

St. Mary's does not intend to create a curriculum that enables students to pass ExCET tests. Since passing such tests are part of the reality for emerging teachers, they realize that ther must establish some methods, other than the regular curriculum and academic content, to assist students to pass these tests. But the St. Mary's team believes that the goal of having the best curriculum for preparing young people to become effective teachers must underlie all of their plaming: the curriculum camot be test-driven.

At Saint Mary's, Project 30 members were also involved in the proposal of a new course in multicultural education. The comrse was designed to develop the students’ understanding of several ethnic groups in the Linited States through a study of cultural, social, economic, religious, and psychological backgrounds. The course should enhance the sudents' capacities for humane, sensitive, and critical interaction with these ethnic groups. It should increase the student's abilities to envision future needs and to plan productively for development and growth within an emerging multicultural society. Their abilites to examine their own cultural attitudes, values, and biases should be enhanced. Finally, students should leam to relate multicultural issues to educational concerns and the role of the teacher.

The course would focus on three major cultural groups of the Southwest (especially Texas): Anglo. Black and Hispanic. These cultural groups would be
studied in the following six areas, with the aspects essential for teaching in multicultural classooms given special consideration:
A. History
B. Customs and Traditions

1) Religious Attitudes and Beliefs
a) Affecting academic goals
b) Affecting selfeesteem
c) Affecting career life goals
2) Famill Traditions and Customs
C. Values
D. Language and Aptitudes
3) Sudent Exaluation
a) Testing for academic achievement
b) Testing for intellectual ability.
c) Testing for career placement and life goals
4) Spectal Education
5) Curriculum and textbook evahation
E. Sociocconomic Concerns: Historical Causes. Effects. and Prevention
6) Health Impairment
7) Depriation of food. sleep, shelter. priacy
8) Deprivation of environmental enrichment
9) Class issues and political implications
F. Cender Issues
10) Ethnically based sex stereotypes
11) Cognitive learning steles
12) Attudes toward achievement and life goals
13) Violence

This course would include some experiential components, such as visiting or working in the following: ant and cultural centers, cultural associations, schools. day care centers, health clinics, shelters for the homeless. literacy programs. tutoring. etc. The course would meet 3 dats a week. Students wonld mee in class on Monday and Wednesday. On Fridars, there would be no class-session: instead, students would be required on spend four hours per week as wohnteers. These four hours would be aranged according to the students class and work schedules, in conjunction with a coordinator of the experie. iat components.

Each student would be required to participate in wo experiential activities: for example, a sudent might spend half a semester in a day care center: and hatf a semester at a sheher for the homeless. Sudents would be required to keep a weekly joumal of their experiences and w produce a repont or case study for the week of mideterms and the week before the final exam. At thene wo times. the class would spend approximately one week listening to and discussing these student reperts.

## Southern University at New Orleans

At Southern University at New Orleans, a pilot course was designed to make the teachereducation curriculum more accurate in the areas of multicultural and international education. The focus was on African.American studies, particularly historical anci social issues. and educational pedagogy. For the first time. two faculty members (one history and one education) collaborated on content and methodology and team-taught the course. The classes were video-taped and a video library addressing the theme was begun. This course was also timed to coincide with the African-American curriculum infusion occurring in the New Orleans Public Schools.

A proposal for an international language-culture requirement for education members was presented. Currently education majors are not required to take a foreign tanguage. The proposal. as written. would enable a student to become familiar with African. Spanish. and French cultures and languages. The major focus would not be on language acquisition. but rather on cultural understanding.

Another product of Project 30 for Southern was the development of an AfioAmerican Hamanities course. This course has proven to be quite popular not only with education majors. but among students university-wide.

Southern also received a grant funded by the Lonisiana Board of Regents os further craluate couse content. rexamp the teacher education curriculum, and inform Coniversity faculty of teacher education curriculum reforms.

## State University of New York at Buffalo

The State University of Now York at Buffalo de signed a new general education course in American pluatism as a way of addressing the multicultural theme of Project 30. This course. developed by a committee headed by Professors Elizabeth Kennedy of American Studies. Willian Fischer of English. and John Meacham of Psychology, responds to the imperative that students in higher education todar. and especially prospective teachers. need to know about the changing nature of American society. to moderstand the issues associated with diversity. and to appreciate the richness of plualistic cultural experiences in America.

The course, "American Pharame - The Search for Equality," encourages faculty and students to affim the rich heritage of pheristic cultural experiences in America while confronting major injustices and inequalities in American life. It is intended to prepate students to live in a self-snstaning and productive manner with the mosaic of culumal experiences in our present and fume societs. It aims w give stadents an introduction to the literature of diversity, familariang them with the best readings on the topic that our American tradition provides. The goal is to create an intense intellectual amareness of the emiching anpects of cultural pharatism and respect for difference as well as the negative consequences of
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prejudicial exclusion, while considering not only race and gender issues but also religion, class and ethnicits.

The heart of this course is an anthology of readings, carefully selected to provide insight into the roles that these social categories have played and continue to play in the development of Cinited States history and culture. In its preliminary form, the anthology is several hundred pages in length and includes autobiographies, scholarly articles, statistical summaries, supreme court decisions, historical documents, newspaper articles, etc. Each instructor supplements these core readings with material that prorides a perspective from his or her discipline and area of research expertise.

Recognizing the difficulty of teaching such a course, a comprehensive faculty development workshop is offered each summer for new instructors in the course. This workshop focuses on the content and readings of the course and also carefully considers the pedagogical difficulties that might be encountered when students are examining potentially sensitive issues. Instruction began in fall 1989. Instructors have come from such varing disciplines as African-American Studies, American Studies, English, Law and Jurisprudence, Modern Languages, and Psychologr.

Student exaluations of the course at the end of the first two pilot semesters expressed remarkable enthusiasm. Students rated the course between 4.3 and 4.6 on a 5 -point scale on such key issues of content and pedagogy as importance of the subject matter, quality and openness of the discussions, and relevance to reallife situations. Eighty-three percent of the students agreed with the statement, "I would recommend American Pluralism to a fellow student because of the course content." (Only five percent disagreed; twelve percent were neutral.) More than half of the students felt that the course should be required for all students at the miversity.

Among the written comments provided by sudents in their prose evaluations were the following:

- This course introduced me to issucs which I had really never paid much attention to before.
- This course helped me examine my own thoughts and the way we stand on issues. It has helped me think about my identity.
- The course showed me a world of different lifestyles all in the same room.
- Every aspect of the course was useful. I learned more in this class than in any other class since I've been in school.
In addition to their course on American pluatism, LB created a course on world civilizations that encompasses culture, literature, ant and civilization, as well as geography and history (sec also ('B's enter in chapter two, "Team Fomation.") In addition to the selected text, faculty menibers assign readings and conduct discussions sperial to their own disciplines. Thus, for example, a student may select a section of the conrse that views world civilizations from the perspective of a philosopher or an archeologist. Attempting to give students an awareness of
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different philosophies, cultures and wars of life, the course emphasizes the development of world civilizations and societies from prehistory to the present. This course is especially important for students who are preparing to be social studies teachers in New York State, since the state has recently implemented a new global studies curriculum.
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## 

Collaboration can oceur, not only between faculty members within an individual college or miversity, but also between colleges and universities. A number of Project 30 schools participated in such collaborations as a way of extending their services to their sumounding commumities and improving the state of education in their areas.

## Florida A\&M University

At Florida A\&.M Cniversity an important part of the Project 30 process was the collaboration that resulted from two ammal national meetings in Houston, Texas, and Monterey, Califomia. At the first meeting in 1989, dialogue was begum between FAMC and Weber State concerning the possible exchange of faculty and/or students between the two institutions for either a semester or a year. This dialogne continued in Monterev, and there has been further correspondence concerning arrangements.

If the exchange program is successfully negotiated beween FAML and Weber State, both institutions will be able to enhance several aspects of their respective programs, not the least of which is multiculumal education. Each institution's teacher education programs cater primarik to student populations from a rather localized region of the country and are relatively homogeneous with respect to the nature of cultures or subcuhtures contained therein. An exchange of this sort would provide faculty and students with an opportunity to explore cultural values and ideas different from their own. Furthermore, it is FA.ML's belief that an exchange of faculty members would provide a refreshing variety of training and experience for the participants, resulting in the "import" to each faculty member's home institution of revitalized and rejuvenated teachers.

Also, as part of its Project 30 efforts. Forida A\&SM Liniversity has become involved in the Panhandle Center for Alternate Teacher Education (PCATE), a joint progran with Florida State University, This program is tailored to meet the teacher certification needs of individuals who are not certified to teach, but are emplored on an emergency basis as teachers in a 13 -comenty area of North Florida, including both public and private schools in that area.

## Vassar College

Vassar Cohlege highly valued a major conference shared loy V'assar and Howard University on the theme of multicultural education (described in Chapter 10). Out of this conference has come a contmued retationship between the two schools in the area of minority rectuitment. This fall a second meeting will be held on the Howard campus and both schools are already discussing wats to seek funds from other foundations to continue their relationship, with a focus on those
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minority students who decide to pursue teaching late in their college career.
Responses to initial inguiries for funding have been positive.

## Southern University at New Orleans

At Southern L'niversity at New Orlcans, a planning grant was funded by the Rockefeller Foundation for the purpose of strengthening ties belween SL NO and the New Orteans public schools and exploring the possibility of a long-range parmership. As a result of the planning gramt. a parmership proposal was developed and presented to the Rockefeller Foundation to implement the Comer School-Based Managemem model in three clementary schools in the New Orleans Public School system and to provide for a school/umiversity retraining center for school-based teachers. In addition, miversity faculte would be involved with the miversity/school parmership in areas of content knowledge and pedagegs.

## University of the Pacific

In the fall of 1988 and in cart 1989, the Project 30 team at the L'nitersity of the Pacific developed a miversity elementary scheol parmership to improve the teaching of language arts and natural sciences in the elememary sehools. The collaboration was woffer benefits in both directions, enriching the teaching of targeted subjects in the elementary schools and inaproving the preparation of prospective teachers at the university. The Project 30 team hoped to create a climate to support mutual disciptinary and ober interests and to foster greater understanding of practice and constraints upon practice between arts and sciences faculty and experienced elementary teachers. The team believed that both groups had contributions to make in cacle direction, to teaching in the schools and to teacher preparation the the uressty. The team was particularty interested in collaborating to leam better how to teach disadrantaged minority and I.imited English Proficient (IEP) pupils. whose test performance in the targeted subjects was fow.

In consutation with officials of the there districts in the Stockon metropolitan area, the team studied a mumber of schools before selecting three for the intial phase of the partuership. Each has a distinct academic program and sociocconomic mix, but cach abo has a substantial mumber of pupits from disadvantaged minority backgromeds. The families of many of these minority pupils live at or below the pererty level.

At Taytor Skills School, a "science emphasis" school in the Stockton Confied sistem, Southeast Asian (mostly (ambodian and Loo) pupils are $21 \%$ of the entollment. Afro-imericans $96^{\circ} \%$, Hispanics $97^{\circ} \%$ Whin , $\because \%$, and the remainder a diverse group including Filipinos, Sikhs, Native dmericans, and Pacific Istanders. At Mable Bamon School (Iincoln Linified), neanly a quarter of the pupils speak languages other than English at home, including Spanish, Chinese. Tagalog. Lao, and the wo principal Cambodian languages; the stuation is simitar
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at Oakwood School (Lodi U'nified). Both Mable Barron and Oakwood are located in middle-class, suburban neighborhoods, but about a third of their pupils are bused in and approximately a tenth of the pupils at each school receive AFDC. funds.

These three schools reflect distributions prevalent throughout the city of Stockion, which is remarkable for its ahtural and ethnic diversity. Of course, not all minority students ate poor or Limited English Proficient (L.EP), but many are. The total number of LEP students in the three districts, as reported in the most recent language census, is just over 14,000 . It is starthing to realize that almost $2 \%$ of the entire I.EP population in the state of Califomia is attending schools that are within a 15 -minute drive of the university. The impaet of LEP Southeast Asian pupils on the three districts is quite remarkable: there are 20.52 Viemanese pupils ( $6 \%$ of the total in the state). 1191 L ato pupils ( $10 \%$ of the state`s total), and 4725 (ambodian pupils ( $20 \%$ of the state's total). In addition, there are 4226 identified I.EP Hispanic pupils. 962 (antonese. 331 Filipino, and smaller but still significant numbers of L.EP pupils who speak Mandarin, Korean, Japanese, Portuguese, and other languages. There are even 2675 identified L.EP speakers of "other" languages in the three districts! These and similar facts are frequent topics of discussion at the partnership dimner-seminar mectings. Admittedly; the parmership has ret to focus sustained discussion and study on the pedagogical issues they raise, but doing so is high on next year's agenda.

In the spring of 1989 , the Project 30 team and school site administrators invited teachers at cach of the three schools and arts and sciences faculte at the university to join the partuership for an inital period of two years. Thite-three teachers and seventeen professors accepted the insitation. Also joining the partnership were site administrators at the three elementare schools, the deans of the School of Education and the College of the Pacific, and the Vice President for Academic Affairs at the miversity. At the first dinner-seminar in May, the Superintendent of one participating district and the Science Curriculum Coordinator for the comety shools office were also in atendance. The team asked for no financial commitment from the districts at the outsen, but made clear the need for visible administative support.

Activities for partnership participants are designed for several ends. Six dimerseminars, held at the universite, provided an opportunite for relaxed conversation, professional interaction, and enrichment. Seminats also provided opportunity to listen to participants" guestions and concerns and a place os exchange information aboun workshops, lab seswons. field trips, classroom visits (both to the schools and the miversity), and collabomate rescarch and presentations. A series of workshops and taboratory sessions were presented by science and language arts faculty, as well as a day-long thip to collect geological specimens.

In all instances, attendance was voluntary and most activities were in response to requests from the teachers. Attendance at seminars ranged fiom tis to 50 and
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at workshops from 8 to 25 . The dimner-seminars also provided an opportunity to explore new possibilities. Dr. Judy Shulman, from the Far West Regional Laboratory, attended the October 1989 seminar to explain how writing "case" narratives of teaching and professional interactions can stimulate reflective discussion and help focus issues. Dr. Shulman has agreed to work with Pacific's project as part of her continuing interest in "case" literature. Copies of The Intern Teacher Casebook and Mentor Teacher Casebook were provided for participants.

The November 1989 seminar provided for a panel discussion reflecting on the first year of teaching. Pacific's goal was to get a clearer picture of what aspects of teacher preparation program teachers regard as most important. Participation for the February 1990 seminar included a request for participants to reflect on reactions to the project and future directions. Participants were asked to respond to the following questions:

- Where have we gotten tow What, if anything has been accomplished: Can you review your original expectations and update them:
- What kinds of things would you like to see accomplished this spring and next year (at workshops, at dinner meetings, in collaborative groups, at your own school):
- How can we move toward the Project 30 goal of identifying wavs to improve teaching to a culturally diverse population:

Some reflections follow:
This project is giving me an opportunity to make a contribution in the improwement of science teaching, particularly physics, at the elementary school level. and to prepare better teachers for this level of education. I personatly believe that it is in the primary school kevel that science should be properly introduced. (Professor Andres Rodrigue\%, Physies)

I sense a grass-roots dimension to the program that is very exciting. The people who work in the classroom are talking openty and the rest of us are getting a chance to listen- not to official reports on education, but to first-hand accounts by teachers in the field. (Professor Roger Mueller, English)

The most bencficial part of Project 30 for me has been talking with Coniversity profesoos about my teaching program. Bob Orpincla has visited my class and he gave me two insights into what and why 1 was teaching that helped me to be more reflective about what am doing. I have also leaned to use the process of writing to increase my
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understanding of the process of teaching. I have used dialogue journals with my student teacher and my co-teacher. Through writings I have learned how complicated the process of teaching is and how many teaching decisions I make each day. (Virginia Heumann, Classroom Teacher)

It is my hope that those of us in Project 30 would examine, research, and evaluate the experiences of new and experienced teachers and come to some manageable, valid and enduring conclusions about how teacher training can be changed for the better. (Patrice Stendahl. Classroom Teacher)

To date we have attended workshops and garnered some wonderful information, experiments, and projects which are very useful in the classroom. We have also been able to share our views of those types of teacher training that mat or may not be useful. (Seven Teachers from Mable Barron School)

The March 1990 Seminar introduced a representative from the Berkeley SLPER Project, a nationally known university/schools parmership program. Pacific was reminded of the need to carefully monitor its progress and continue to make corrections. In April, the seminar was in conjunction with the School of Education's ammual J. William Harris Lecture Series and PDK collaborative meeting. The speaker, Dr. Judith Harris, spoke on the vision of technological expansion in our schools. Teachers were offered the opportunity to be brought into the computer network with faculty via a new linkage called Internet.

Workshops and visitations to srhool sites provided the other focus. A number of workshops in physics, biological science, geology, astronomy, and writing were organized by faculty following teacher requests for assistance with the content of the workshop.

School visitations by liberal arts and sciences facult on an informal basis were most successful and have resulted in changes in Bob Orpinela's philosophy classes, among others, and a heightened awateness generally about what teachers need to know and be able to do. Bob Blaney's assistance with the development of a moral sense for teachers was well received.

The combination of activities, a new waiver program, and partnership and workshop activities have together created an atmosphere of reflection and professional renewal. White this was Pacifir's plan, that they were able to move in this direction so cohesively is encouraging. The placemon of Project 30) scholars into courses and into the schools will be a help to the linkages they have established and a barometer of their progress.

In 1990-91, the partners will begin to meet at the participating schools, as well as on the miversity campus. As each school hosts a dimer-seminar, participants
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will be able to learn more about prograns and circumstances different from their own. Governance and plaming for the panmership will also be broadened. As क्ष initial step, it is likely that an administrator and a teacher from each school will be asked to join the Project 30 team. Bringing other schools into the partnership will also be considered.

Dr. Judy Shuman, who spoke at a dinner-seminar this year, has agreed to visit the partnership twice next year to help participants develop narrative vignettes treating the partnership experience as a "case." At the invitation of Maureen Gravell of the Berkeley SLPER project, members of the partnership will be visiting SLPER to compare experiences and share advice. English professor Bob Cox and sixth-grade teacher Patricia Cox are engaged in joint research to understand successful strategies for teaching literature to LEP pupils in a "whole language" program.

Other efforts at collabomation are being considered at the Liniversity of the Pacific. The tean`s original hope that participating teachers might develop into science and language arts "mentors" for other teachers in their districts has taken a slightly different form with the recent agrecment of each of the participating schools to become a model site for a particular program emphasis: Taylor School wishes to develop a model science program, Oakwood a moder math program, and Mable Barron a mode language arts program. These emphase were selected by the schools themselves with the understanding that the full partnership would assist in developing them. District persomel and the comme ehooh office hate also expressod support.

Athough it is not clear exactly how the partnership will coolve hof hin thin point. or how it will be funded. there is considerable trust and enthusiant tor it leaders to draw upon. Participants want and expect to contimes. Comecting theparnership with the Berkeley SLPER project throngh the exchange of visits and speakers should provide experienced help in negotiating the transition to come. The partnership faces important questions at this point: How can it best move to more broadly shared leadership? How can new participants and new participating schools be incorporated? How can more efforts at coltabotative research be fostered and supported? How can partnership activities and programs best be "institutionalized" by the administration of the participating school districts so that teachers' work is acknowledged and rewarded: What is the best way to sustain a focus on finding better ways to teach minorite pupils: Where is the moner to support the partnership going to come from:

## Texas A\&I University

In the spring of 1986, the College of Education at Texas AXI, noting the serims wemer shortage in bilingual classrooms in South Texas, decided to select teacher ades who assis with instruction in elementary education and help them pursuc college work with the goal of having them attain a reading specialization and endorsement in bilingual education upon graduation.

Texas A\&I L'niversity

While working with the project, the College learned that over 6,000 teacher aides were employed in the fourteen counties of South Texas. Through participation in the program, these teacher aides, who currently were earning approximately $\$ 7,000$ a year, could eventually be capable of increasing their earning power to approximately $\$ 20,000$ per year, thereby impacting the economy in the region. Additionally, these minority teacher aides, who were already dedicated to helping students in the classroom, could become role models as full professionals in schools with predominantly minority populations. However, because these aides were immobile, the unique challenge for Texas $A \& I$ was to modify its program and bring it to the teacher aides rather than to expect the teacher aides to come to the A\&l campus.

For its approximately 200 participants-mainly Hispanic women in their midtwenties through forties, mothers, wives, and often heads of households-Texas A\&I Lniversity has modified its admin, istrative stmeture, registration procedures, course scheduling and delivery, as well as its support services, which are provided by a program coordinator.

To assist the participants in making the transition to campus, the Lniversity allows them to continue in their positions while working on their degree programs over a five- to six-year period. They enroll for six semester hours of credit every enrollment period (fall. spring and each of two summer sessions). By the time they register for their semester of student teaching, the teacher aides are in residency as full-time students. During this semester, they ask for a leave of absence from their jobs and are eligible for financial assistance.

Since the socio-economic level of many teacher aides is near the poverty index, financial assistance is obtained through local school board stipends. Title V'Il state and federal funds, Migrant Funds. Pell Grants. Texas Guaranteed Loans, and Hazelwood Grants for V'cterans.

In order to meet the educational requirements of the designated population, the Colleges of Arts and Sciences and Education schedule a variety of courses through several delivery systems: (a) long-term courses during the evenings, (b) long-term courses on Saturdars, (c) summer session daytime courses in blocks of three weeks, (d) three weekend minicourses (nine meetings in three weeks), and (e) long-term course offerings by cable television in the outreach area beginning with Benavides, Texas, A course in political science, for example, has been aired ower cable television to the aduh population residing in the cown. This is a cooperative effort between the town of Benavides, the Benavides Independent School System, the Center for Continuing Education at Texas A\&I Linversity, and the Deparment of Political Science at Texas A\&I. Participants in the teacher aide program are also encouraged to spend several weekends on campus in order to experience college life.

Course offerings in the program focus on intercisciplinary studies and reading in the elementary school. Summer workshops and inservice day-long sessions, on
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the wric: hand, focus on goal setting, image building, study skills, and family management. These workshop topics are among the concerns of the participants.

In the fall of 1988, a random sample of teacher aides who were enrolled in Art 101, Math 221. Education 372 and Education 321 responded to a questionnaire that yielded the following information:
I. The teacher aides were emploved in fifteen school districts, twelve of which were located in the Rio Grande Valley.
2. The age range was 18 to 70 , and the majority ranged in age from 30 to 40 .
3. All 70 respondents were Mexican American.
4. Of the respondents. 68 were female and two were male.

5 . The range of college hours completed was 0 to 91 . Over one-half of the teacher aides had between 0 and 24 semester hours. Several were approaching 60 hours. These were teacher aides who had transferred from Laredo Junior College. Pan American Cniversity-Edinburg. and Texas Southmost College.
6. All 70 were classified as Elementary Education majors with specializations in reading.
7. Fifty-two were married. 12 were single, and six were dirorced.
8. The group had entered Texas A\&I over a span of 10 years, 1978-88. One began college in 1978, three in 1986, 13 in 1987, and 26 in 1988.
9. Respondents harl taken and successfully completed courses in such areas as art, biology, education, history. math, physical education, Spanish, speech and sociologr.
10. Sixty-six of the respondents were assisted by one or more forms of financial aid. It was clear titat without the financial aid options, many teacher aides could not attend college.
11. The teacher aides felt positive about themselves and described a variety of accomplishments.
Project 30 has spurred Texas A\& I's efforts te continue working with teacher aides. Dr. Grace Hopkins, project leader, has been contacted by Education W'eekly for an interview conceming Project 30 . In addition, she has attended a meeting sponsored by Columbia Liniversity Teachers College and Howard Liniversity at the University of Delaware, where she spoke on the involving of minority teacher aides in Texas A\&I's teacher education program.

The Project 30 team strongly believes that the program needs to be expanded to other locales in South Texas, and the project leaders are committed to involving more school districts in bringing the project to its fruition.

## Weber State University

As part of its Project 30 goal of seming the educational needs of its area, Weber State University formed The Teacher Academs. an association of content area teachers who meet periodically during the shool year to interact, to receive
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recognition. and to experience personal enrichment and professional growth. The Academy is based on the premise that outstanding K - 12 teachers should be given opportunities to advance the quality of teaching and, in the process, benefit the communities in which they work and the parents and children they sere.

Academy teachers are selected by their districts in the spring of each year for the following school year. Approximately 40 teachers, half elementary and half secondary, are invited to attend the Academy, which meets five or six times during the school year. Activities for each year include a recognition and get-acquainted banquet; involvement with other professional organizations, such as the L'tah Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development and the L'tah Association of Teacher Educators: presentations by prominent educational leaders; workshops on subject-specific topics of interest and importance; and opportunities for participants to share ideas and information with fellow Academy members. Membership in the Academy is a personal and professional honor and one that should be shared by distinguished educators.

During the 1989-90 school year, the Academy focused on social studies teachers. During 1990-91, the Academy will focus on science teachers. The Academy will function on a five-year subject-specific rotation of teachers-Social Suties, Science. Mathematics, Language Arts, and Humanities and Healthỵ Life Stvles.

The Academy functions moder the on-going direction of a Teacher Academy Steering Committec made up of representatives from the participating school districts. Weber State, and other interested parties. Funds to assist in the financing of the Academy come from the districts, the college, and private sources. The Academy was very successfinl in its initial year (1989-90) with social studies teachers as Academy fellows. and the 1990-91 Academy with science teachers promises to be equally successful.

Weber State has also found its work with area schools and organizations has been helpful in the collection of information on which to base curricular reform. One of the central projects related to Project 30 has been to develop an assessment process for all alumni that deals not only with their Education School experiences at Wober State, but also with their arts and sciences backgrounc. Based on the information collected from questionaire responses, the team he pes to make major recommendations for piloting new academic models and restructuring existing programs toprovide future secondary school teachers with a better academic preparation.

The procedure included forming a committee of 20 college instructors and seven scoondary school teachers. from varions disciplines and backgrounds, to brainstorm ideas, procedures, and intended goals. A detailed questiommaire was prepared and sem to 155 teachers who had graduated from Weber State in the last five years. The questiomaire dealt with the demographics of the respondents. their teacher education preparation, their preparation in their major area. their preparation in their minor area, and continuing education for teachers over time.

Weber State Liniversity

The questiomaire stated that Weber State was interested in finding out "whether or not [Weher State University] ha; served you well in preparing you for your career in secondary education."

About 40\% of the teachers responded to the surver, and a sub-committee analyzed in great detail and recently made extensive recommendations for fur:her discussion, approval, and implementation. The recommendations were in the areas of teacher education preparation, preparation in the areas of academic major and minor, and in inservice programs for teachers. These recommendations were presented to the appropriate units for review and reaction and possible implementation. The administration of the college has been urged to support the piloting and restructuring of specific objectives by providing funds.

In addition, Weber State has continued its support of the L'tah Geographic Alliance and the Ogden Area History Teaching Alliance. Both of these academic alliances involve K - 12 teachers in the northem Ltah regioa. Both have been highly successful in chosing the social gap between professors and teachers and in broadening the appeal of history and geography in the schools. These two alliances provide vigorous support for their disciplines and provide an opportunity for teachers at all levels to share ideas and information. In 1989, the History Alliance received the Ltah Association of Teacher Educators' Exemplary. Project Award.

Weber State has found that changing the program of studies for the preparation of teachers is as difficult as changing the curriculum in any other phase of higher education, e.g. general education requirements or multiclisciplinary majors. The differences in philosophy about the direction of the programs are as taried; the goals of the program are as diverse; and the assumptions that underlay the individual courses are as strongly held. The collection of data by groups representing a wide spectrum of beliefs benefits the change process and should continue to support imnovation in the months and years to come.

## State University of New York at Buffalo

The State Linversity of New York at Buffak, (ollaborates with local schools by offering an imovative workshop to area teachers. For example, L'B's holocanst workshop uses and demonstrates an interdisciplinary teaching process in which a professor of psychiatry (Professor Noman Solkoff) and a professor of history (Professor William Allen) present the psechoiogical and historical factors leading to the holocaust, the preparations for genocide, the genocidal process, responses to knowledge of the holocaust, and the aftemath. The workshop has been offered to about 2 en secondary sehool teachers. Like L'B's world civilizations course, this workshop is in sep with the new social studies emphasis in New York State on global studies. Both contribute to the Project 30 theme. International Perspectives.

## California State University at Los Angeles

At California State Liniversity at Los Angeles, the Project 30 team selected fine arts and mathematics as the content areas of their project, and cally on the CSLA team concluded that having a public school parmer as a full participant in the effort would be the best form of collaboration for their project. After much discussion, it was decided to invite Ramona, a small $\mathrm{K}-8$ school near campus. os participate. The principal. a graduate of the CSL A credential program in educational administration. was new to her position and most enthusiastic about working with the university.

The fine arts focus of the project involved the desire of the principal to initiate a variety of "elective" classes in music and the visual and performing arts, which at the time were absent from the curriculum because the school district could not afford to provide specialists for them due to severe budgetary constraints. Thus. the major impediment to providing these subjects was a lack of expertise and training for the "rolunteer" art, music, and performing atts teachers. After discussion with the campus team. a plan was formulated that would bring faculte. from the universite to Ramona to provide in-service training and on-site technical assistance in the delivery of instruction in these areas. Also. the plan included a number of field trips to the university fon plats and other events sponsored by or produced by the School of Arts and Letters or its deparments.

The project in mathematios was somewhat different. Students at school did not have the opportunity to take any mathematics beyond tepical eight grade "general" math. With the help of a mathematics faculty member from the School of Natural and Social Sciences and a pedagose faculty member from the School of Education, a mathematics teacher at Ramona designed a pre-atgebra class for eighth grade students with the aptitude and potential to successfully complete the course. Using part of the funds from Project 30. the team agreed to purchase calculators and textbooks for the students in the pre-algebra class.

The team enjoved the opportunity to work collaboratively among the three university divisions and Ramona. Of course. with resources being what they are. additional financial support would have been extremely beneficial.

## Brooklyn College

Brooklyn College has collabonated with Kingshorough Commmity College in preparing a jointly registered program supported by the New York State Education Deparment. Recognizing the strengths of the Brooklyn College program whike restructuring the education offerings of Kingsborough, the faculty from the wo colleges have met for the past two years in complex negotiations to design experiences that honored the ethos of carh institution.

Questions about course content and pedagogs, as well as the piotal isumes surrounding culture and language, have been addressed. The result has been the drafting of a Jointlv Registered Program which, when adopted. will enable
students from the two-year college to graduate from Brookkn College as education majors in a cohesive, viable program.

## Baruch College

At Baruch College, Ms. Cecily Cotting and Professors Cecelia McCall and Emily DiMartino developed a proposal for a demonstration project, KIDLINK, for two New York City elementary classrooms. The goals of the project are to promote the development of multicultural and technological literacy for the participating chitdren and, in addition, to provide for greater commumication between the college faculty and the students, student teachers and cooperating teachers in these classrooms.

Over a period of several months, the three colleagues discussed their ideas with many Baruch faculty and staff, including Project members, school personnel, and corporate representatives. They have refined the proposal and are currently seeking major funding. Baruch provided start-up resources for summer 1990.

Three forms of literact-the written word, multicultural awareness, and technological skill-will be linked in a mified learning experience for the demonstration group. This initial phase of the project will umite the students and teachers in a third grade class at Public School 87, a muhtethnic New York City elementary school (where Dr. DiMartino supervises student teachers), and the second graders at Hunter College Campus Schools, well-known for its racially diverse and academically gifted student body (where Ms. Cottling teaches fourth grade); the student teachers of Baruch; and faculty members from the School of Liberal Arts and Science, the School of Education and Educational Services, the Education Computer Center, and the Library.

At the outset, KIDLINK participants will study historical primers: their purpose, their impact on the target audiences. their usefuhess as historical sources, and their literary structure. This analysis will be based on a curriculum developed as a pre-project activity by the student teachers, cooperating teachers and college specialists. After studying these aspects of historical primers, the elementary school students involved in the project will write their own multicultural primer, reflecting their cultural backgromends and values.

Through their participation in KIDILNK, the students of today and the teachers of tomorrow will develop a basic multicultual literacy that inchodes:

- familiarity with cultures from all continents;
- familiarity with ways of learning about other cultures-openness to differences and variety;
- an ability to communicate the values of one-s own culture without imposing these values on others.

Baruch College

The content of the multicultural primer will be developed using a writing process method, oral history, family participation research, and field trips. Each learning experience will be focused around a single letter of the alphabet and will consist of an exploration of the important people, ideas, stories and objects of importance in each child`s culture.

Students will be organized into work teams across classrooms in order to promote access to other children from differing backgrounds. A variety of communication, media and information technologies will be used by the children for the following purposes:

- to gather information
- to send and receive information
- to analyze information
- to produce information

All KIDLINK classrooms will be comnected via Fax machines. telephones, computers, and television monitors. The children will use computerized data bases as well as develop one of their own. Word processing and desk top publishing software will be used for writing and presenting the KIDLI $\vee \mathrm{K}$ primer. Video and audio equipment will provide a visual/spoken alternative to the written word and will be available for gathering data at home and in the field.

In cooperation with their classroom teachers, these student teachers will develop lessons in writing techniques, reading, research skills, computer and technology skills, and social studies.

Student teachers will work with Baruch College faculty to develop a curriculum unit focusing on the themes of prejudice, cultural conflict, positive cultural contacts and conflict resohtion. Because of their work in both the schools and the College, student teachers will serve as the liaison among all the KIDLINK participants.

Baruch envisions in the years to come that this pilot project will grow into a regional, national and intemational network of KIDLINK classrooms connected through technology and experimenting with other curriculum projects that reflect the goals of the program. It is anticipated that the KIDIINK network will be supported by a multicultural/technology center at Baruch College.
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## Improving: Mathematics Instrugetion

A number of Project 30 schools focused their reform efforts in the content areas of mathematics and science. Since math and science have been identified as problem areas at every educational level in this country, Project 30 schools seeking to improve the teaching and learning of math and science are engaged. essentially; in a semice activity: service to their education students, semice to the communities whose schools would be hiring these future teachers, and finally, service to our country. This chapter describes a number of projects to improve mathematics education, each fozusing a different approach.

## State University of New York at Buffalo

At the State University of New York at Buffalo Professor Stephen Brown of the Graduate School of Education has been teaching a linked pair of courses, one for undergraduates and one for graduate students, dealing with mathematics and humanism. The courses grow from the observation that mathematics is perceived by most students as an orerly technical subject that is uncomnected with general culture and with questions of value and aesthetics.

The undergraduate course is a seminar for honors students. Against a backdrop of mathematical content focusing primarily on topics from elementary number theory, the course seeks to identify ways in which mathematics connects up with experiences of a non-mathematical nature. Among the themes explored are the functions of metaphor in mathematical thought; the role of language in mathematical experiences: surprise and its functioning in thinking: humor and the mathematical experience; the tensions between problem posing and problem soking; anxiety and the doing of mathematics; and the different forms of mathematical discourse.

The graduate course is a seminar intended for graduate students in the arts and sciences as well as in education. Students are sought who enjoy reflecting on matters of epistenology in their fields of scholarship, who are concened with questions of the relationship of personhood to the acquisition of knowledge and who thrive on ambiguity as well as interdisciplinary exploration. Although this seminar has actually attracted only education students (with one exception, a student in library science), the students do represent diverse fields, including anthropology, history, English, mathematics, computer science, foreign languages, and philosophy of education. The students in the graduate seminar, in teans of three, assist with the teaching of the undergradtate seminar. In preparation for teaching an undergraduate chass, cach team presents on two successive weeks its conceptual and pedagogical agenda to the graduate seminar for criticism. Following the class, the tean presents to the gradnate seminar an exaluation of the teaching experience and writes a paper analying some aspect of the theme and/or pedagngical issues related to it.

State L'niversity of New York at Buffalo

After some experience teaching these courses, the instructor reflected as follows on the impact of the course on the graduate students:

My primary impression is that new worlds were opened for the graduate students in terms of ways of perceiving mathematics in non-technical ways. Perhaps the most valuable part of the experience for them was the opportunity for mathophiles and mathophobes to collaborate in an effort 10 understand an emerging body of knowledge and to team-teach the undergraduates. It was a source of both amazement and potential research for students talented in mathematical thinking to discover that colleagues of theirs with a literary bent could think quite abstractly, yet be frozen by the introduction of a mathematical variable in the conversation. On the other hand, several of the humanists accurately identified an inclination of the mathophiles to cover up rather than expose their thinking by the use of symbolism.

The seminar was, among other things, an opportunity for begiming as well as experienced teachers to inquire into fundanental pedagogical issues. I was particularly impressed with the zeal with which they songht supplementary reading material for graduate as well as undergraduate class presentations. In addition, they were able to organize their teaching of the undergraduates in an experiential rather than dedactic manner. Heary emphasis was placed upon strategies for framing issues in an open manner and in encounaging as many different points of view as possible.

Tuming to the impact on undergraduates. the instructor commented:
Though they felt comfortable expressing themselees in class, the [undergraduate] students for the most part were not well disposed to listen carefully to each other. Linlike the graduate counterparts, the two cultures among the undergraduates (i.e.. the mathophiles and the mathophobes-in this case with a negligible intersection) were less inclined to learn from each other. In addition, they were considerably less introspective than I had hoped.

Perhaps the most fundamental difficulte with the content of this course was that it was for the most part exploratory in nature. While there was an inclination to discuss opinions, many of the students (especially so among the mathophiles) tended rather quickly to operate on the conversation as if it were a traditional mathematics problem. There were frequent comments to the effert, "Oh yes. I get it"-implying that the conversation could thereby endwhen in fact we were just laying out the problematic terman. The were for the most part less inclined to explore the new terain in a creative way than they were to "grasp it" as if they were preparing for a test.

## University of Pennsylvania

At the Liniversity of Pennsylvania, Dr. Herman Gluck and math department members, in consultation with faculty in teacher education, redesigned a math course with the interests and needs of prospective elementary school teachers in mind but also open to other students in the School of Arts and Sciences. Rather than focusing on advanced mathematical functions and analysis, the course explores how mathematical concepts are formed; the strategies that children and adults use to think about, set, and solve mathematical problems; and the history and philosophy of mathematics. The course emphasizes collaborative learning, hands-on puzales and problems that int.oduce more complicated mathematical concepts, and the use of brainstorming and other problem-solving strategies.

The problem with the course is its tremendous popularity. Because it offers a different way of thinking about and knowing concepts in math, the course has attracted many students who are not majoring in education but who wish to pursue college-level math. Fortunately the math department has arranged for education students to enro!! in specially designated rectation sections where issues of learning and pedagogy are emphasized. Informal assessment indicates that the course makes a difference in the competence and attitudes of teacher education students who later take a required course in the pedagegy of mathematics at the school of education.

## University of Dayton

Students at the Liniversity of Dayton in the elementary education program were required until 1988 to complete a one- semester math class and to tahe a second math methods class. Faculty members in the Deparment of Teacher F.ducation decided to add a new math couse to the curriculum in 1989. However, both students and faculty expressed concerns that an additional math course in and of itself was not sufficient. What students needed was a class that provided them with appropriate new mathematical knowledge for teaching in an elementary school. A committee of three teacher education faculty and three faculty from the mathematics department was formed to design a new mathematics course with this goal in mind.

The new jointly-signed course extends sudents' mathematical skills and problem-solving abilities and is intended to foster greater understanding for the mathematical concepts that form the basis for the curriculum in clementary mathematios. The course is not "watered-down" math, but tather a course designed and taught to be of direct benefit to students desiring to teach at the elementary level.

## Howard University

At Howard L'niversity a mathematies content and a mathematics methods instructor held regular meetings to review their course syllabi to identify points where concerted effort needed to be made to comect theoretical and practical matters related to teaching. Subsequenth, these two professors held fruitful discussions on modifications that both should make in order of produce a content-pedagogical balance in their instruction.

The instructors then visited schools to observe elementary and secondary students in the pre-sudent teaching of mathematics to determine further changes or modifications for their teaching. They met with the Project 30 T eam to offer suggestions for continuation of this practical exercise and to recommend that a science component atso undertake a similar examination, as math and science are areas of most critical need. Key players in the science area, a botany professor and the science methods instructor, have voluntered to undergo a similar exercise.

## University of New Mexico

At the Liniversity of New Mexicef the Project 30 team began serious planning in the summer of 1988 . with the intial focus on communications. in particular the liaison efforts of the Joint Mathematies Advisory (ouncil (J.AAC). This committee is a mique partnership among the Deparment of Mathematies and Statistics, the College of Education, and the Albuguerque Public Schools. It had stated some years previously as one of several such committees for different disciplines. This was the only surviving group, and the aim of Project 30 was to see that it not only sumvised, but thrived.

The prevous year. JMAC : had sponsored a begimning effort in the area of student preparation modeled on a widely-imitated program initiated in Ohio during the late seventies: the Junior Mathematics Prognostic (JCMP). This mathematies placement examination was given to students at a mumber of high schools around the state while they were juniors and still a year anay from graduation. The idea was to convince students to remedy possible mathematical deficiencies whike still in high school. Project 30 temm was interested in continuing the JLMP program.

Another plan was to apply for an NSF grant to identify outstanding high-ochool mathematios teachers. bring them together for workshops and a recognition banquet. and connect them in a computer network. This was modeled on successful programs in Minnesota and Nebraska.

After the Woodlands meeting, which the Project 30 tean found intellectuallchallenging and fill of imovative ideas. the team comtimed to emphasize the rapidly expanding Jt MI' project and began a anew effort: teacher enhancement workshops in the use of the new calculators capable of drawing graphs on a sereen. The belief was that the use of these low-cost tools could make a qualitative difference in the way sudemts leam. Qne of the first steps a trained scientist takes
when confronted be a problem is to draw graphs of the functions involved. Even if a sohtion is not immediately forthoming, considerable extra insight is obtained. By contrast, the average mathematics student sees the construction of a graph as just an additional burden. Sadly, this attitude is often well grounded since, more often than not, the student's graph is incorred and misleading. The committee felt that the use of the graphing calculator would help the student adopt the problem-solsing techmigues of the trained scientist. Emphasis would be on the use of the calculator as a laboratory instrument to gather data and check hypotheses.

In the spring of 1989 , the Project 30 team continued its efforts in the areas of both mathematics placement and calculator traming. Professor Nancy Gonzales, a Project 30 team member and director of the JUMP program, organized a "debriefing" meeting in Albuquerque that was wellattended by participating teachers from around the state. Response to the meeting was overwhelmingly positive, and an mexpected benefit was found to be the sharing of ideas and the "networking" among the teachers. Project 30 team member Dr. Metzler, in partuership with a teacher at Highland High School, presented a workshop on the use of low-cost graphing calculators for middle and high-school teachers in April. Also in the spring of 1989 , the team received word that the Cniversity of New Wexico Foundation had agreed to fund a program of six Saturday workshops in the use of graphing calculators to be presented at locations around the state. Teachers selected to participate would be given a graphing calculator and trained in the use of the machine.

A new direction for the committee wats an experimental calculus course to be offered in the fall of 1989 that would abo take advantage of the graphing calculator. Dick Meteler was scheduled as the teacher and the plan was to give students long-term individual projects, often involving use of the calculators, that would encourage them to analye a problem in depth. Instead of an exchsive regimen of examinations that reward good shonterm memory: the students would be given tanks that required organized sustained effort. This more nearly corresponds to the real situation of the world of work. The effort was modeled on a successful program at New Mexicos sister institution, New Mexico State Chiversity.

At about this time the Project 30 team became more inolved in an ongoing JMAC preject to monitor the proposed changes in the standards for mathematio teacher certification in New Mexico. Tean members Rick Scot and Nincy Gonzales stated communicating with interested parties about the requirements for certification in mathematics. The hope wats that it could be ensured that those students minoring, as well as those majoring, in mathematics. would hate an adequate backgromed for secondary instruction.

In the couly fall of 1989 , Project 30 tean members joined epererentatives from the public scliools. the College of Feducation and the Deparmen of Mathematios and Statistic in a JMAC (-inspired project to design new "handern" pre-service
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curricula for elementary teachers. The idea was to incorporate the use of manipulatives in these courses and to model effective teaching.

Though the multi-year proposal to the National Science Foundation was not funded, the Project 30 team was pleased to hear that an Eisenhower grant had been obtained for a four-week course in the summer of 1990. Dick Metzler was scheduled to teach this course which would train teachers in the use of the HP285 "supercalculator" in their classrooms. Plamning started for the recruitment of twenty teachers from around the state.

In October the first Saturday calculator workshop was held in Las Cruces, New Mexico. Calculators were available for twenty participants and there were 45 applications. A second session was scheduled for Las Cruces in November to accommodate the overflow.

In early 1990, the team sponsored additional workshops on graphing calculators. The calculator workshops continued true to the pattern found in tiie first two. Each mailing produced twice the number of participants that could be accommodated at a single workshop and a make-up was scheduled. The workshop experiences illustrated the unique psychological holding power of the calculators; it was often difficult to get the participants to break for coffee or lunch.

The summer course in the use of the symbolic, graphing "supercalculator" was first advertised in Jant:nry'. Fifty applications were received for the wenty openings. The course started in June and each participant was given a calculator and had his or her tuition paid. Participants from outside Albuquerque were given a stipend for per diem. Attendance was excellent and, as was noted at the workshops, people often worked right through the break. Another measure of commitment was the fact that seven of the twenty purchased their own accessory printers.

The participants in this four-week course agreed that the calculators would have the most classroom impact by far, if their students could experience the "psychological holding power" for themselves. The unanimous opinion was that every effort should be made to obtain a "taveling classroom set" of nine or ten calculators to circulate among the participants. Approcal from the granting arency has been requested for a transfer of funds to obtain such a classroom set.
fwo . XSF applications have been submitted for programs to train college instructors and high school teachers in the use of the HP-28S. Another Eisenhower application will be submitted for a 1991 summer program to sewe the people turned away this summer.

The team is confident that the continuing efforts in the areas of workst:ops, summer courses and elementary teacher training will have a significant positive effect on mathematics education in New Mexico.
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## Improving Scifnce Instrection

A number of Project 30 schools chose to focus their efforts primarily in the area of science education.

## Winthrop College

Winthrop College already had in place distinctive courses in the sciences for students preparing to become elementary and early childhood teachers. These courses were not watered-down versions of "real" courses offered to noneducation students but rather laboratory courses designed to meet the needs of prospective teachers. Nevertheless, the instructors wanted to examine these courses in light of current research in student misconceptions as well as their own informal findings that Winthrop students were not relating the science course content to their lives.

Thus, Project 30 at Winthrop College began with discussions between selected faculte in the School of Education and the College of Arts and Sciences on appropriate science content and teaching strategies for elementary and early childhood education majors. These discussions focused on those courses required for elementary education and early childhood majors. These courses have been offered at Winthrop for ten years and were based on early National Science Teacher Association (NSTA) standards, which are now the basis for NCATE standards for elementary science teachers.

The assumption underlying these standards is that students will learn more meaningful science content and processes through laboratory investigation than through a traditional lecture course. Winthrop had developed three courses to mect these standards. each laboratory based and carrying four semester credits: Investigative Physical Science (PHS 103); Investigative Earth Science (GOL 120) and Investigative Biology (BIO 210). Because of the interests and expertise of the faculte involved in Project 30, the Winthrop tean decided to focus their work on the physical science and earth science courses.

In order to further their Project 30 goats, Winthrop agreed that a faculty member in the School of Education wonld teach sections of the physical science course along with faculty from the Chemistry/Physics department. This encouraged close cooperation and discussions among the team members who taught the courses. While they did not team-teach, they planned cooperativel and met weekly to discuss the courses. In response to Whitehead's admonition to "teach few things but teach them well." they identified the major concepts within phesical science and earth seience that should be known by any well edncated non-science matior. These concepts inchoded:
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Investigative Physical Science

- Sudy of Motion and Newton's Laws
- Energy Transformation and Conservation
- The Atomic (Particulate) View of Matter
- The Organization and Strucure of the L'niverse

Investigative Earth Science

- The Theory of Plate Tectonics
- The Atmosphere and The Oceans
- Earth Materials and Surface Processes

Once the instructors agreed upon the "big ideas" of the courses, they identified student misconceptions about these fundamental concepts and designed learning activities to dispel these misconceptions. For example, the literature documents student misconceptions relating to laws of motion, the atomic view of matter, and Earth-Sun relationships. The instructors identified student misconceptions by administering paper and pencil items adopted from interview questions. A sample item is shown in the illustration for "The Case of Jane."

After the major concepts were identified, learning activities were designed to teach these concepts. Whenever possible, the activities were designed around the teaching model known as the learning cycle, which consists of three stages: exploration, concept development, and concept application. Wuch of the process of Winthrop's Project 30 effort, particularly for the actual teachers, was devoted to working and reworking content topics, activities, and labs to be used in the courses.

In the Spring of 1989, the effort to revise these courses began. That first semester, a faculty member from the School of Education tanght a section of PHS 103 in addition to those sections taught by Arts and Sciences faculty. The faculty teaching PHS 103 and GOI. 120 planned cooperatively. The initial modification of these courses was to identify the major concepts to be taught and to design learning cycles to teach them. As each semester progressed, changes in the courses evolved from mutual experiences. For example, topics to be taught were added or deleted, the order in which the topies were introduced was changed. and activities were modified. This evolution is ongoing as of this writing.

The results of this approach have been disappointing thus far. In spite of the instructors' possessing strong pedagogical content knowledge, assessing for existing student misconceptions, and developing specific activities to address them, student misconceptions in science remain extremely resistant to change. To illustrate this point, ionsider the case of "Janc":

Jance is a bright, attractive, hard-working, pleasant young woman majoring in clementary education with a cumulative grade point ratio of 3.7 on a 4.0 scale. Jane is one of those students that make the teaching profession
rewarding. She will become an oustanding teacher. In short. Jane is one of the college's very best. Yet, Jane serves to illustrate how firmly science misconceptions are held and how resistant to change they are.

In the summer of 1989, Jane took PHS 103 (Investigative Physical Science). As a pre-test, Jane was administered a Piagetian interview (the pendulum task) and based on that task, is fully formal operational. In addition to the pendulum task, Janc completed a test on common science misconceptions. On the "tennis ball" item (see illustration) Jane responded in a classic Aristotelian manner that the force acting on the temnis ball was always in the direction of travel (b-c-a) as opposed to the Newtonian view that the force of gravity is acting on the ball at all points in its flight (a-a-a). This is not a surprising result. In one study of misconceptions, fewer than 22

Suppose a boy throws a temnis ball a little way up into the air.


If the ball is on the way up. in which direction is the net force acting on the ball?
A.

There is no force on the ball.

If the ball is at the top of the flight, which arrow shows the net force acting on the bail:


There is no force on the ball.

If the ball is on the way down. which arrow shows the net force acting on the ball:
1
A.
B.
©

The Temmis Ball Question
percent of physics students chose the Newtonian view of a-a-a on the item. Thus. one objective of the PHS 103 course was to cause the students to modify their world view from that of Aristotle to that of Newton.

At the end of the course, Jane was again asked the "temis ball" question on the final exam. This time, Jane responded with a Newtonian answer of a-da. In addition. she conld explain her answer in an acceptable scientific manner. Two weeks later. fanc enrolled in Elementary Education 431: Teaching Science in the Elementary School. As a portion of that course, a quiz on science misconceptions was administered that included the "temnis ball" item. To the chagrin of
the instructor. Jane responded as she had at the beginning of the investigative physical science course: b-c-a, the Aristotelian answer. One interpretation of this result is that Jane "learned" the Newtonian answer for a school requirement (PHS 103) but that school knowledge was kept separate from her personal knowledge of the world around her.
The questions we are left with are: How many students leave science courses with their personal knowledge unaffected by what ther have studied: If a student's personal knowledge is unaffected, has the student leamed the content needed to be a teacher: If a student's personal knowledge is unaffected, how can that student develop the pedagogical content knowledge needed to teach the subject to his/her students:

The experience with "Jane" was instructive demonstrating how difficult it is for college instructors to effect meaningful learning. Yet, clearly it is crucial for elementary teachers to have a deep understanding of their subject matter. That is, the prospective teacher must understand the "big ideas" of a discipline, the relationship of these ideas within the discipline and to other disciplines. and the processes by which knowledge is genemated within the discipline. Without this understanding. teachers will continue to view their role as helping pupils simply accrue information about a discipline rather than guiding students to an understanding of the discipline. Equipped with a deep understanding of the discipline, the teacher will be more able to develop the pedagogical content knowledge necessary to be an effective teacher.

There are significant barriers to the development of a deeper underatanding of science by non-science majors. Perhaps the most signiffeant barrier is the misconceptions that students bring with them to class; whether one calls them misconceptions, altemative frameworks or naive theories, they serve as lenses through which students view the world. Often, the student's view not only is croneous. incomplete, and fragmented but interferes with learning. Research had shown that these misconceptions were difficult to change. However, the degree of resistance by students to change and modify their understanding of the physical world was astonishing.

A second barrier to sudents development of a deep understanding of : discipline is his/her experience with school. College sudents have had a minimum of twelve years experience in school. which has taught them the nules of the "game of school." This game is a simple one: if you tell the teacher what (s) he wants to hear, you win. The "game of school" does not often encourage reflective thinking.

This conditioning of students caused them difficulty with the exploration phase of the learning cycle. Rather than approaching the investigation from a spirit of intellectual inquiry, the student assmed that the instructor would eventually reveal the desired answer. Thus the student saw little need to observe and measure carefully, reflect on the possible interpretations of the data and draw Iegieal conclusions. The student often became frustated when the instructor did
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not behave in the expected way. This often necessitated that the laboratory investigations be repeated two or more times to obtain meaningful data.

In light of their experience through Project 30, Winthrop is refocusing the target courses on critical content and student outcomes and modifying the instructional delivery in PHS 103. Rather than relying mainly on the learning cycle as the instructional strateg;, Winthrop intends to explore other models such as the generative learning model of Wittrock or the concept mapping model of Gowen and Novak.

## University of Maryland at College Park

At the Cniversity of Maryland, Dr. Linda Berg wrote the syllabus for a proposed capstone course for life science and science education seniors. The theme selected for this prototype, pilot course, was global slimate change. The course is conceptualized as an interdisciplinary investigation of global greenhouse warming with specific attention to the causes, probable consequences, and ways to deal with it over the next 100 years. The content of the course will include attention to scientific data but will also require students to consider social, historical and policy factors associated with the topic.

The students will be encouraged to organize into small teams on the basis of the topic to be addressed in a term paper. The instructor will review all proposed topics. Term papers will be five to ten pages in length. Maryand believes that this course will make the science education students better teachers because they will be guided to view science in the very broad context of the impact of global warming on people and institutions.

The global climate course fits in with Maryland's revision of its undergraduate curriculum under the campus-endorsed plan, "Promises to Keep." This document includes a senior capstone experience for each major:

As much as possible, the capstone course should be a culmination of the student's baccalaureate study. The course should be designed to maximize the student's oppertunity to use the discipline (s) of the field, to bring together learning from different parts of the curriculum, to resolve important problems that are raised by the exercise, and to deal with relevant value questions. In other words, the work should require the student to demonstrate the ability to synthesize ideas, to think critically, to exercise judgement, and to communicate the results of her or his work.

The proposed global climate course constitutes the Martand Project 30 team's effort to develop a senior capstone experience for seniors majoring in the Colleges of Life Sciences, Agriculture, and Education (specifically Science Education), which would help students visualize their discipline within a broader "liberal arts" framework.

The course, Biology 495, focuses on a significant biological issue or problem (the impact of global climate change) for investigation. It will incorporate an interdisciplinary approach so that participants will cone to a thorough understanding of all aspects of the issue. It will utilize research data and literature from various disciplines. It will ask specific questions that may be addressed from a number of perspectives: those of scientists, educators, Americans, and world citizens. This course will serve as a prototype for future capstone courses to be developed in the Colleges of Life Sciences, Agriculture, and Education. Approval will be sought as a capstone course for the L'niversity's Core Program when the Core Committee is ready to consider capstone courses.

Some of the questions that Biology 495 will focus on include:
a. What factors contribute to global change?
b. What means do scientists have to understand the causes of climate change?
c. Can scientists really predict future change, and what are the uncertainties:
d. What are the implications of global climate change for policy makers? businesses: average people:
e. What can educators do to help students reduce and/or prepare for global climate change?
The course will be divided into three parts. (1) It will examine the causes of global warming and the scientific evidence for the greenhouse effect to obtain a thorough understanding of the problem. (Evidence from a number of scientific fields will be presented, including biology, geography, chemistry, meteorology, and astronomy.) (2) It will examine problems that may result from a warming of the Earth, stich as a rise in sea level, changes in precipitation, and increased incidence and severity of tropical storms. (3) It will develop a comprehensive plan to address these problems. Weekly discussions will center on selected films and readings. The last five discussion sections will be used for presentations (the class project).

A short research paper relating the impacts of the global greenhouse effect to disciplines outside science will be required. Paper topics, which must be approred by the instructor, include:

1. Global greenhouse as a manifestation of Judeo-Christian doctrines.
2. An examination of the politics of global warming.
3. The impact of the threat of global change on the environmental movement in the Cinited States.
4. The history of the human impact on glohal climate change: when it all began.
5. Wimners and losers: global change will impact different natous in different ways.
6. The effect of global wamming on public heath policies.
7. The sociological effects of global waming on major cities.
8. The economic impact of global change: who will pat?
9. Technological "fixes" for global warming.
10. A position statement on whether we should tax emitters of greenhouse gasses.
The class will be assigned the following project: As a group of experts on the greenhouse effect and global climate change, you have been assembled by the President of the United States to develop a comprehensive 10 -year plan to address the issue of global waming.* Your plan must include these 5 components:
11. Prevention of global climate change.
12. Mitigation of the effects of global climate change.
13. Adaptation to global climate change.
14. An education program on global climate change, grades K-12.
15. Costs of prevention, mitigation, and adaptation.
(*Note: The main focus of the class project in Spring 1991 will be to develop a 10-year plan for the Linited States. Future class projects might be to develop a comprehensive 10 -year plan for the United Nations, a 100 -year plan for the L'nited States, or a plan for a Third World nation such as Bangladesh.)

## University of Dayton

Elementary education majors at the University of Dayton have been required to take two science courses, one biological and one physical, as part of their general education at the Linersity of Dayton. In reviewing elementary curricula, however, the faculty felt that much of the content was related to earth science. At the February 1990 meeting of the Department of Teacher Education, the faculty roted to add geology to the preservice curriculum. The course was selected after extensive discussion with faculty from the geology department and following substantial faculty dialogue about the type of science content knowledge that prospective elementary teachers need in order to successfully twach science in an elementary classroom.

## Vassar College

As a part of their Project 30 work, Vassar College proposed a new model science education course for clementary teachers that would entail having senior faculty in Biologr working with teacher practioners. The course had a successful trial rum, attracting 18 senior elementary education sturtents, and combining field and chassioom work. The process of working out the participation of a senior faculty member in Biology set the stage for a repeat of the course in 1990-91.

The science education course, Vassar feels, will be a permanent part of the teacher education sequence, and they are discussing with the Dean of the College a consistent wav to staff the conrse with senior faculty from Biology. Undoubtedy: this results in a closer relationship between liberal arts and education faculty. Th. course is team-taught and the students are generally from education, with a scattering from other areas.

## Memphis State University

Duning the course of Project 30 at Memphis State University, science deparments have become increasingly involved in inservice teacher education. The Departments of Geography and Curriculum and Instruction, for the first time, jointly conducted a geographic literacy workshop, a three-year NSF inservice project for teachers. A Department of Physics faculty member, sponsored by the College of Arts and Sciences and Project 30. accompanied a team of Shelby County science teachers to the American Institute of Physics Association’s summer institute on "Operation Physics." Two faculty members in Chemistry received a grant from the American Chemical Society to attend the Institute for Chemical Education's summer institute in Madison, Wisconsin. They subsequently began planning summer courses in Chemical Demonstrations for elementary and secondary teachers in conjunction with two Kids Camps. The Department of Chemistry hosted a "Doing Chemistry Workshop" for teachers in October of 1989. The Department of Biology expanded its "Let"s Talk Biology" seminars.

Even the plaming that took place for the national Project 30 . Monterey conference had an impact on science education in the area. The team developed brochures, posters, and a slide show for the meeting and one of the brochures was able to serve a dual purpose. In addition to detailing Project goals and activities for other Project 30 teams, the brochure was used to inform area teachers of planned events. Copies were distributed to all science teachers in two school systems, as well as to science department chairs of all independent schools in the Memphis area. For the first time, information from science and education departments regarding programs for both students and teachers was consolidated. If a chemistry workshop for teachers was offered the same week as a physics workshop for teachers, it was by design, not by accident. The completed brochure was the cummation of intensive collaboration and planning by both the Project 30 team and an Advisory Board,

Some problems arose with distribution of Project 30 materials. Since poor communication between teachers and the Liversity had been cited by the Advisory Board as a problem, flyers and annomements were mailed out prior to every planned activity. At first, no attempt was made to coordinate these mailings, with each department sending out its own. The normal method of delivery was through the city and county schools' mailing system, thereby by-passing the $L^{\prime} . S$. Post Office.

While city and county persomnel were happy to provide this service in the beginning. it wasn't long before the county's science edncation coordinator telephoned to say that her staff was being inundated with printed material: "Help! It's wonderful to get all this material telling us what is going on, but my staff is now working for Project 30 one day each week." To alleviate pressures on her staff, the Project 30 team scoured a list of all the science teachers in the eity and county sehools, categorized by school. Each science department chairperson was
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then sent a package containing enough flyers for each science teacher within his/her school. This greatly decreased both the time needed to prepare mailing labels (now computerized) and to sort the mailing (both tasks originally done by the system staff). The new system worked out very well.

In Jannany 1990, the phesics deparment hosted its annual Unity in Science teacher inservice day. A sit-down lumcheon was held for approximately 275 teachers. There were no formal presentations during the luncheon. but teachers had the opportunity to interact with Project 30 team members, session speakers, and MSU faculty and administrators.

In order to coordinate and tend to the details of the scheduled activities, a graduate "Seminar in Science Education," CIED 7/8608, was created so that each student enrolled took responsibility for planning, organizing, and carrying out one or more assigned Project 30 activities. For instance, the graduate student who supervised the collaborative high school program received credit for her activities, as well as peer support throughout her efforts from fellow students enrolled in this course. Other students conducted each of the four Linity in Science Symposia, organized the state Science Association of Temessee meeting, worked with city representatives to coordinate city-wide Earth Day activities. and planned the public presentations on Global Warming. One of the requirements for the course was a report on the experience, suitable for publication.Members of this course made up the Project 30 Support Team. They were assisted by the Project Coordinator, whose duties included compiling information from each of the participating departments into a cohesive package to be distributed to area teachers. The coordinator was also responsible for preparing and distributing all announcements, brochures, symposimm programs, and nomination forms for teacher workshops, and assisted the science departments in their planning of summer workshops. Each department handled its own secretarial dhties, althongh the team leader's secretary took responsibility for all financial arrangements.

The Project 30 team sent one member to the National L'niversities Continuing Education Association Conference in February. The conference, whose theme was "Science as a Liberal Art," provided additional insights into how to improve science education and also served to establish further contacts with science literacy and space teleseope specialists.

Following up on their intial enthusiasm regarding the uses of technology in education and instnuction, the Project 30 team initiated efforts to ratise the awareness of both the MSL administration and faculte about the new uses of such technologies as interactive videodises linked with voice syntbesizers and overhead projectors using liquid crystal displays. A team member contacted the VicePresident of Plaming and Public Sorvice, who arranged for a demonstration of the media capabilities of MSL"s Fogelman lixecutive (enter. The team subsequently tomed other MSC' facilities to assess media technologies available. particularly in the College of Arts and Sciences. They then contacted a local video consulting firm, Memphis Commmication Corporation (MCX), and aranged a

Memphis State L'niversity
preview session of its technological capabilities for selected administrators and faculty at the MCC studio.

Eighteen MSC' representatives attended. This preview resulted in additional support for the Project 30 team's commitment to making such technologies readily accessible to both students and teachers on campus. The Project 30 team contacted the regional Senior Academic Specialist from IBM, who brought a three-member team to Memphis State. The team met with College of Education and Arts and Sciences Deans and faculty members. The Vice President for Academic Affairs was also present. Finally, the team ordered the Educational Testing Service's "Classroom Management Interactive Videodisc" they had previewed while at the national Project 30 meeting in Monterey, and arranged a showing for all chairs and directors in the College of Education.

As a result of the Project 30 team's efforts, both administrators and faculty members have become more aware of the uses of new technologies in education and instruction. Meanwhile, several meetings were held for those students identified by their departments as interested in pursuing teaching carecrs. In January of 1990 , Project 30 team members met with undergraduate science preteaching majors and discussed new teacher licensure requirements, up-coming events of interest to prospective science teachers (such as the Spring Symposia), the Tennessee Student Assistance Corporation’s forgivable student loans for prospective math and science teachers, and other information related to science and to science teaching.

For many students, this meeting provided their first demonstration of support from miversity science professors for entering teaching fields. (The two undergraduates that participated in the collaborative high school experimental program described below were recruited from this pool.) At the request of the students, a second meeting was held in April to discuss science teaching as a career. Members of the Advisory Board were invited to share experiences with the students. This meeting was particularly beneficial to both students and team members, as it gave them an opportunity to hear about science teaching from people who both enjoy and are dedicated to teaching as a profession.

Also in April, the Project 30 team arranged for each team member to attend the National Science Teachers Association Convention in Atlanta. Transportation and stipends for attending the Conference were provided for the Advisory Board members and for each member of the Support Team (the graduate science education course). Half a dozen very excited undergraduate pre-teaching majors also received transportation and some financial assistance from Project 30.

The Project 30 team conducted a onc-hour presentation on its activities at the Convention, which included introductions by the science education professor and the chemistry chairman, as well as comments by members of the Support Team and modergraduate preteaching majors present. The Athanta experience proved insparational for all who attended and served to further cement the bonds developing between undergraduate and graduate science majors. Arts and
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Sciences faculty members, College of Education faculṭ members, and area high school teachers.

Two special projects were also initiated by the Project 30 team. One of these projects was an experimental program in science education and teacher preparation, a collaborative project between Memphis State and a Shelby County school. Memphis State was invited to assist in developing an alternative educational experience for an advanced biology class whose teacher had unexpectedly quit mid-year. The Project 30 team designed a program whereby undergraduate science pre-tcaching majors could get biology or education credit for an apprentice teaching experience at the high school.

Only two students chose to participate, but their experience was invaluable. They were supervised and instructed by a graduate student who was also an experienced biology teacher. As designed, this program not only gave undergraduate science majors the opportunity to teach in an actual classroom setting, it also brought high school students onto the Memphis State campus to participate in regularly-scheduled college labs. A complete report of this program is available from MSC's Project 30 team on request.

The other special project was a L'nity in Science symposium. It consisted of four after-school sessions in which representatives from cach Project 30 science department made presentations to help teachers update their content knowledge. The first symposium focused on "Demonstrations in Science," and involved professor/teacher/student teams conducting demonstrations. The session was approved by the school systems for professional growth points and provided teachers with specific instructions for duplicating the demonstrations in their own classrooms.

The second session, "Misconceptions in Science," began with a videotape of interviews with students in line for their diplomas at Harard. The interviows showed how some basic concepts in science are misunderstood. even by college professors. Each science department then proceeded to demonstrate and discuss misconceptions present in its field. During the third session, "Science,
Technology, and Society," representatives from biology, chemistry, geography, geology, and physies described how their professions have been modified over the years, how each science has been changed by technological adrances, and how the focus of each seience has shifted due to sociological changes.

The final session was entitled "L'nity in Science." Memphis State science faculty, using water as a mifying theme, described such processes as desalination of salt water, where Memphis gets its drinking water and how it is processed. and the use of swamps to purify water from strip mine runoff. Other schedated activities for the Spring of 1990 inchuded special presentations be the Biology Department, the Geography Deparment, and the Curvicuhm and Instruction Deparment.

During the summer of 1990 . Project 30 assisted the Chemistry Department in providing owerlapping experiences for experienced high school chemistry teachers, inexperienced elementary school teachers, and middle school sudents.
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Focusing on "Chemical Demonstrations," the format involved initial unversity instruction to the high school teachers who then assisted in teaching the elementary school teachers who then produced a series of chemical demonstrations for students enrolled in two Kids Chemistry Camps.

The Geography Department offered a NSF course for elementary teachers as well as a course entitled, "Geography for Teachers." The Physics Department offered two courses specifically for teachers, "Fundamental Concepts of Astronomy" and "Fundamental Concepts of Contemporary Physics." They also presented the "Operation Phssics" workshop a second time. The College of Education continued its summer "Envirommental Education Wrorkshop for Teachers," and co-hosted a one-week residential "Marine Biology' Workshop for Teachers" with the University of Southern Mississippi.

Memphis State's Project 30 received substantial financial support from the University Office of Academic Affairs and a Title XX Eisenhower grant. In addition, the Dean of the College of Education, the Dean of the College of Arts and Sciences and the Vice President for Academic Affairs created a climate of support which greatly facilitated the activites and accomplishonents of Project 30 .


## Integirating; Math anid Scinenge Instruction

Some of the Project 30 schools felt that problems in mathematics and science education could best be tackled if the two disciplines were not separated, and so focused their energies on an integrated reform of the math and science components.

## University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee

The L'niversity of Wisronsin-Mihauke undertook curriculum reforms in order to do something about the poor state of math and science education in this country. Public criticism of math and science teaching is rampant. especially criticism of teaching in the elementary grades. Research has shown that degeneration of pupil interest in science and technology begins in the early grades. Much of this can be traced to the anxiety the teachers themselves have for these subjects in the classroom. Yomingsters pick up this anxiety and conclude, "If this person, whom I respect, has avoided a reasonable knowledge of the water cycle (for example), then why should I learm it?"

Wisconsin-Milwauke believes that the situation in American elementary schools is very serious. A 1985 national survey showed that a significant fraction of elementary teachers feel poorly qualified to teach science. LWM's objective in revising its science core is to ensure that future L'WIM graduates feel confident in their knowledge of the sciences. Their objective in strengthening the math core is to prepare tiachers for the NCTM standards, as ther apply to elementany teaching. These new standards will require clementary teachers to teach subjects such as estimation, measurement, shapes, rudimentary statistics. and the use of manipulatives (e.g. calculators). This is not a throwback to the old post-Sputnik "new math." These reforms will be adopted, slowly perhaps, but inevitably. LW'ars new curriculum anticipates these developments.

In the current curricuhm, vinually no clementary cducation stadents take more than Math 109, the required course. The curriculm provides litule latitude for electing additional courses, but more importanty there are essentially no other math courses for sudents wo take since all other comses offered by the Deparment of Mathematical Sciences either are of a non-eredit, remedial nature or are a quantum step upward in their level (i.e. courses intended for engineering, science. or math majors). This situation puts an additional burden on the three-credit math methods course. Its primary intent-to transmit effective teaching techniques-is compromised by the limited mathematial knowledge of the presemice teachers, people with only a single miversity math course.

The science component of the core exhibits a different problem. In a typical semester (e.g. fall semester 1989) LWM offered 44 sections of 27 , 100-tevel courses that have essentially no prerequisites. This aray has evolved to fill a varicty of needs-all in service to one or more miversity programs. They provide
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the science knowledge necessary to meet one or more student needs: to satisfy general education requirements, to serve as prerequisites for higher level courses, to meet admission requirements for professional school, etc.

In principle, there is enough variety in this array to meet any student's need. But what if the student can invest only nine credits? What courses should he or she take: Any combination of three courses leaves too many holes. No single three-credit course can impart a complete overvien of biology, or chemisur, or earth science, or physics. (Note that with four basic science disciplines, any combination of three courses is fundamentally deficient.) Yet these are the choices presented to the students.

Over the years, there has been a random pattern of science courses taken by elementary education students. This falls short of the expectations of the National Science Teachers Association. Furthermore, traditional science courses mar actually sustain misconceptions and negative attitudes vis a vis science in the minds of the preservice teacher, a condition found in studies elsewhere (Stepans, Beiswenger, and Dyche. 1986).

In short, alhough the LWX curricuhm for elementary teachers has a sound general base, it has some inadequacies with respect to math and science. Among the plethora of competing requirements the curriculum is designed to satisfy, math and science cannot have a pre-emptive, high profile. It is necessary, therefore, that a very "efficient" program of science, math, and methods courses be used to improve the situation.

For example, it is impossible to prepare a future teacher to teach the entire spectrum of topics contained in the NCTM standards in a single three-credit math course. In order to teach topics such as estimation. geometry and space sense. measurement, probability and statistics. and pattern relationships the teachers must have a didactic education that includes these subjects. A new two-semesier math course accommodates this need. By plamning it simutaneously and in tandem with the math methods course, proper anticipation of the full set of X(TM standards has been assured. The math course will teach the appropriate subject matter; the methods course will give heaty emphasis to the use of manipulatives, students working in cooperative groups. and students communicating in mathematics orally and in writing-all essential elements of the N(TM staudards.

LWM's curriculum also recognizes that science lab work may be used to reinforce mathematical concepts for preservice tachers. The use of calculators or computers to process data is an obvious connection. The analysis of the processed data is a concrete application of statistics. Measurements of lengehs, capacite. woight, area, volume, time, temperature and angle are often done in lab exercises, Other coossovers will also be evident, thereby increasing the effectiveness of both the math and science coursework.

A modular minicourse approach to teaching science has also been adopted. It has the great merit of providing preserice teachers with the breadth of
knowledge they should have to teach science in the elementary grades. No longer will their core coursework be random. Nor will essential topics be missing from the mix of coursework. Instead. there will be a smooth flow from one minicoursc to the next, each syllabus an integral part of the overall objective- 10 provide an adequate base of scientific knowledge to those who must teach it to youngsters. LWM will attempt to guide 50 students per year through the following courses:

- Math 175, Mathematical"hyplorations for Elementary Teachers i, 3credits
- Math 176, Mathematical Explorations for Elementary Teachers II. 3credits
- Astronomy 175, Solar System Astronomy, 1 credit
- Amospheric Science 177. The Atmosphere, 1 credit
- Biology 175, Introductory Cell Biology for Teachers, 1 credit
- Biology 176, Introductory Animal and Plant Biology for Teachers, 1 credit
- Biologr 177. Introductory Ecology for Teachers, 1 credit
- Chemistry 175, Chemistry: Concepts and Models, I credit
- Chemisuy 176. Elements and Compounds: The World Around L's, 1credit
- Chemistry 177, Chemical Reactions: New Substances from Old, Icredit
- Geoscience 175, The Earth's Surface, I credit
- Geoscience 176, The Mobile Earth, 1 credit
- Phessics 175, Motion and Heat, I credit
- Physics 176. Electricity and Light, 1 credit
- Natural Science 275, Science, Technology, \& Society, 1 credit
- Curriculum \& Insuruction 375, Teaching of Mathematics. 3 credits
- Curricuhm \& Instruction 376, Teaching of Science, 3 credits

The one-rredit courses will be given as five-week minicourses, three per semester. Coordinated scheduling of these courses will be necessary to avoid chaos and scheduling conflicts for the students. Two sections of each science course are scheduled so that class size may be limited to 25 students. It will take a student two full years to complete twelse credits of science coursework. This scheduling format will allow an individual student to register for a three-credit "string" of minicourses each semester. (The program coordinator works with the deparments to organize such a schedule for each semester.)

The 100 -level minicourses will be taken by freshmen and sophomore preeducation students. The last thee courses will be taken after admission to the School of Education, i.e. in the jumior and senior years. The two methods course, C \& I 375 and 376 , serve the traditional purpose of preparing the teachers for effective communication of subject matter knowledge to their pupils. The "Science, Technology, and Society" course will help tic the subject matter learned to contemporary societal issues.

LWM has recognized that these courses interact with each other. The topies in any single course have implications for material tanght in other courses. For example, appropriate lab exercises will be cited in the math course, in the methods courses, and in the Science, Techology, and Society course. The entire program of coursework has been developed ollaboratively.
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The minicourses will not be taught in the traditional lecture and lab format that characterizes the three- and four- credit courses. Instead, the teaching techniques in the minicourses will be modeled more closely to what future teachers will likely be asked to do in their classrooms. This will be accomplished by having an experienced science education professor work with the science professors as they develop and first teach the minicourses. As a result, the science faculty will teach the minicourses differently than they would a traditional threeor four-credit course.

The proposers have been able to place themselves in the position of the students. It is conceivable that this program of courses would constitute 60 percent of the math and 90 percent of the science knowledge for a given student. It follows that the transmi! $\alpha l$ of this knowledge must be cohesive and effective; pre-education students do not have the luxury of iterative courses that build sequentially the knowledge they need in math, biology, or chemistry.

From the outset, the committee assumed it was looking at the "natural science/math core" together with the science and math methods courses. They recognized that this is only a small fraction of what a presentice elementary teacher must take. They knew they had to be restrained in what they could recommend. However, the committec was looking for more than just small-scale modification, and their initial fact-linding proved this to be forturitous. Their committee work has made disciples of education reform of all of LWM's Project 30 members. The commitment to this project is such that each new course will be taught by a member of the committee, the only exception being a replacement for a professor who has retired.

## University of Georgia

The College of Education of the L'niversity of Ceorgia is implementing a progran the hope will provide specialists in mathematics and science for the carly grades. Ther are currenty in the plaming and pilot stages of implementation of this project.

The objective of the project is to develop an undergraduate presenve program to prepare elementary school teachers to finction as resounce teachers in the area of science or mathematics. These resource teachers would be able to provide other teachers with suggestions concening methods and materials for the teaching of science or mathematics. They would be able to suggest applications of science or mathematics in other subjects and suggest ways in which science or mathematics could contribute to the "integrated day" format currenty popular in the early grades. The graduates wonld have state certification to teach grades kindergarten to four. Beyond certification requirements, they would have additional work in science or mathematics content and work in science or mathematics education designed to prepare them as resonnce teachers at the elementary school level.

The preparation of such specialists is recommended by the National Research Council in Everybody Counts:

The United States is one of the few countries in the world that continues to pretend-despite substantial evidence to the contrary-that elementary school teachers are able to teach all subjects equally well. It is time that we identify a cadre of teachers who would be well prepared to teach young children both mathematics and science in an integrated, discovery-based encironment.

Georgia sees their proposed program as the begiming of a career path for those students who ultimately wish to become curriculum and instruction specialists in science or mathematics for young children. Certainly they do not see this program producing a finished specialist. A mathematics or science specialist would require, both for certification and by any reasonable standard of competence, substantially more course work and experience in the classrom. Undergraduates with special interest and ability in mathematics or science can. Georgia believes, learn more content than is required for certification and can become knowledgeable in methods and materials of instruction in their field.

The project involves the creation of a new carcer track within the undergraduate program. Even though this track is closely related to the standard program in elementary education and will result in the same certification, a substantial effort is being undertaken to create and implement this program. Ceorgia's preliminary investigations of the number of students who may wish to participate and the number of schools that may wish to hire these graduates indicate that the program will fill a definite need.

As part of the development and revision of courses, mathematicians and scientists working with mathematics and science educators and elementary specialists will review existing commses to select those that provide content appropriate for development of clementary resource teachers. Course revisions and the development of new courses will be undertaken as needed and will be focused on integrating content knowledge, pedagogical content knowledge, and techmiques of instruction. In addition to new and revised coursework in miversity classes, there will be expanded opportunities to work in mathematics or science in public school internships.

The programs these students follow will vary depending on the courses they have taken previously and the scheduling of additional mathematics or seience courses. In a 200 -quarter-hour (120-semester-hour) program, courses must be deleted if there is to be time for additomal mathematiss or science comse work. In the revised program, one elective course in humanities, two elective social science courses, and wo free electives have been deleted. This provides time for five additional mathematics, science, mathematios education, or science education courses.
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In order to select and schedule courses to meet the demands of a more complex program, each student will have two advisors, one in the Elementary Education Department and one in either Science Education or Mathematics Education. The advisor from the subject matter department will be primarily concerned with selection of appropriate courses in the subject matter. As with all students in the elementany program, the education advisor will be concerned with keeping the student on schedule for begiming field experiences and ultimately for graduation.

Georgia expects that the first students will graduate from this program following the winter or spring quarter of 1991. As these students approach graduation Georgia will contact superintendents in the area in which the students wish to teach and inform the superintendents about the progran and the qualifications of the graduates. Georgia will assist the students in arranging for interviews. In a preliminany contact with superintendents, Georgia has described the program and asked for expressions of interest.

There will be extensive post-graduation assistance for participants and there will also be follow-up investigation of the effects of the program. In addition to receiving assistance in finding appropriate placement, the graduates of the progran will be followed through their third year of teaching. These contacts will provide continued support and information about current developments in their field, encourage and assist graduates in their continued study, and investigate whether graduates will continue to teach and work as specialists. If they leave teaching or are not utilized as specialists, the reasons for these changes should be investigated.

To determine the feasibility of the operation of this program, Georgia notified students in the elementary program of the opportmity for special course work and public experiences in mathematics and science. Twelve students responded to this notification and six are currently in the process of working out special programs of coursework within the elementary program.

To investigate interest on the part of school systems in hiring students who graduate from this program, Ceorgia sumeyed shool administrators in the state. The survey included information about the intent of the program and skills Georgia amticipates that their graduates would have. They have received 90 replies from 186 survers. All replies were positive, requesting further information as the program proceeds and students graduate.

An amouncemert of this program was sent to all first-and second-year students in the elementary program. The announcement deseribed the intent of the program. the probable benefits, and the probable cost in terms of additional tince needed to complete degree requirements. Ceorgia recruited 12 students. They asked them why they want to specialize in seience or mathematics for the young child. The reasons these future teachers gave reflect considerable thought in their choice of career and an excellent grasp of important aspects of education. Here are some of the reasons:
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Angela Wilkinson, a first-vear student: "When deciding on a major for college I was troubled. I knew that I wanted to teach elementary school, but I also wanted to teach math. This program allows me to do both."
Tamara Black, a first-year student: "I have always enjoyed finding out about the facts in science, but my interest in science stems from the "whys behind the facts. Science is a subject that lends itself to this type of exploration ... I'd like to be able to communicate enthusiasm for the subject of :cience to my students."

Michelle Lewis, a second-year student: "Mathematics offers a challenge to the students by enabling them to reason out problems on their own."
Doma Williams, a hird-year student who intends to pursue the program at the master's degree level: "Projeçso gives me an opportumity to use my interest in science to be specialized in order to benefit young people. I intend to expand my students' knowledge of science through challenge."

Wendy Jeffcoat, a first-year student: "I want to give the children 'handson' experience to help them understand how math relates to the real world. This program can teach me to do this."

Stacy Causby, a second-year student: "So many of my friends carried this attitude about math, 'I just can't do it.' I feel iike if teachers were able to work with developing positive attudes at a voung level, then a lot of the struggles that occur later on would be eliminated."

## Vanderbilt University

In the Spring of 1988, Vanderbilt C'niversity had both the need and the encomagement to develop new courses and majors for its clementary teacher education students. The much publicized "crisis" in mathematics and science education influenced several senior faculty and adminisuators in basic science areas to look for ways to become involved in addressing the scientific needs of the schools and societs.

A group of mathematicians, scientists, and mathematies and science educators proposed for Vanderbilt's Project 30 activity the devel, mment of new science courses and interdisciplinary science and math/science majors for prospective elementary teachers. These courses were to be linked to the content methods courses offered by mathematies and science education facultr at Peabody, and insunctional technology was proposed as one mechanism for integrating content and pedagogy:

By Februaty 1989, Vanderbilt knew that the science project was to be funded. The winning of a $\$ 730,000$ grant is important campus news, even for an
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institution such as Vanderthith that receives substantial funding, and the math/science/teacher education/Project $30 / \mathrm{NSF}$ activities began to receive quite a bit of campus publicity. Chancellor Joe B. Wyatt recognized the two NSF grants at the 1989 Faculty Assembly, and teacher education took on a new status as a "rewarded" faculty activity:

Reviewers of the CSF proposal noted the advantage of Project 30 membership as a dissemination mechanism, and Vanderbilt feels that its association with Project 30 contributed to the success of the NSF proposal. Subsequent Project 30 conferences have helped in the dissemination of the NSF products, just as the contacts generated through NSF have helped to publicize Vanderbilt's membership in Project 30.

During the spring and summer of 1989, existing courses or tabs in chemistry and physics offered for Arts and Science and Peabody students were redesigned to make them more appropriate for prospective elementary shool teachers and interdisciplinary majors in mathematics/science and natural sciences, and new courses were planned. Basic science and science methods faculty from the Liniversity and an Advisory Committee consisting of three science teachers from the local schools assisted with the selection of content and activities for the science and science methods courses. In addition to assisting with the course and program planning, Advisory Committee teachers were videotaped teaching "middle school applications" of the content and pedagog' proposed for the college science and science methods courses. (NSF funding provided stipends for the teachers and resources for the video production).

Since the chemistry and physis comsses were modifications of existing courses, they did not require formal new-ourse review by the college of Arts and Science. However, the interdisciplinary majors for prospective elementary teachers did require approval through both the College of Arts and Science (which is to offer the majors) and Peabody (which is responsible for teacher education). Two Project 30 staff members were directly involved with this part of the process: Dr. Sherwood, as chair of the Peabody department that offers elementary cortification, and Dr. Holladay, as a member of the Arts and Science Faculty Comeril. The fact that these majors arose from a joint plaming committee (which atho had strong rupport from both deans' offices) undoubtedy contributed to their relatively smexoth passage through both approval processes. These majors have now been approved be the Temessee State Certification Office as meeting new teacher certification guidelines, and they are available for freshmen entering in the Fall of $199 \%$.

Amo..g the changes. Chemistry 10 tab has been revised to make it more releant to the ne eds of proppective elementary school teachers. During the Stumer of 1989, new experiments and demonstations were designed and tested for use in the course. Prospective teachers emolled in a special lab section and received resource material showing how the tabexperiments cond be used as the basis for devigning a srience mit for clementar whot smedents. The teacher
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certification students were asked to present a demonstration on the last day of hab. These sessions were videotaped to provide a comparison with later presentations by the students during their practicum and student teaching experiences.

Those students in Physics 110 ab and 11 la in the Fall semester of 1989 who were planning to be certified teachers ( 24 in all) were placed in designated laboratory sections. These students were in the same lectures and performed the same laboratory work as all the other students in the course. However, some special things were done with these students:

1. A bibliography of sources on teaching science to children was prepared and distribated to them.
2. For each labotatory meeting (once a weck), each student was asked to prepare a project or demonstration that might be appropriate for children at some level in a science module. These activities could be done with the simplest and least expensive of materials available almost evertwere-soda straws, paper clips, rubber bands. Scotch tape. refrigerator magnets, flashlight batteries, etc. The actual lab work was carried out with relatively expensive, precise, commercial equipment, but the idea was to see what could be done with a much more modest budget that may characterize the realities of many school districts. In this way the prospective teachers would have had various experiences to meet the exigencies of a range of circumstances they may face.
Activities for the new biology course will be developed during the Summer of 1990. and the course will be offered for the first time in Spring 1991.

During the $1989-90$ school year, project staff were involved in a joint effort with other faculty of the Depatment of Teaching and Learning at Peabody/Vanderbilt in the development of wo new interdisciplinary majors for prospective elementary school teachers.

The Natural Science Studies interdisciplinary major requires a strong foundation in all of the major areas of science tanght in the elementary grades: chemistry, physe, biologr, and earth, space science. Students must extend this basic knowledge by also taking coursework at the advanced level. It is expected that this science coursework will be supported by basic instruction in mathematios as part of the liberal education core.

The Mathematics and Science Studies interdisciplinary major requires a more extensive study of mathematics including calculus, statistics. and geomern. coupled with basic science courses to provide a foun. dation in that area also. Adranced coursework in mathematics or science is required in this major as well.

A major goal of the NSF mathematics project was the development of vide odise materials to be used to integrate content and pedagegy in the mathematics methods comse for elementary teachers, a goal that was partiondarle relevant to the Project 30 theme of Pedagogical Content Knowledge. The development of technology for use in instruction was aho a goal of the . WsF science project, and
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Vanderbilt planned to explore the use of technologr in the basic science course as well as the science methods course for elementary teachers.

The NSF mathematics project has produced 5 "scratch" (single-cop:") videodiscs, each containing 30 minutes of classroom examples of teaching and learning mathematics. Each disc is controlled by a computer program using HyperCard, an authoring ssstem that is provided with the Apple Macintosh personal computer. The HyperCard program, called a "stack," is analogous io a stack of index cards with each card containing information in a variety of forms: pictures, graphics, or text. Any piece of information on a card, such as a word or picture, can comnect to information on another card or to an external device such as the videodisc player.

A card showing on the computer screen might. for example, contain a paragraph of text describing a common misconception in science and a small picture of a camera (video icon) that plays a shon classroom scene from the rideodisc showing the misconception. The user moves a pointer around on the comptiter screen by rolling the "mouse" and selects an item such as the video icon by pressing the button on the mouse.

Because of the NSF funding, Project 30 team members have had access to graduate students hired to assist with videotaping and programming. Each semester, practicum students enrolled in the science block of methods courses (science, mathematics, and social studies) and some student teachers have been videotaped as they presented mathematies and science lessons. Lessons taught by experienced elementare school teachers who are consultants on the wo NSF projects have also been videotaped. Vanderbit is continuing to develop the mathematics materials. Multiple copies of one of the mathematics prototype videodiscs s:ith HyperCard stack have been produced and are available for purchase, and Vanderbilt is beginning to collect video for use with the science materials. They plan to produce the first science videodise during the I 900 (1-91 academic year, using the mathematios materials as models. The dise will be designed for presentation purposes and for use in teaching the science methods and science content courses.

Another project for V'anderbilt is The World of Chemisury a series of wente-six programs developed for use in an introductory chemistr comese for nonscience majors, either in a telecourse format or in a conventional college course. Codirectors of the World of Chemistry project are Dr. Isidore Adler. Department of (Chemistry, L niversity of Waryland. and Dr. Nava Ben-Zxi, Deparment of Chemistry. Hebrew Liniversity of Jernsakem. Major funding for the project wee provided by Amenberg/(PB Project. Projed 30 team member Dr. Joesten is a coatuthor of the accompansing text for The World of Chemistry videotapes. Dr. Joesten, with the assistance of other N'SF project staff, developed a sample Hypercard menu for the dise (on periodicity) and this dise will be used in the lecture section of Chemiviry IOIa next fall.

The videodise format with ahso be used in the spectial Chemistry lola labs section for teacher certification candidates nsing video of classroom applications of the
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science topics covered in the lab (as described in this section of the report). After students in Chemistry 10 la lab have done experiments, and while they are thinking about how the particular experiment can senve as the basis for de reloping a science unit, they will see and discuss videodise segments of science units being taught in the classroom.

In August 1989, funds provided by the College of Arts and Science were used to equip the Chemistry 101ab classroom with a video screen projection system (NEC 122OS), videotape player, videodisc player, and wiring for computer hookup to drive the video equipment. Additional equipment, including an LCD panel to display the computer screen, will be purchased for the 1990 Fall semester. The mathematics methods course that was the subject of the first NSF project now meets for roughly onc-third of the class sessions in the Peabody computer lab where students have access to the HyperCard stacks during and outside class. These facilities will also be available for the science methods course when the science materials are ready for use.

Becatse of a growing interest in instructional technology on campus, the Project 30 team elected to spend some of its funds to co-sponsor a workshop on the use of hypermedia technology in instruction. The workshop was fully enrolled (24 participants), with priority given to faculty in mathematics and the sciences from the College of Arts and Science and faculty from Peabody College who work with teacher education. The workshop was held in the Peabody computer lab and was conducted by faculty and staff associated with Peabody's Learning Technology Center. Vanderbilt thinks it is significant that three members of the Chemistry. Deparment went from the hypermedia workshop to a presentation (the following day) during which they used their newlyacq:ired "expertise" to convince a faculty development funding committee from the College of Arts and Science to buy the new chemistry classoom equipment mentioned in the previous section.

The communication benefits from cross-school activities such as the workshop are hard to quantify. Certainly, the educators came away with a new appreciation for the research scientist's dedication to the improvement of teaching (including willingness to learn from "professional teachers") and the scientists found that the school of education offered a valuable resource in a technical area that was close to their own field of expertise.

Sunce the activities that were promised in Vanderbilt's original Project 30 propesal are now funded through other sources. the Project 30 team is using the money awarded by Project 30 to fund individual and joint faculty development activities in the areas of mathematics and science education. In addition to the workshop described above, Project 30 finds have been used to send team members to mathematics or science education conferences (conferences that would not be supported through the nomal ('niversity tavel program). Project 30) tean members are secking funds for three other propects related to the fields of mathematics and science. In each of these projects, faculty from both schools are represemed.

## Mincority Regr mant

We have examined. in the previous four chapters various kinds of service effors by Project 30 schools. This hast chapter on semice reform be Project 30 sehools may be the most important: in it we look at what a mumber of the sehools have done abont minority recruitment.

## University of Davton

At the University of Dayton, the Lhan Summer Fducation Program is part of a Project 30 focus and curenty consists of a woweek, residential experience for Black high school students who express an interest in teaching and in the Lniversity. The program is designed to:
I. orient each participant to the L'niversitt-its academic programs. facult. facilities, and resources:
2. orient each participant to the profession of teaching-its rotes and responsibilities. carer opportmities, high school and college preparation programs, and teaching practices;
3. provide academic. social, and athletic programming:
4. provide a positive, caring, and helpfal atmosphere:
5. increase the participants selfeesteem and selfeconeepts:
6. help students adjust to living atay from home. provide opportunties to manage time, and encourage them to make responsible choices:
7. allow students to mee and commonicate with ratous universite faculty and administratore:
8. establish mentor-advisee retationships:

9 . present the spectrom of university life-chasses domitory living, meals, and social and aclivities: and
10. maintain communication during the academic year through sperial programming on campus, the local Frid chubs. and the mentors.
The program was designed with the help of a School of Erlucation Minonin Recruitment Task Force composed of 15 minomity teachers, comselons, and administators fiom five local achool districts that serve minomite students. The Tank Foree began by developing a rationale for the need werat minorities for the teak hing profession and procerded to formulate varions wats to accomphish this task: a stmmer program. reconstituted funte teacher chobs, fond rasing. precollege programs, mentoring program, tetention programs, and linkages with commonity colleges to name a few.

The ideas then underwent a teanibility analysis and some were approved for implementation. One of these was the (than Summen Feducatom Program. In it firs year. applications for the fort peritions numbered fifterne. By the second war, the L'nimersit received cighteright applications for the fort pesitions. The seler lion criteria include a minimum cumblative gade-pont average of 2.50 . a
written essay from the prospective student explaining why teaching is a career interest, a commiment to live on campus for two weeks, a written recommendation from the school counselor, and permission from a parent.

The Urban Summer Education Program consists of a wo-week residence on campus and a schedule of activities begimming at $7: 30 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. and ending at 11:00 p.m. During this time the participants take part in planned academic, social, and athletic activities. They also attend such daily courses as orientation to teaching, microcomputer applications, Black literature and poetry, Black history, science experimentation, mathematics, reading and study skills, problem-solting techiques, learning styles, and SAT and ACT quidance. University faculty members including the holder of an endowed chair and a Black poet-in-residence teach these cousses. The Program seeks out faculty members genuinely interested in working with the sudents; in fact, some faculty members request an opportunity to participate.

The high school students learn about the program from articles in the local newspapers. brochures and correspondence sent to the local high schools, school visitations by Linversity representatives. Black ministers in the community, and past participants. Also, the reinstitution of the Future Teachers of America clubs, which the School of Education achieved in varions middle and high schools, provides a conduit for commmication and encouragement. Potential participants also learn about the program during on-campus "remions" for past participants and members of the Future Teacher Clubs.

During the $1988-89$ academic vear, Davton extended invitations for the following actitities: a lecture and discussion with National Education Association President Mary Futrell: a viewing of the movie (iy Freedom; a lecture by author Donald Woods; various events commemorating Black History Month; and a meeting and discussion with the dean and selected faculty members of the School of E.ducation. These mectings and activities provided past and future participants a chance to mingle with education faculty and students, and thereby learn about the program and the Cniversity from one another.

The Lrban Summer Educatio a Program at The I'niversity of Dayton begins on a Sunday evening with check-in at the domitory, a meeting with the program staff, and a reception for participants and parents hosted by the laiversity President, the Prowost, the Dean of Feducation, Mitority Student Affairs staff, and selected faculty members. The participants receive an orientation to the progran and a schedule of activities, followed by social. "ice-breaking" activities.

Throughout the wo-week session, the participants interact with faculty. members and administrators. In addition, the Program Director and three assistants live in the dommon and provide the necensary supervision. To ensure a chane for intensive interaction, the participants must reside as a group in the domitory. All activities, except the classes. take place among the undergraduate and graduate students on the campun so that cach participant will experience What it is like whe part of a miversite commmats. Pagram participants cat with
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the regular students, take part in the everyday social and atheric activities of the L'niversity, reside in a dormitory, and experience much of the normal university life. All expenses incurred by the program-faculty salaries, pay for the director and assistants, advertising, supplies and materials. domitory and food costs, and social and athletic expenses, including a dance for the participants-are absorbed by the School of Education-a total cost approximating $\$ 36,000$.

Finally, the participants meet with mentors who help them make the transition to campus life and help reinforce their interest in teaching as a carecr. The mentors keep the participants from feeling "lost in the bureaucratic shuffle," and they guide the participants to opportumities and resources allocated for minority participants at the Liniversity. Furthermore, the mentors help the participants identify the high school courses they need for entry into the L'niversity.

With the end of the Program's second year, the School and its Minority Recruitment Task Force were encouraged by the enthusiastic response among the participants. Formal. focused interviews and a questionnaire helped shape modifications and implement new ideas for subsequent years. The number of inquiries has doubled in just wo years, and support from past participants has played a large role in generating this interest. A critical aspect of the program has been the participation of elementary and secondary teachers, counselors, and administrators on the Minority Recruitment Task Force. This group spent an entire year developing the program and has remained active in its implementation. Meanwhile, the Liniversity continues its financial support, even though extensive additional funding must be acquired in order to expand the program. The staff hopes to double the enrollment cach summer to a maximmm of 120 participants-forty participants from each of the three high school grade levels. This arrangement would guarantee a steady stream of new and returning students.

The 1990-91 academic var, the first academic year wherein participants will graduate from high school and qualify for entrance to the University, will provide an oljective indication of the Program's practical success.

## Indiana State University

At Indiana State University the Project 30 team selected minority recmitment into teacher education as one of their primary goals. Thongh at first they did not feel they were making much progress, they have begun to see important results from their continued effor": Through a program entitled, "Project EmPOWERment," the School of Education has brought to ISL"s campus cighteen Afo-American and hispanic students (junior-high age) from Hammond, (arry, East Chicago. and Terre Hatte. This week-long program, which emphasies work in traditional university disciplines, career plaming, team building, and testtaking skills, has brought together students who they hope will become prospertive teacher education majors at ISL'. Additionally, the State of Indiana
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now provides scholatshies (Sl.000 per year, renewable) for minority students in education: these scholarship recipients are paired with School of Education faculty mentors. The number of these sehotarship recipients has doubled in the past wo years. The program began with ten students and four mentors and this year increased to twente stadents with fonteren mentors. Initial student participants demonstrated a strong retention rate as well.

## St. Mary's University

At St Marys Liniversity in an effort to improve rectuitment and retention of minonity sudents in teacher education, the following jorgrams hate been implemented:
A. An annual "Teachers" Fair" for high school students. This is conducted on the St Marys campus in conjunction with the Future Teachers Clubs in area high schools. It is held on a weekday. (Teachers Work Day at area high sehools) so that the high school students can attend college classes from $8: 00$ a.m. until 10:0) a.m. The rergram consists of major speakers addersing the role of "teacher." a panel of universite students currently engaged in studentteaching. infomation from St. Marres and junios colleges on admission procedures and financial aid packages free food. prizes. and a dance. It has been very successfinl.
B. An anntal invitation to jomion college students interested in teacher education to attend an "infomation day" at St. Marys. Admissions and financial aid persomel. faculty members. and the education students (juniors and seniors) are arailable to discuss the Coversity with visiting ctudents and faculte:
C. In spring 1991. St. Marys intends to intiate a "Teachers' Fair" for their own St. Marys students. Theia target will be jumiors and especially seniors Who man want to consider teaching as an altemative or "first" career choice. Altemative certification programs will be an important consideration at this Fatir.
D. Proposals have also been submitted for Summer Institute for high shool sudents and for teachers. but these will require grant finding.

Toachiese greater teacher retention, the St. Marys Tem made the following curriculum recommendations tor a Mentoning Program for new teachers:
A. Incerigate the establishment of a Mentoring Program with specific whool districts, imolving her master teachers in yeedife schools as mentors.
B. There teacher-students would be new gradnates with teather cortifation. and therefore would receise fullesatares from the seleol districts.
(: Teader would enoll in mentoring programs as their first credit hours towatd a Mantov in a yecific academic program (major minor teaching field.

## St. Mar's Coniversity

D. The Mentoring program would require that a master teacher not only. condact some classoom observation, but also engage in one-on-one counseling.
E. Also mandated would be a minimmof of 6 seminar classes per semester at St. Marvis. Here thev would meet as a class with the faculty members and school mentors. The seminar would explore classroom problew , teaching methods, and additional content training.
F. Students would earn 3 graduate credit hours for one years enrollment in the mentoring program and participation in $12-14$ seminars within that vear.
G. Academic departments should consider graduate-level piograms for teachers. perhaps designing these as summer institutes.
H. The need for a second year of mentoring seminars would be determined at the end of the first vear.

## Bridgewater State College

At Bridgewater State College, a State Higher F.ducation Executive Officers (SHFE()) grant, funded under the Ford Foundation and awarded last year by the Board of Regents of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts to Bridgewater and wo neaby community colleges, challenged them to focus on the theme of Recruitment of Minority Teachers. It collaboration with Bristol Community College and Massasoit Community College, a policy was developed that simukaneousle admits prospective teacher education students into Bridgewater State College when the matriculate at either of the community colleges. Presenty twelve students in the wo community colleges and four at Bridgewater are emrolled in the program.

All of these students are eligible for tinancial aid and scholarships specificalls. targeted for this population. This past year a $\$ 1,000$ seholarship was awarded from Bridgewater State College to the first transier student entering the teacher education program. It should be noted that this scholarship is renewable for a second vear and that financial aid is also avaibable os supplement the seholarship. For those students who do not receive a scholarship at the community colleges. financial aid pachages are avaitable.

Another important goal of the SHFEO grant is curviculnm (te elopment and oppormmities for fied experiences. Faredty from the respective colleges are collaborating on the possibilities of team teaching and/or course transfers, and new ideas are being presented that would not have been possible without the momentum of the grata. A Minorities in Teaching Council, composed of faculty from the there campuser and members of the local commmities, has been established to provide input into the program. This Council will also assist the Colleges with recmitment and support serices, especially a support network for students. A nearby urban school ststem. Brockton Public Schools, has been
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identified as a target school district for minority students, and these high school students will be encouraged to enroll in the Collaborative Teacher Education program at either of the two commmity colleges or Bridgewater State College.

The SHEEO program, described above, is moving from grant status to full institutionalization and, with continued commitment, should significantly increase emrollment of minorities in teaching. The dialogue with the community colleges in the SHEEO grant will result in collaboration well bevond the scope of the original grant.

## Baruch College

Baruch College, with its partners. Intermediate School 70, Mabel Dean Bacon Yocational High School, and Washington Irving High School, and local commmity organizations and businesses, has designed NEW DIRECTIONS, a program of academic and support services funded by New York State's Liberty Pai nership to help students remain in secondary schools and go on to college. The student populations in the three schools are from groups that are underrepresented in the teaching profession.

Through this program, the Project 30 team plans to work with the Baruch Teachers of Tomorow Club and 12 to 15 ninth and tenth graders who have been identified by the partnership as young people who may become interested in teaching and need mentors and support.

## Southern University at New Orleans

Southern L'niversity at New Orleans has been working io identify strategies that will increase minority participation in teaching. A posthaccalaureate component was created to provide degreed persons with an alternative to achieving certification in education, heth at the elementary as well as the secondary level. In 1988 this component har! 67 students enrolled; as of March 1990, almost 200 elementary and 970 secondary postbaccalaurate altemative certification students were enrolled. The second componcont of the program, still in the plaming stages, will address carly identification of students who are interested in teaching as a career. In addition, a new position, Coordinator of Teacher Preparation, has been established in the College of Edncation. One of the responsibilities of this position is to recruit minorities into education, particularly in the areas of science and mathematics.

## University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee

At Wisconsin-Mikaukee minority students are being encouraged to participate i. the L'W "Professional l'athway" program, which works to facilitate the transition of promising minority modergraduates into the professional schools of Business, Education and Fengineering. The students in the program are paired with a unversity faculty mentor and commumity mentor within that discipline.

L'nivervity of Wisconsin-Milwatere

These tro mentors work with the student to provide firsthand knowledge of the academic requirements as well as the on-the-job duties and responsibilities faced by the community professional. In addition, students are encouraged to participate in study group sessions, workshops, and other events of special interest within their area of study. These activities serve to further "round out" and motiate the students' involvement.

The School of Education offers its Pathway students contact with a facilty member within the school as well as with a commmity mentor from the public schools. The students meet with mentors in workshop activities set up by the program to foster contact in a varicty of activities in the School of Education and in the publie school community. Each semester the students and the mentors are encouraged to develop groals for the students and keep track of their progress. The program also works to prepare the students in meeting entry requirements for the School of Education.

At the time LWM's students are ready for practice teaching the Center for Teacher Education serves a critical role. (.TE was established in 1986 to allow a multi-disciplinary group of faculty and school persomnel to effect changes in preservice teacher education programs and professional practice in the schools. CTE has been iclentified as one of 49 areas of special strength at LW institutions be the LW System Board of Regents and has been designated a Center for Excellence. To provide effective, clinical environments for teacher education, four Professional Development Schools were established in Jantary 1988 by the Center and the Mihaukee Public Schools. Criteria for selecting these schools were that they be neighborhood schools rather than specialty or magnet schoots: that they embody issues of urban schooling; and that school staffs request to participate in the Pathway program.

## University of the Pacific

Offering full financial support and enhanced apprenticeship experiences to 10 to 15 highly qualified minority students in elementary teacher training has been a major clement in the L'niversity of the Pacific's plan for Project 30. Throngh the generosity of the Janet Robinson estate, interest on an endowment of $\$ 600.000$ was made arailable for this purpose. Combined with other support, this gift makes possible the funding of four years of education for five entering freshmen this fall. and-depending upon circumstances next year-five more next fall.

Fincling qualified applicants for Robinson scholarships took a lot of hard work on the part of Project 30 team member Peg langer, with additional letters and calls from Dean Haistey, but the team is pleased with the recipients and especially gratifed that the process stimulated additional freshman minority applications to the School of Education. Approximately a third of next year’s freshman class will be minority students, an increase of over $100 \%$ from last year! Those not receiving one of the five Robinson scholarships are eligible for other forms of aid. Setting
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up the scholarships required substantial effort in three areas: deciding who the scholars would be and what they would do. funding the schotarships, and recruiting.

In consultation with teachers and faculty in the partnership, the Project 30 team has designed an apprenticeship program for Robinson scholars that will include a weekly, on-going seminar with the Dean of Education, supervised apprenticeship experiences in each of the three parmership schools, and the option to participate in partnership activities, inchuding dinner-seminars and Project 30 workshops, labs, and collecting trips. The pupose of this apprenticeship is to foster the development of high professional values and commitment to teaching through extended and supportive interaction with experienced and expert teachers.

In the seminar, students will not only discuss current issues in teaching and implications arising from their experiences in the schools, but the will also get special attention for their personal, academic. and professional progress. In the partnership schools. the will observe and aid in classrooms and later work on special projects with pathership administrators and teachers. The tean regards this apprenticeship model as a powerful way to bring new students into the profession, giving focus to their entire undergraduate experience.

The Robinson endowment has onls been allocated for these seholarship: for a limited period of time. If the Robinson scholar program is to continue, additional substantial extemai funding must be secured. To maintain the program indefinitely at the current level taking in five new sholats a year, would require rasing about $\mathrm{S} 170,000$ a year or an endowment of about $S 9.2$ million. This is a dannting task, and given many other pressing needs, the team is exploring all options, inchuding a reduced level of support or termination after the current funds are spent. If the program is sery successful in recmiting and inducting minority students into teaching. however-and it will be subjected to careful periodic assessment while it is funded-it mat sere to justify similar subsequent efferts at the Coniversity of the Pacific or elsewhere

Recruiting quatified minority applicants proved time-consuming and initially. disheartoning, athough hard work eventually paid off in finding good recipients. The Admissions ()ffice has been cooperative but identifying Robinson applicants is not high on their agenda. In fact, normal chamels of application proved only marginally uscful. The team considered rectuiting minority transfers from local community colleges. but given other means of support that the university offers these students and several other considerations, the team decided to concentate on firsterear students.

The team atso considered rectuting minority aides in local schools-and would have liked ver much to proceed in that direction-bu found that potential older students required support for families as well as for themsehes; financially, it was not feasible for them to attend college full time, and the team feht it was no desiable for the program to feature sudents whe could onle attend
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part time. Successful recruiting required that Professor langer call high school counselors, personally encourage students to apply for admission, and follow up with more letters and phone calls from Dean Haisles. If the program were to continue, it should have staff support time allocated for recruiting. visiting schools to talk to counselors and students and following up with students and their families.

## The University of Pennsylvania

The U'niversity of Pennsylvania's new Minority Teacher Edncata .a Scholarship Fund provides loan-forgiveness scholarships for minority stadents who accept teaching positions in urban Philadelphia. This program conables the program to increase its emrollment of minority teaching candidates. Coupled with Pemn's efforts to place student teachers with supportive, experienced teachers in mban settings, the loan-forgiveness program helps to attact and retain talented minority students in teacher education and promotes multicultural arareness.

In addition a sterring committee of experienced teachers, teacher educators. and fieldwork supervisors now meets regularly to design special sessions on diversity and multicultural teaching that supplement the rest of the program. These programs include special speakers. presentations by urban teachers and community members, as well as opportumities for group members to interact with one amother.

## San Diego State University

Project $30^{\circ}$ s themes of international and multi-cultural challenges and developing strategies to increase minority participation in teaching were addressed in several programs at San Diego State L'niversity. Their Touch the Future: Be a Teacher campaign and Future Teacher Clubs at high schools with high ethnic enrollments focused on recruiting and retaining students from underrepresented groups. Dean Amn Morey of the College of E.ducation had offered leadership in obtaining a C.S.L. Teacher Diversity Grant that supported planning and implementation for programs and ontreach activities to attract muticultural teachers. There has been a joint effor by the directors of the bilingual credential program and the School of Teacher Education to encourage incoming freshmen and sophomores to consider a teaching carere. Finally, the College of Education established an Ethnic Student Recouitment Committee in 1988.

## Pembroke State University

Fioking from a normal school for the Adians of Robeson Comenty. Pembroke State l niversity has gradtated many minonf teachers who have heen and are employed in area schools. Participation in Project 30 has hoightened awareness of the need to recrut and retain more minorities in Pembroke's teacher
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education programs. Members of the Project 30 Team gathered statistical data on minority enrollment in teacher education as compared to overall minority enrollment at the universitv over the past several years and shared this with members of the Teacher Education Committee. Formal and informal discussions on possible strategies to increase minority enrollment were held with faculty, representatives of the state department. members of the community, and colleagues at other institutions. Information on what other institutions have done was gathered and a member of the Project 30 Team attended a national seminar on summer institutes for prospective teachers.

Many strategies have been identified and there is tremendous interest in implementing a program that would include summer institutes for minority high school students considering teaching careers, a support system involving university faculty and minority teachers from area schools as mentors. interdisciplinary seminars, and special enrichment activities to foster the retention in and completion of teacher education programs by minority students. At this time, several faculty members have identified a possible means of securing funding for such a program from the local community and are beginning to pursue this avenue.

The increased awareness of the need for more minority teachers generated by participation in Project 30 contributed to Pembroke's eager acceptance of an invitation to participate in a state-wide consortium designed to increase the supply of minority teachers. Three members of the Project 30 Team have agreed to serve on the campus advisory conmittee for this program.

## Weber State University

Although faculty at Weber State U'niversity have been very interested in minority recruitment and preparation in teacher education, Project 30 gave them another impetus to move along more rapidly on this matter. A minority recruitment committee was organized and plans were made to recruit more minority students to teacher education from the local arca and also from other states. It was felt that the teacher education program could keep students in the program once they were initially attracted of the teaching profession. Plans have been formulated to begin to attract students to the profession at a much carlier age that that at which theber State had previously been able to get them involved. This proposed carlv recruitment would begin with students in grades four through ninc.

## Section Three

Limitations and Possibilities
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## Limitations anid Recommenidations

While criticisms of education reform efforts may, at first, not seem extremely productive, understanding the very real limitations, constraints, and politics of reform are essential to its progress. With that in mind, a number of schools had problems they wanted to communicate and recommendations for the future.

## University of the Pacific

The University of the Pacific Project 30 team expressed a number of concerns about their participation in Project 30. They point ont, for instance, that partnerships like theirs (see chapter four) need money, if just for hospitality and a timited range of start-up activities. Modest funding from the Project 30 grant was extended by the university's absorbing major personnel costs, but some activities simply need hard cash. The Project 30 team spent over $\$ 3,000$ for partnership dinner seminars in the past year; expenses for laboratory workshops and collecting trips were absorbed by the deparments or paid for by participants. It is not clear what will happen next year without at least modest additional external funding. More ambitious collaborative efforts that require substantial commitments of time from teachers and professors are not feasible without external support for substitute teachers, released time for faculty, and so forth. During the past year, the team has submitted three major funding requests to federal agencies without success: however, attempts will continue to secure support for the partnership's identified priorities.

Pacific also points out that parmerships need clear and visible administrative backing o enlist hard-working arts and sciences faculty and elementary teachers in the first place and strong and imaginative leadership to continue to interest them. Project 30 at the university has been fortunate on all counts. Senior faculty participation has been especially strong: the quality of participating school teachers is frequently mentioned as an important factor in participants' wanting to continue the partnership. Developing trust and respect has been an important dimension of the experience. The team discovered it was helpful to draw on prior personal relationships at the beginning.

Prior relationships between arts and sciences faculty and education faculty, however, raised a delicate question: if the partnership is perceived as a School of Education venture, would arts and sciences faculty participate: In this instance. for better or worse, the answer was no. Acknowledging this, the team emphasized the person-to-person recruitment of arts and sciences faculty, but also invited members of the curriculum and instruction faculty to paticipate. The result was a happy mix, with a clear preponderance of arts and science professors. Participating teachers, many of whom already had professional relationships with education faculty, have found this aspect of the parmership novel and attractive.

Above all, Pacific would like to point out that significant reform is hard work. Making a miversity/schools partnership work so that all the partners perceive the
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benefit and helping it to erolve appropriately takes commitment, time, effort. and imagination-especially a large amount of the latter. Recruiting additional minority students into teaching is surprisingly difficult. W'riting a program that can reasonably make any claim whatsoever to adequately train elementary teachers in the subjects that they need to teach is a veritable black hole of time, effort, and spirit, from which the principal representatives of Pacific's Project 30 team have now retired-bruised, shaken, and mildly triumphant.

## Vassar College

Project 30 was also costly to Vassar. They question whether the outcomes will gain sufficient national attention if presented in a book-print format as yet another "national report." Their campus process built on already existing close collegial relationships. Before higher education institutions really take the issue of teacher education seriously, ther must see a gain. If the gain is not large federal grants or foundation grants, then it must be in the amount of publicity and good will that can be achieved by emphasizing teacher education. To allocate already strained budget resources, and faculty resources, in a time of shrinking enrollment is just not realistic, says Vassar. They add that New York is constanty tightening the certification codes, making it harder and harder for liberal arts colleges to continue to prepare teachers. The Project 30 participation did help, but these greater pressures will, in the long run, decide the future of teacher education at Vassar.

One insight Vassar gained from Project 30 was the value of collaboration between institutions. The future of teacher education at Yassar may, in the long run, be based upon linking up with other schools. especially those with graduate programs, and developing programs bridging between the schools. It is certainly true that Project 30 reemphasized to the Vassar administration the importance of total institution support for the preparation of teachers. The good will is there, but the resources are limited.

One of the most significant lessons Vassar learned from participation in Project 30 is the great differences that exist among the 30 participating institutions. Vassar found that it took a full year to understand the point of view of their colleagues at other schools. It might be beneficial, they feel, to continue Project 30) br attempting to establish consortium relationships nationally, based on criteria of similarity between programs.

It may evolve that several schools come out of this project with similar changes and program designs. Vassar believes that it would be good to establish ways for those schools to link up, perhaps via computer access. This would enable those with similar interests to continue to talk and share.

Vassar believes that follow-up information detailing the polities of change on each campus should be prepared. This would be a valuable guide, not only for Project 30 schools, but others as well.

Finally, Vassar urges that some way should be found to creatively get the message of Project 30 before a national audience, but feets that another book or report won't do it. Perhaps a teleconference, a series of computer disks, or a team of panelists who travel to a number of national meetings, both educational and citizen groups, could help achieve this goal.

## Santa Clara University

Santa Clara University also had some recommendations. In retrospect, they felt a useful activity for Project 30 might be small group, regional, or "theme-alike" meetings for Project 30 schools. While they learned a great deal about ways of collaborating on their own campus, they felt that they may also have benefitted from sharing more closely and more often with neighboring Project 30 schools.

## University of Maryland at College Park

Members of the Liniversity of Maryland's team feht their project has deme:strated that small-budget activities can be fruitful, given the existence of certain conditions. Among these, the interest in and support for the activity by deans and faculty is essential. The two deans involved originally in the project believed that efforts to improve college and pre-college teaching were needed and important. They also were willing to allocate scarce resources to supplement the small amount of finding available from Project 30 . The cost of attendance at the first annual meeting in Houston far exceeded the total of the Project 30 award, and other funding was made available to support Maryland's project. Without this type of moral and finamcial support from the deans, the project would have been significantly less successful.

Of even greater importance was the willingness of three highly competent and exceptionally busy faculty members to use their time and creative energy to conceptualize and carr out Markand's plan. Given the lack of specific incentives to entice their participation, Maryand is formate that the intellectual challenge appealed to their commiment to improve the quality of undergraduate teaching at this institution.

Althongh miversities are dynamic and ever changing institu, e Ptoject 30 spanned a time frame during which Maryand was changing leader:mp at the campus level and within the units involved in the project. In addition, the university system was undergoing an organizational change and the campus was implementing a series of major changes in its undergraduate requirements. The net result of these factors was additional time requirements for faculty-to revise policies to reflect system requirements, to meet with representatives from oiher campuses, to create new courses, to revise graduation requirements, etc. In additom to nommal responsibilities, much time was required to adjust to the changes being made. As noted earlier, events affecting the institution were both friend and foe for Marviand's project. To complicate matters, the university faced
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serious financial constraints as a result of a lagging state economy. The financial situation made it impossible to implement an interdisciplinary capstone course developed as part of the Project 30 activity.

These reflections describe real factors impinging on the success of projects such as Project 30 at an institution such as Mardand. The positive aspects of Project 30 for Maryland include:

1. The concepualization of the project was intellectually sound and appealing to faculty and administrators committed to improting undergraduate instruction;
2. The process enhanced inter-college relationships;
3. The annual meeting provided an opportunity for cross-institutional sharing and exposure to national leaders in teacher education reform;
4. Project 30 challenged institutions to develop a project appropriate to specific needs of the institution:
5. The project stimulated an activity that has the potential of lasting influence on programs on the campus: and.
6. An interdisciplinary course was designed as a capstone experience for science students.
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## Possibilitties for Changi:

As the Maryland team points out (see chapter nine) Project 30 challenged institutions to develop a project appropriate to the specific needs and strengths of the institution. When schools engage in educational reform that is tailored to the their distinctive characteristics, they extend our sense of the possibilities of reform. Perhaps a different kind of reform is possible for every different kind of school, each with its own problems, its own quaities, its own strengths. With this in mind, the last chapter of this report looks at the teams who most adapted Project 30 's goals to the specific character of their schools.

## St. Mary's University

Geographic location can create possibilities for reform. St. Mary's, which is close to the Mexican border, designed an intemational experience for prospective teachers: a semester in Queretaro, Mexico. The program will be established in cooperation with the Alexander von Humboldt Institute, headed by Dr. Detlev Kapstein. Participants will include 12 to 15 students contemplating careers in teaching at the elementary and secondary school level and a faculty member from any of the undergraduate schools of the university. The purpose of the program is to permit the prospective teachers to live in an international setting and to experience an observation practicum under the tutelage of nonAmerican teachers. The experience will assist the student to more realistically make a choice of career prior to making a commiment. In addition, for all students this will be an enriching educational experience.

Students and their accompanying teacher will spend one academic semester in Queretaro, Mexico. A St. Mary's teacher will be the Lniversity's liaison with Dr. Kapstein and will teach two courses in his/her discipline in English. Both of these courses will be from the core curriculum. In addition, students will take two courses from non-American teachers. One of the two will be a foreign language course, again to fulfill a core requirement; the other course will be one of the following: Mexican History, Mexican Culture and Civilization, Mexican Literature, Geology, Art, Music, English, German, or Physical Education. The fifth course will be an observation practicum under the tutelage of one of the teachers of the institute. A sixth course could be offered, if desired.

## Santa Clara University

Because of the increasing ethnic diversity in California, Santa Clara wanted to improve multicultural awareness at the university and engaged in a tariety of activities for this purpose. During their first year, the Project 30 team at Santa Claral laid the groundwork for integrating a multicultural perspective into three courses required in the pre-teaching curriculum: Writing for Teachers, 20th Century L.S. History, and Ethics in Society. Members of the Ethnic Studies
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faculty, the faculty teaching the specific courses, two master teachers from local school districts, and the Project 30 teame members participated in a series of informal meetings and a structured professional development activity. To plan the professional development activity; the team held several meetings with public school teachers and meetings with Santa Clara University faculty to determine their interest and to solicit their involvement in a collaborative effort that might result in some improvements in SCU courses.

These meetings resulted in a faculty development/inservice activity for English teachers entitled, "Curriculum Dialogue." In April 1989, seven English teachers from local schools, four SCU English professors and the Director of SCU's Teaching and Learning Center attended this two-hour session. This faculty development/inservice program was a very successful event, with lively discussion addressing concerns about teaching English at all levels.

The Project 30 team members were also involved with another kind of curriculum discussion when Henry Ciroux gave the Presidential Lecture in May of 1989. Santa Clara invited the teachers who participated in the Curriculum Dialogue to attend Professor Giroux's lecture. Some of these teachers participated in a special workshop with Henry (Giroux, where the focus was on educating minority students and global issues in the curriculum. The Project 30 tean saw this as an excellent opportunity to bring a radical critique into their discussion of teacher education.

In January, the Project 30 team and invited faculty and public school teachers and administrators participated in a California Department of Education sponsored conference on "Cultural Diversity." This was a working conference in which each team was asked to plan strategies for increasing awareness of and information about California's increasingly diverse student population.

In May 1990. Project 30 sponsored a dimer, lecture and workshop with Professer James Gee of the Liniversity of Sonthern California, who spoke on the topic, "The Problem of School Failure." This lecture was an opportunity for SCL' students. faculty, local teachers, and project team members to participate in intellectual sharing and dialogue. The following morning, a small group of faculty, local teachers, students and team members attended a breakfast meeting with Professor Gee to talk about issues concerning teaching and schooling. This collaboration of university and local school people underscores Project $30^{\circ}$ s role in improving dialogue on many levels.

The Project's emphasis on multicultural, international and other human perspectives has helped SCC's Teacher Edncation Program formulate two new courses for teacher credential candidates. Begiming in the 1990-91 academic year each credential candidate will participate in a cultural immersion experience in which he or she will have the opportumity to serve in a local human service agency (e.g. homeless shelter, drop-out prevention program, soup kitchen, senior center, etc.). This one-week, intense immersion will help SCU's students to understand that teaching requires service and in order to serve one must be willing to learn
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from those one serves. In addition, each student will take a course in English as a Second Language Theory and Methods.

The increasing linguistic diversity of California's public school students means that every teacher will someday encounter students for whom English is a second language. The need for teachers who are prepared to meet these sindents' needs is already apparent. Santa Clara's Teacher Education Program has made a proactive move in institutionalizing English as a Second Language instruction for all students. They believe this will add to the demand for their students who typically seek employment in the Santa Clara Valley, a linguistically diverse area.

## Howard University

Howard L'niversity, capitalizing on its strengths as an historically black school. undertook a number of activities with the intention of raising awareness of and attention to minority concerns in educational reform. Team members participated with the students and teacher education students at Howard on a panel discussion entitled "The Importance of Minority Teachers." The team also sponsored the group at the national conference, "One Third of a Nation: Priorities for the Year 2000," which Howard held in November 1989.

A number of teacher education students and magnet school students, who met last year at a Project 30 mini-conference and decided to form an organization. met again to create an agenda for future activities on campus and throughout the city. During the remainder of the semester, the group visited elementary and secondarr schools to encourage students to consider teaching, targeting minority students. They also devised and implemented their own recruitment program.

A teacher education student from Howard and the Project 30 team leader took part in a minority teacher recrutment television program produced by the Medill News Scrvice on November 2. The reporter interviewed a Project 30 representative and the student. She then followed the student into the student teaching situation and recorded her interacting with children in the elementary classroom. The feature aired in Chicego and was used as "Show and Tell" at the senior reception held at the end of student teaching at Howard for senior students and their master teachers.

At the Monterey Conference sponsored by Project 30 in December, the team presented two slide tape presentations, one as summary of its activities shown at the poster session, and the other as part of the program session on minority recruitment issues. On the recruiment tape, minority students spoke emotionally and candidly about the educational experiences that prompted them to prepare to teach and apparently shocked some of the conference participants, who were speechless at the conchision. The tape, however, generated lively discussion on this critical issue after they recovered. A copy of the tape was provided to Project 30 Directors.

Three Project 30 team members participated in the National Conference held at Howard, "One Third of a Nation: Priorities for the Year 2000," making presentations and facilitating discussion in sessions relating to education reform priorities for minorities. The Project 30 team played a leadership role in selecting speakers for the conference and inviting colleagues from the College of Liberal Arts to react to the papers that were presented.

In addition, the team was instrumental in making sure that classroom teachers and teacher education students played active roles in the conference, not only participating in the sessions themselves as presenters, but also hosting keynote speakers, attending receptions, and assisting at the registration desk. The idea was to arrange experiences that demonstrated some of the dynamic facets of teaching, including a form to express and share ideas with experts in education and leaders of both national and local influence.

Howard also put a great deal of energy' into a symposium with Vassar. In preparation for the Vassar trip, the students, who were selected from early childhood, elementary and secondary Introduction to Teacher Education classes. read articles, attended the "One Third of a Nation Conference" and gencrated issues they felt were gemane to multicultural education. In the process of refining their issues, the group made a videotape describing events in their lives that have led them to pursue teaching. This tape will be used to further Project 30 efforts both in recruitment and multicultural education. Already, senior teacher education students have taken the video tape to schools when conducting a recruiting program this past spring.

In addition to the formal schedule of conference events, the Howard and Vassar students ate and caucused together over the two-day period. They socialized and participated in heated debates. The final formal event, the student pancl, "What to Include in a Multicultural Classroom," was planned and executed by the two groups, which had coalesced into one around this issue. Ther asked that none of the instructors speak, rather that they be allowed to conduct the pancl as they wished. It was most exciting. The students were open in expressing themselves through their concerns abut multicultural differences, what should be taught, by whom, and how they could prepare themselves to teach in such enviromments. One of the students, Nasaria Suckoo, a theatre education major at Howard, read the written comments for the Howard contingency and summarized the experience in the following way:

On a warm spring afternoon, April 5, 1990, they rolled off the campus of a predominantly Black university to travel over 450 miles to Vassar College, Poughkecpsic, New York. There were 23 Howard students and four faculty traveling to take part in a 9 -day symposium entitled "Multicultural Education and Our Schools: An Exploration of the Issues." They would be staying with host students from Vassar and will returs the favor when Vassar students visit the Howard Liniversity campus in the fall of 1990.

Howard L'niversity

As they boarded the bus, their clothes, luggage and other belongings whispered secrets of the "trail-mix" of unique personalities that that bus would be shaking and jogging all the way to the New York countryside. Their excitement was apparent as millions of questions and comments about their expectations ricocheted from the front to the back of the bus.

The two lecture davs were packed tightly with events, but the one event that stood out from the rest was an emotional lecture on "TransAfrican Art" by Dr. Jeff Donaldson, Associate Dean, College of Fine Arts, Howard Cniversity. He highlighted the influences of African art and culture on the art of foreign societies. L'nlike any other lecture at the event, his strong belief and obvious effort to control his anger as he spoke of the attempts that the dominating culture makes to discredit African influence on great art of the world grabbed the audience. A cheer of great pride arose from the Howard students as Vassar students sat in awe of the things they had just learned.

In retrospect. the Howard students thoroughty enjoyed the trip and came away with many different impres.ions on how ther thought they had performed and what they felt had been accomplished. Hugh Floyd said "I also enjoyed the Latino dinner given by the Dean of Student Life, James Montova, Vassar College. He conducted an interesting discussion about what we call our race and what they call themselves." According to Nasaria Suckoo from Howard, she learned that
a multicultural cducation is not a new subject to add to the list of information that today's children have to leam, but rather, it is a method through which to teach subjects and foster cultural respect. Multicultural education should never be presented as a deviation from a perceived norm as that fosters superiority and inferiority complexes in young students.

On the other hand. Natalie Henson, another Howard student, feh that "more materials of greater detail would be dealt with" and instead found that. "discussions were rather general with much being said that was already known to us (Howard students) prior to the trip."

It was quite evident that this trip was a success. According to, Jullian Fuller, a Howard participant, "We went to V'assar, spoke our piece, and left them with the knowledge that they had been in the presence of 23 prepared and intelligent Black people from Howard."

The Vassar and Howard Liniversity teams are in the process of writing up the Vassar experience from the perspective of students and faculty at both institution who attended the meeting. They intend to $p$ blish outcomes and impressions of the experience, which once carefully anabzed, may form the basis of a multicultural model worthy of use at other institutions. Continuation of Project 3 will facilitate this process.

Howard also participated in The Teachers College Conference, which was held in Wilmington, Delaware, and included two members of the Project 30 team, and involved Frank Murray, who was an outstanding host and participant. The purpose of this two-day seminar was to create a pipeline of resources for recruiting minorities into teaching by bringing together those institutional representatives who were successful in recruiting and retaining minorities and those who have not been successful so they could learn. The Howard University team helped plan the conference and select participants.

The team was, thus, able to identify as "experts," practitioners, not theoreticians, to provide suggestions to those in the Northeast region who wished to recruit minorities and needed direction. It was the tean's association with Project 30 that enabled it to function quickly, identifying experts and those who needed help, as some of the experts and the needy were from Project 30 institutions.

Entitled "Minority Research Recruitment, Preparation, and Retention: What Works," the program attracted individuals who were cager to enhance the academic preparation and certification of minorities entering the teaching profession. In addition to 16 excellent presentations and papers, those present established associations and have agreed to continue meeting regularly.

## University of Pennsylvania

At the L'niversity of Pennsykania, a major research institution, the Project 30 team has been part of a larger effort to reorganize undergraduate and graduate prograns in teacher education so that teacher research is a central activity. Teacher-research is part of a growing professionalization movement nationwide that encourages teachers' paticipation in classroom and school-wide research. The movement is directly in kecping with current educational reform agendas that demand mere antonomous and accomitable teachers prepared to be leaders in their own classrooms, schools and broader educational communities.

Teacher-researchers gencrate questions about teaching and leaming, design and carry out studies in their own classrooms, reflect on what they have learned, and share their knowledge with others. Teachers who view themselves as leaners and researchers often alter their roles in classrooms in fundamental wass. When teachers are also researchers with opportunities to share their questions and obsemations with others, they attend more carefully to the needs and interests of the individuals in their classooms, they become more active professionally, and they often find ways to link their curricula with commmenty needs and resources.

In Penn's teacher programs, experienced teachers, student teachers and teacher educators are all urged to view themselves as researchers and reformers. When they do so, their pedagogy becomes learner-centered in two wavs: teachers function as curiculum creators and not just implementors, and their students are taught how to take more responsibility for their own learning.
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Over the course of the student-teaching year, Pemn teacher education students now complete four kinds of teacher-research projects: journals, essays, oral inquiry processes, and classroom studies. In journals, student teachers keep weekly accounts of their observations and reflections, which are responded to by their school mentors, their university mentors, and, in some cases, by both of these. These journals are used to enhance student teachers' observation skills, provide data for research projects, and suggest topics for further research.

In addition, student teachers, cooperating teachers. Pemn supervisors and other teacher educators write essays, some collaboratively and some individually, in which they pull together theories and readings from courses and seminars and from their own experiences in the public schools. Some essays are shared in weekly and monthly meetings, and some are presented in larger conferences. All student teachers conduct small-scale classroom studies in cooperation with their mentor teachers. These range widely from case studies of individual students ad survers of experienced teacher practices to implementation of thematic literature and social studies umits. In addition, a number of cooperating teachers who are experienced teacher-researchers present their own classrom studies to the large group during monthly seminars and in some cases in larger regional contexts.

The new arrangements at Pemn, supported by Project 30 as well as by several other nationai and regional initiatives, also provide opportunities for student teachers to participate with experienced teachers in classroom research by bringing Philadelphia area teachers and student teachers together to consider issues of teaching, learning and schooling. Teacher-researcher contexts provide opportmities for all teachers, experienced and new, to examine and critique their knowledge of both pedasogy and content.

Teacher-researcher group,s composed of 3-4 student teachers assigned to the same school, their cooperating teachers at that school, and one Pemn teacher educator meet weekly at the school site to reflect, read and write about issues of theory and practice. L'nlike the role of the traditional student teaching "supernisor," who observes and evaluates the student's performance in the classroom, Penn teacher educators facilitate meetings of teacher-researcher groups, spending about a day per week at the school site.

Participants conduct joint classroom inquiry projects based on their observations of students and classroom events: write in weekly dialogue jourrals aimed at helping student teachers moderstand the culture of the classroom and the school; and confer about student teachers progress by sharing observational notes, reflections on practice, and written lesson plans. Weekly group meetings provide structures within the school day and on the school site where new teachers can examine their teaching experiences in relation to the perspectives of both classroom teachers and teacher educators.

Teacher-researcher groups across school sites also mect monthly for universitysite seminars. In these, they examine issues of urban and minority teaching and student teaching across grade levels, subject matter disciplines, and school sites.
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They compare the perspectives of university-based teacher educators with those of experienced ubban and suburban teachers by responding to taped classroom interactions, reading from teachers' journals and inquiries, and responding to student teachers' questions, and they critique and redesign features of the student teaching model itself.

In this way, the teacher education model is open to the scrutiny of participants in much the same way as the model of reflective teaching espoused by the program. Collaborative activities that involve teachers in planning, problem solving and cross-school collaboration are expected to diminish teacher isolation and contribute significantly to career satisfaction.

Teacher educators at Pemn now regularly' present their work at national conferences, including the American Edncational Research Association (AERA), the National Council of Teachers of English (NCTE), the American Association of Colleges of Teacher Education (AACTE), the Intemational Reading Association (IRA), and the Ethnography and Education Forum. Writing in collaboration with teachers, student teachers and supervisors, they co-author papers about the activities of Penn's new teacher education programs. In addition, experienced teachers, student teachers, and supenvisors present and publish papers at these and local forums.

All of Penn's activities are intended to address traditional problems in teacher education: the gap between university preparation and practical experience in the field, the limitations of ongoing professional education of teachers, and a lack of rich knowledge about successful preservice initiatives. Penn's programs address these problems by linking theory and practice, promoting analysis and critique of current procedures, building a community of fellow learners, and creating collaborative contexts in which new knowledge about teaching and learning can be generated, critiqued and disseminated.

## University of Dayton

At the L'niversity of Dayton, a Catholic L'niversity concerned with instilling values and providing students with a well-rouncled edncation, the Project 30 team did most of its work in the area of general and liberal knowledge. Even though part of what was accomplished programmatically originated in the College of Arts and Sciences and pre-dates Project 30, the Carnegie program generated substantial additional conmitment to the notion of bringing together selected School of Education and Arts and Sciences CORE, facnlty. Described below are the CORE program and elementary BLOCK prograne. The latter is a newly created part of the clementary curriculum.

The L'niversity of Dayton's CORE generat education program is designed aromed the theme of "Plaralism and Vahnes." The program consists of ten integrated courses spread out over the undergraduate years. The first year focuses on the historical, philesophical, :neological, and literary development of
pluralism. The second year centers on contemporary expressions of pharalism and the problematic nature of values in a pluralistic society. For instance, students study Habits of the Heart in a sociology course on "Community." They read Death of a Salesman in an English course. They reflect on modern theories of freedom in a social philosophy course.

Faculty teaching these courses share their interpretations with each other and their students, plan joint assigmments, and in various ways build on each others' courses to challenge students to critically reflect upon their fundamental values, rights, and responsibilitics in a pluralistic democracy. Subsequently, students are required to take capstone courses designed to enable them to go one step further in their reflection. They are clatlenged to articulate their own philosophical and religious assumptions and ferfdamental decisions relative to the most significant issues facing contemporary humankind.

Teacher education students who are participating in the CORE general education program take professional education courses that are integrated with and build upon the general education courses. During the first semester of the first year, students take a no-credit course called "Personal Aspects of Teaching," in which they are oriented to the theme of the teacher education program, entitled "Teachers as Decision Makers in a Pluralistic Democracs:" They hear about the way in which the program is integrated with the general education courses. Through various activities they learn about the resources of the University, the School of Education, and the Department of Teacher Education. They meet practicing and retired teachers to discuss key decisions teachers make about their profession.

During the second semester of the first year, students take a course called "The Profession of Teaching." The course uses the concept of culture as its central focus. It examines the pluralistic nature of American society as it affects students and the "culture of the school." And it analyes the concept of professionalism as it relates to pluralism and teaching. In conjunction with this course, students articulate ideas they have learned in weekly field-based experiences in the Dayton Public Schools. They are required to participate in focused observations on the "cultures" of the school, the students, and the teachers, and to work with the cooperating teachers and the elementary or middle-school students.

During the sophomore year, students take such courses as the History of Education, Child and Adolescent Growth and Development, and Teaching and Leaming. These courses also are designed to be integrated with the general education courses around the program theme. For instance, students in the History of Education course compare and contrast concepts from the Sociology course on Community with those of significant cducators (e.g., Jane Addams at Hull House). Students in the Teaching and Learning course analyze characters in their literature courses from the standpoint of learning theory (c.g., How would B. F. Skimer or Jerome Bruner explain Antigone's decisions and her relationship
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with Creon?). Appropriate field-based and clinical experiences are integrated with these courses also.

During their junior year, students take methodology courses in which they are challenged to develop mechanisms for creating teaching units that reflect the kind of integration they have experienced in their general and professional education courses. The capstone course is Philosophy of Education, which is taken in the senior year. Its focus is the theme of the program and students are expected to develop and defend their personal statements of what it means to be a teacher in a pluralistic democracy.

In this capstone course, students not only read John Dewey, Henny Giroux, Paulo Friere, and other philosophers of education, they also use individually developed portfolios as a text. The students create portfolios starting in their first year in the program. These portfolios are designed as a mechanism to facilitate the students' understanding of the integration of their courses and to foster reflection upon the theme of the program.

Students are asked to organize their portfolios into five categories that correspond to Lee Shuman's conception of the knowledge teachers should possess: content mastery, content specific pedagogr; student specific pedagogy, classroom management and organization, and teacher responsibility. During their undergraduate years, students are requested dy place entries in the portfolios tha: they think demonstrate their performance infese categories and their reflections. Faculty advisors work with students in preparing their portfolios. The last entry' in the portfolio is the final paper required as part of the Philosophy of Education course.

In the teacher education curriculum, there has been an attempt to organize the professional knowledge component in a manner similar to that of the CORE program. Through the BL.OCK program, the elementary currirulum has been restructured to enhance curricular connectedness and cohesiveness. Prior to 1987, students completed a combination of professional education courses in a relatively random fashion. There was substantial and needless conceptual overlap in the classes. Instructors were responsible for their own courses and few saw any need to identify how coursework connected with the ideas of faculty colleagues.

A new curriculum was developed by the elementary education faculty that collapsed all methods courses into one BLOCK of courses taken in the second semester of the junior year. As a result, methods courses in math, science, reading, social studies, art, and music courses are taken concurrently. Students split their time between campus-based university coursework and school-based teaching.

While in the schools, they must teach a select number of lessons from a small range of lesson types. Students learn a smaller number of skills than they did in the previous curriculum (e.g., they learn just one lesson design model), but they spend more time testing the ideas they learn and they engage in more reflectivity vis-a-vis the strengths and weaknesses of acquired pedagogical skills.
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The notion behind the BLOCK concept was to emphasize depth, not breadth, and to encourage faculty to engage in joint planning and advising with students. Each student in the BLOCK (approximately 50 per semester) has individual conferences with course instructors and is observed teaching a select number of lessons by faculty and three specially trained observers who have no BLOCK teaching responsibilities but who carefully assess the students' performance in the field setting.

Of particular interest was the methots faculty members' interest in eliminating coursework that did not contribute te thee intellectual growth of the students. A prinary example was the creation by the faculty of a new art and music (aesthetics) course to replace the wo separate art materials and music methods classes. The music class was of particular concern to students and faculty. Students learned to play piano and other musical instrmments in preparation for teaching 'wn e'ementary class. Students viewed the class as "soft." For many students it wats a couse that epitomized traditional conceptions of a "Mickey Monse" education curriculum.

Working with the an and music faculty, the old conrses were eliminated and a new "Music and Art in the Elementary School" course was designed. The new course emphasizes the interdisciplinary nature of the arts and focuses on how the arts can be infused in the regular curriculum (e.g., social studies, English, etc.). The course is team-taught by music and art faculty, and students spend much more time on the identification and application of pedagogical theories from art and music education and much less time on performance and technique.

Creation of the BLOCK enabled faculty to build a more coherent and rigorous curriculum and resulted in the elimination of some education coursework. The elimination of such coursework made it possible to require more study for elementary education students in the arts and sciences.

The CORE curriculum described above is currently available to a limited number of education students, although the L'niversity would like to offer it on a broader scale. Though the School of Education has established no specific percentage of the students to matriculate through CORE, the teacher eclucation program for elementary preservice teachers will be strengthened if at least half of the students (and particularly those seeking to teach at the intermediate grade levels) complete general education coursework as part of CORE.

Students who are not part of CORE will be exposed to a new hmmanities-based general education program, currently in the planning stages. This program. which has been designed under the leadership of two of the Project 30 team members, emphasizes the humanities as the essential link between general and professional education in the comprehensive university.

The comprehensive university often has difficulty implementing policies and prograns that support integration. If general and professional education are umitea in theory, often they are not mited in practice. For example, humanities courses are typically pitted against the requirements of preprofessional and
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professional programs mandated by professional accreditation agencies. Nonhumanities faculty typically do not understand the relationship of the humanities to their own purposes, and students perceive them as mere requirements they have to take.

Even worse, the humanities atrophy when humanities faculty themselves fail to relate course content to fundamental questions about the human condition and to communicate with each other about the nature and significance of the ir work. Such specialization and fragmentation estranges the humanities faculty from colleagues in the sciences and the professional schools.

The question for any comprehensive university (and particularly for The Liniversity of Dayton) is where to begin to enhance the humanities. The strategy. underlying the newly desigited program at Dayton is to begin with the humanities base of general education. This strategy rests on the following premises:

1. The humanities will be respected by both hamanities and non-humanities faculty to the extent that the initial humanities courses in a student's undergraduate program are powerful experiences that promote understanding and sensitivity as a basis for critical and creative thinking and further study in a major or professional field.
2. The humanities base couses will be powerful experiences to the extent that the courses are integrated in terms of content (e.g., philosophical thought and historical developments) and cognitive skills (e.g., thinking metaphorically in philosophy and English Composition, or presenting an argument in history about the validity of a novelist's consideration of an historical event).
3. Humanities faculty will value the initial humanities courses to the extent that they perceive them as a significant part of a student's undergraduate experience and as an opportmity to learn with their colleagues abont their content and teaching methodologs:
4. Faculty development and curriculum development are coterminous. activities when done property. Hence. when humanities faculty work to develop a new cumicuhum. especially an integrated curriculum, they can dovelop both as teachers and scholars.
5. Non-humanities faculty will support the talue of the humanities to the extent that they participate with hmanities faculty in reflecting on the nature of the humanites and general education, aind on the insights the humanities can offer for their teaching and stucly in their disciplines or professional fields.
The aluable lessons of Project 30 have led the L'niversity of Davton to adopt the stategy and five premises identifed above as the basis for the content and activities of a new humanities-based project that will influence the general education curriculum of all undergraduate students and further facilitate the integration of general and professional education.

Epilogue
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## Getting; Beyond the Reform Siogians

From the very beginning, Project 30 has emphasized functional approaches to the conception of a new tesign for teacher education in America. Our hopes for reform rest squarely on the premise that faculty, students and administrators must act to create better ways to educate prospective teachers. They will do so individually and in small groups, and they will succeed in the settings they know best, the specific campuses where they teach, learn, and organize their academic interests. This antholog' is a report of the beginning of this careful long-term process. It places Project 30 in a reform tradition of sustained discovery and constructive progress.

Some educational reform reports of the past ten years served as catalysts for further thinking and for other reports. The 1983 report A Nation at Risk is a good example. The commission that produced the report disbanded shortly after its release. Therefore, the report's exceptional influence was due primarily to the work of other persons, some of whom wrote additional reports. The commission itself had no further role to play in school reform.

Other reports, like the Holmes Group's Tomorrou's Teachers, or Sizer's Horace's Compromise, led directly to the formation of an organization that was determined to bring about the reforms their reports adrocated. In the case of the Horace's Compromise trilogy of eports, the Coalition of Essential Schools organization, formed by the authors, proved unable to penetrate the regulations from the teacher's unions and the state educational agencies that hampered the Coalition's efforts. Undamted, the Coalition enlisted the help of the Education Commission of the States, led by the state govemors, who established the Re:Learning project. This gave the Coalition's reform ideas greater influence in the states because the inhibiting regulations could be waived by a governor.

Along similar lines, the Task Force on Teaching as a Profession that produced A Nation Prepared continued working beyond publication of the report to seek implementation of the reforms. For example, it succeeded in carrying out its plans for national standards for "lead teachers" with the creation of the National Standards Board for Professional Teaching Practice. The Standards Board, as the group is often called, is currently developing a series of mational tests of teaching competence that will eventually support the award of a national teaching credential for excellent teaching. The Standard Board's expectation is that the tests it develops will have a broad and profound impact on professional standards for teaching. Thus, teachers colleges will need to change if their graduates are to pass the more demanding tests, school districts will have to modernize their policies if they are to retain the services of nationally credentialed teachers, and communities will demand that their schools hire teachers who hold certificates that represent the excellence the B oard hopes their tests can capture.

The reform efforts of Project 30 are being pursued in a mamer similar to Re:Learning, the Standards Board, and the Holmes Group. The strategies
followed by these groups might be simply described as 1) stay in business, and 2) enlist the help of your friends. The first strategy is necessary in order to insure implementation of the ideas the reform effort advocates. The second strategy acknowledges that effective reform is truly systemic and thus inevitably larger than the best work of any one reform effort.

When Project 30 began, and even after we issued the first year report, The Reform of Teacher Liducation for the 21st Century, we believed that after threc years each participating institution's projects would be far enough along that the local teams could see the work through on their own campuses. By the third year, some promising projects were well underway on the campuses, as this volume documents, and several consicte instances of successful applications were apparent. Nonetheless, the teams had learned that systemic reform usually takes place over the long term, much longer than three years. At the same time, conthusiasm for the ideas enabling this constructive reform remained high. Thus, a strong sentiment developed to keep alive the sustained collaboration of arts and sciences faculty with education faculty for the purposes of pursuing the five Project 30 themes until a new design for teacher education is in place, and perhaps even beyond. From this energy was born the Project 30 Alliance, an organization that will carry out the :.ac: started by the original teams in Project 30 and extend it to other canpuses.

The planning for the Froject 30 Alliance took place in April 1991 at a meeting of team leaders in Houscon, the site of the first national meeting of Project 30. There the group commented on a set of draft by-laws, elected an executive committee, heard more about the projects at Millerstille, Northern Colorado, and Vanderbilt, and heid the seminars that have become a distinctive feature of each of the Project 30 national meetings. In addition, the team leaders, who were representing their colleges of education and colleges of arts and sciences, heard from some likely frierods of the proposed Project 30 Alliance, and were pleased to learn how many o , anizations would welcome the Project 30 Alliance and si.jport its efforts to contiaue the work it has begum.

The Office of Educational Research and Improvement, of the U'nited States Department of Education, recently conducted a major national competition to establish a fow national research centers on education. One of the successful proposals led to the establishment in Michigan of the National Center for Research on Teacher Learning, which indicated in its proposal that it had chosen Project ${ }^{3 \prime}$, as a prime network to distribute its findings on how teachers learn, particukrely how they learn to become teachers. The Center's research agenda examines three areas that are in line with Project 30's work: the examination of the teacher's prior beliefs about subject matter and pupil learning; the connection between the teacher's understanding of subject matter and the pedagogy required for a diverse population of sudents; and the context in which the prospective teacher can practice, be coac, ed, and be reflective and deliberative about teaching. At the Houston 1 eceting in April 1991, the Center's
director, Mary Kemmedy, and associate director. Bill McDiarmid, reviewed the productive fit between the Center's agenda and the proposed Project 30 Alliance.

In comection with the release of Teachers for Our Nation's Schools by John Goodlad. a member of the national advisory panel for Project 30, three organizations issued a series of pamphlets about what American leaders could do to adance the agenda for teacher education in our democracy. This is one of the major themes in Goodlad's book and in the work of the Center for Educational Renewal. which he directs. The authors of the pamphlets are the American Association of Colleges for Teacher Education, one of three sponsoring organizations of Project 30; the Center for Educational Renewal: and the Education Commission of the States. Project 30 is cited in these pamphlets as a resource for what college and miversity leaders can do to help change teacher education. Moreover, the network of schools of education that the Center for Educational Renewal is working with under an EXXON grant are natural partuers for the Project 30 Alliance.

Roger Soder, Associate Director of the Center for Feducational Renewal, also attended the Houston meeting. In his presentation. he stressed that the reform of teacher education advocated by Project 30 requires that reformers understand that teaching is firss and foremost a moral activity. Reforms that focus exchnsively on the improvement of the technical and subject matter aspects of pedagogy will fail, as will any proposed reforms that do not recognize that teaching in a democracy is intrinsically different from teaching in undemocratic societies.

Apart from the sponsoring organizations of Project 30 cited in the Preface to this volume, no organization has been more generous in devoting program space to Project 30 at its national meetings than the American Association for Higher Education (AAHF.). The first public dicussions of the Project 30 themes and the announcement of the project itself were held at an AAHE meeting. The AAHE has followed the success of Project 30 and has sought wats of extending the Project 30 lessons for teacher edheation to all higher education. At the Houston meeting, Pat Hutchings. Director of AAHE's Teaching Initiative, enlisted the assistance of the Project $\mathbf{3 0}$ schools in its own initiative on case studies of excellent teaching in higher education and its own School-College Partnership conferences.

Lnlike the Holmes Group, whose membership is restricted to the major American research universities, Project 30's members represent even kind of college or university in the country that educates teachers as part of its mission. Like Project 30, the Holmes Group has from its inception recognized that the link with the liberal arts component of teacher education is a fundamental part of the reform of teacher education and the public schools. Thus, the work of Project 30 is recognized be Holmes as an important, atthough indirect, part of the Hohmes Groups agenda. Each holds common goats for the reform of the liberal arts components in teacher education. In the same way, the Renaissance (iroup of unversities with historic interests in teacher education is a like-minded association
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that has an interest in promoting the aims of the Project 30 Alliance.
Carncgie Corporation of New York has extended the length of Project 30 by two years, not only to insure that a book about Project 30 is completed by Fallon and Murray, but also to assist in the establishment of the Project 30 Alliance. Several Project 30 schools have used their projects as a centerpiece for additional local gifts and grants (e.g. Weber State. Pemnstvania, Northern Colorado) and others have had their work continued with support from the National Science Foundation (e.g., V'anderbilt) and from the Fund for the Improvement of PostSecondary Edncation (e.g. Delaware). These are good signs that the Project 30 Alliance will prosper.

The Executive Committee elected at the Houston meeting has planned a national mecting to be held in conjunction with the meeting of the Council of Colleges of Arts and Sciences meetings in San Diego in early November 1991. This meeting is planned for the initial organization of the Project 30 Alliance, with the expectation that amual meetings of arts and sciences faculty members and education faculty members pursuing the reform initiative of Project 30 will continue to take place thereafter.

At the outset of Project 30. we searched for an appropriate name for the project before we settled on the neutal designation of the number of participants. As it turned out, none of the early candidates would have accurately represented what the project has become. There was PROTEUS (Program reform of teacher education undergraduate studies), after the Greek god who could change his shape at will (often to deceive) and could foretell the future, RESCUE (Reform of education studies for curriculum in undergraduate education), and such other combinations as PASTE. FASTER. CASE, LATER. and RESL'RGE.

What any of our carlier acronyms would have obscured is the degree to which Project 30 has become a symbol of a cooperative enterprise between liberal arts and education faculties. On some campuses. for example, a cooperative project between these faculties has become known as a "project 30 type of thing." The notion of the "alliance" captures this important aspect of the project. More than having "project 30 " become a nomm-phrase for cooperation, the Project 30 Alliance is about the phrase becoming a verb. A college or university that has been "project thintied" will have been transformed because it will have found the way to move beyond reform slogans to substantive change, the kind of change that followed the Flexner reporn in medical education. for example.

The Project 30 Alliance will gradually offer membership to other colleges and universities that are able to make the same commitment to the reform of their own programs as the original members of the projec The required commitment is for a long-term university-wide effort to give honest guarantees that graduates of teacher edncation programs have been well educated and are entitled to be teachers.
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New York, New York 10010
Number of Schools/Colleges: 3
Number of Students: 13,500 undergraduates 3,000 graduates
Teacher Education Sudents: 500 undergraduates 200 graduates
Geographic Arca Senved by
Teacher Education Graduates: . Vew York Cite with concentrations in Manhattan, Brooklyn, and the Bronx

## Mission:

First, to meet the educational aspirations of people of the City of New York, without regard to racs, gender or creed, by providing to them high quality, low cost undergraduate and graduate programs of study in the fields of business, public administration, the liberal arts and sciences. and education. The study of liberal arts is decmed an essential component of the college's professional courses of study and comprises
 at least half of all undergraduate programs.

Second, the college is dedicated to the economic well-being of New York City, New York State and the nation through scholarly research produced by its faculte in the business disciplines; to bettering the quality of public schooling in New York through its programs and research in education; and to increasing the body of knowledge in the liberal arts and sciences by virtue of faculty research in these areas.

Third, Baruch seeks, through education and training programs, to provide access to careers in business, public agencies, education and hmman services to those citizens of New York City who have been traditionally denied them: minorities, the econonically disadvantaged, women, immigrants and the children of immigrants.
Project 30 Team Members:
Dr. Selma Berrol, Professor
History Department
Ms. Cecily Gottling, Teacher
Hunter College Elementary School
Dr. Cecelia McCall, Assistant Professor
Director of lnstructional Services
Department of Compensatony Programs
D.. Carl Rollyson, Professor

Associate Provost and Acting Dean
School of Education and Educational Serviees
Departuncont of At
Dr. Don Watkins, Professor
Director, Craduate Program, Educatonal Administration and ILigher Education Contact Person:

Dr. Don Watkins
(212) 387-1740

## Bridgewater State College

Bridgewater. Massachusetts 02325
Number of Schools/Colleges: 23
Number of Students: 5,400 undergraduates

$$
1.500 \text { graduates }
$$

Teacher Education Students: 1.619 undergraduates
934 graduates
Geographic Area Served by
Teacher Education Graduates: Massachusetts

## Mission:

Bridgewater State College, a regional public institution of higher education, offers a wide range of strong undergraduate programs and selected graduate programs in the atts and sciences and in the professions, thus enabling the College to respond to the academic needs of the diverse population of southeastern Massachusetts and to generate and provide those resources that are essential to the economic, cultural and civic well-
 being of the community, region. and state.

Bridgewater emphasizes teaching and life-long education within a framework of personal and professional ethics. and, in this process develops demonstrated critical thinking and communication skills. Essential to the success of this mission is the maintenance of a campus life that nurtures individual student development and encourages cultural diversity in a rapidly changing state, nation and world.

## Project 30 Team Members:

Dr. Marilyn W. Barry, Dean
Graduate School

Dr. Susan A. Holton

Assistant to the Presickent
Dr. Jacquelyn Y. Madry-Taylor, Dear:
Undergraduate Studies
Dr. Leo J. McGuirk, Chair
High School, Middle School and Achult Education Department

## Dr. Terry Anne Vigil

Director, Special Projects: Grants

## Contact Person:

Dr. Jacquelyn Y. Madry-Taylor
(508) 697-1218

## Braoklyn College

## Brooklyn, New York 11210

Nunber of Schools/Colleges:
Number of Students: 12,000 undergraduates

$$
4,000 \text { graduates }
$$

Teacher Education Students: 1480 undergraduates
1250 graduates
Geographic Area Served by
Teacher Education Graduates: The greater New York City area with the largest concentrations in the borough of Brooklyn

## Mission:

To provide the highest quality education to students of diverse cthnic religious and economic backgrounds.

## Project 30 Team Members:

Dr. Madeleine Grumet, Dean
School of Education
Dr. James Lovett, Associate Professor
School of Education
Dr. Karel Rose, Professor
School of Education
Contact Person:
Dr. Karel Rose
(718) 780-5218
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Los Angeles. California 90032
Number of Schools/Colleges: 6
Number of Students: 21,000
Teacher Education Students: 13,500 undergraduates
3,800 graduates
Geographic Area Served by
Teacher Education Graduates: The greater metropolitan Los Angeles area
Mission:
California State University. Los Angeles is an academic community that offers a comprehensite range of liberal and professional programs that prepare students for success in advanced study in their carcers and throughou their lives. The Linversity is committed to free scholarly inquiry and to academic excellence in undergraduate.
 graduate, and other postbaccalaureate and continuing education programs. This commiment underlies strong educational prograns as well as research, scholarship. and creative and community service activities designed for the needs of a uniquely diverse student body. The excellence of these programs derives from a highly qualified facule and support staff. These individuals are the kevstone of the institution.

## Project 30 Team Members:

Dr. Wayne Bishop, Professor
Deparment of Mathematics and Computer Science
School of Natural and Social Sciences
Dr. Barbara Boyer, Associate Professor
Deparment of Art
School of Arts and Letters
Dr. Donald Dewey, Dean
School of Natural and Social Sciencos
Dr. Andrea Maxie, Assistant Professor
Division of Curriculum and Instruction
School or Education

## Dr. Allen Mori, Dean

School of Education

## Dr. Bobby Patton, Dean

Shool of Arts and Ictuer

## Dr. Judith Washburn, Professor

Division of Curriculam and Instruction
School of Education

## Contact Person:

Dr. Allen Mori
(213) $343-4300$

## The University of Dayton

## Dayton, Ohio 45469

Number of Schools/Colleges: 5
Number of Sudents: 6500 undergraduates 3500 graduates
Teacher Education Students: 650 undergraduates
1100 graduates
Geographic Area Served by
Teacher Education Graduates: Ohio, New York, Pennsytania.Indiana, Illinois, and Michigan

## Mission:

The U'niversity of Datom, by tradition, by legal charter, and by resolute intent, is a church-related institution of higher learning. As such, it sceks. in an enviromment of academic freedom, of foster principles and walues consonant with Catholicism and with the living traditions of the Society of Mary. Operating in a plaralistic enviromment, it deliberately chooses the Christian world-view as its distinctive orienta ion in carreing out what it regards as four essential tasks: teaching,
 research, seming as a critic of societr; and rendering public service.

The Liniversity of Dayton has as its primary task to teach-that is, to transmit the heritage of the past, to direct attention to the achievements of the present, and to alert students to the changes and challenges of the future. It regards teaching as more than the mere imparting of knowledge; it attempts to develop in its students the ability to integrate knowledge gained from a varietr of disciplines into a meaningful and vable symbesis.

The Eniversity of Dayon holds that there is hamony and unity between rationally discovered and divinely revealed truths. Accordingly, it commits its cntire academic commonity to the pursuit of such truths. It provides a milieu favorable to scholarty research in all academic disciplines, while giving priority to studies which deal with problems of a fundamentally human and Christian concorn. It upholds the principles of responsible freedom of inquiry, offers appropriate assistance to its seholars, and endeavors to provide the proper media for the dissemination of their discoveries.

The Lniversity of Dayton exercises its role as critic of society by creating an emiromment in which faculty and students are free to evaluate strengths and weaknesses fomen in human institutions. While, as an organization, it remains politically neural, objective, and dispassionate, it encourages its members to juctge for themselves inow these institutions are performing their proper tasks; to expose deficiencies in their structure and operation: and to propose and actively promote improvements when these are deemed necessary.

The Eniversity of Dation recognizes its responsibilit! to support, with means appropriate to its purposes. the legitimate goals and aspirations of the civic commonity and to cooperate with other agencies in striving to attain them. It assists in promoting the intellectual and cultural enrichment of the communite; also it suives winspioe persons with a sense of commmity and to encourage men and women of vision to participate effectively in the quest for a more perfect human society.

Project 30 Team Members: Dr. John Geiger, Associate Dean Deparment of Teacher Education Dr. Ellis Joseph, Dean Schnol of Education Dr. Thomas J. Lasley, Professor Chair, Department of Teacher Education

Dr. Thomas Matczynski, Professor
Department of Educational Administration
Dr. Paul Morman, Dean
College of Arts and Sciences
Dr. Michael Payne, Director, CORE
Associate Professor, Philosophy Department
Dr. Mary Sudzina, Assistant Professor
Department of Teacher Education

## Contact Person:

Dr. Thomas J. Lasley
(513) 299-3344

## Unicersity of Delamare

Newark, Delaware 19716
Number of Schools/Colleges: 10
Number of Sudents: 20,477
Teacher Education Surdents: 1299 undergraduates 256 graduates
Geographic Area Served by
Teacher Education Graduates: Delaware, New Jersey, Pennstlvania, Martiand

## Mission:

The Leniversity stands for excellence in the education of its undergraduate and graduate students, in scholarship, and in semice to its state and to society. To accomplish its mission, the University maintains an environment where creativity, critical thinking, free inquiry, and respect for the views and values of others flourish. University governance is conducted in a spirit of openness and cooperative interaction among the unstees, administrators, faculty, staff, and students. The Liniversity strives to make all people feel welcome
 regardless of their cultural, ethnic, or religious backgrounds or of their race, color, age, gender, or sexual preference. Balance between the liberal arts and the technical and professionally-oriented disciplines and between undergraduate and graduate education is a University goal.

Project 30 Team Members:
Dr. Nancy Brickhouse, Assistant Professor
Department of Educational Development
Dr. Heyward Brock, Associate Dean
College of Arts and Science
Dr. Frank B. Dilley, Chair
Department of Philosophy'
Dr. Jack D. Ellis, Chair
Department of History
Dr. Frank Murray, Dean
College of Education

## Dr. Harry Shipman, Professor

Department of Physics and Astronomy
Dr. Ivar Stakgold, Chair
Department of Mathematical Sciences
Dr. William B. Stanley, Chair
Department of Educational Devolopment
Elaine Stotko, Assistant Dean
College of Education
Contact Person:
Dr. Frank Murray
(302) 451-2311

Tallahassee. Florida 32307
Number of Schools/Collcges: 12
Number of Students: 8,300
Teacher Education Students: 600 undergraduates 150 graduates
Geographic Area Served by
Teacher Education Graduates: Florida

## Mission:

As The Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University projects its role for its second century of service into the twente-first century, it must accept the emerging challenges of the State and the nation. The University will maximize its capabilities by developing competitive new programs while revising, redirecting, strengthening, and enhancing existing programs. Graduate study and research will continue to be among the priorities for the University as it seeks to achieve the program balance and level of a comprehensive university. New master's level programs will be initiated in appropriate areas and doctoral level degree programs will be developed and initiated in selected areas of need where strong faculty and resource bases already exist.

The Florida Agricultural and Mechanical Lniversity remains commited to the concept and practice of equal access and equal opportunity in post-seconchury education for all Florida citizens. It will continue to focus special attention on the educational needs of Blacks as well as the preservation of the cultural values associated with Black culture.

Project 30 Team Members:
Dr. William H. Castine, Chairperson
Departunent of Secondary Education
Dr. Melvin F. Gadson, Dean
College of Education
Dr. Thomas H. Jackson, Professor College of Education
Dr. Ivy A. Mitchell, Associate Professor
Department of Languages and I iterature
Dr. Aubrey M. Perry, Dean
College of Arts and Sciences

## Dr. Louis H. Pratt, Chairperson

Department of Languages and I itcrature
Dr. Betsey S. Whitman, Chairperson
Department of Mathematics
Contact Person:
Dr. Betsey S. Whitman (leave of absence)
Dr. O. Anderson, Chairperson
Department of Mathentatics
(904) 599-3595

## $13:$

Athens, Gcorgia 30602
Number of Schools/Colleges: 13
Number of Students: 28,000
Teacher Education Students: 1,543
Geographic Area Served by
Teacher Education Graduates: Georgia

## Mission:

The Liniversity of Georgia, a land-grant university, is the state's oldest, nost comprehensive, most diversified institution of higher education. Its constituencies are numerous, and the scope of its programs in graduate. professional, and undergraduate education is the most extensive in the state. As Georgia's leading institution of higher learning, the Lniversity has the following major purposes:

## Uniuersity of Geangia

## Foward Hriwersity

Washington. DC 20059<br>Number of Schools/Colleges: 18<br>Number of Students: 12,000<br>Teacher Education Students: 102 undergraduates<br>181 graduates

Geographic Area Senved by
Teacher Education Graduates: New York. California, the Caribbean, and the metropolitan area of Washington, D.C.

## Mission:

The mission of Howard Lniversity includes the provision of quality education for any student, but with emphasis upon the provision of educational opportumities for those students who may not otherwise have an opportunity to acquire an education of the type provided at Howard. Howard University has approximately 12,000 students and over 2,000 faculty: The sudent body represents over 90
 countrics.

## Project 30 Team Members:

Dr. Franklin Ampy, Associate Professor Department of Zoology.

Dr. Dolores Dickerson, Associate Dean

Acting Chairman, School of Education
Dr. Annette Dunzo, Associate P ofessor
Department of Romance langrages
Dr. John Rier, Professor
Department of Geology and Geography
Dr. Portia H. Shields, Associate Professor
Education and
Director, Office of Medical Education
Contact Person:

## Dr. Portia H. Shields

(202) $806-62881$

## Indiana State Uniwersity

Terre Haute, Incliana 47809
Number of Schools/Colleges: 6
Number of Students: 12,005
Teacher Education Students: 1,639undergraduates
543 graduates
Geographic Area Served by
Teacher Education Graduates: Primarily Indiana and east-central Illinois

## Mission:

Indiana State University was established by legislative action in 1865 as a State Normal School "for the piepar:...on of teachers for tise crianon schools of 1. diaria and began n- triculating students five pears later. lis first
baccalaurate degens were awarded in 1908 anc its firsi master's ciegsees ? 1928, a year :rion to i being rinned a Teac'.ers
 College. Over the next four dea. rles, the curriculum was greatly expanded to provide opportunities for students in almost al. .ields of teacher education, in a full range of disciplines in the arts and sciences, and in business, nursing, technology, health, and physical education. By 1965, the i.tsu, :ion began offering a small number of doctoral programs in education, and its name wa: cianged to Indiaia State V'niversity.

ISL:'s curriculum today includes a comprehensive array of undergraduate and master's degree programs in its College of Aits and Sciences and five professional schools, as well as doctoral degree programs in four areas of education, two areas of geograplyy, four areas of life sciences, and clinical psychology. The majority of the Liniversity's programs and courses are offered on its campus in Terre Haute, although many courses and several degree programs are offered at other sites throughout the State of Indiana. Ethnic and cultural diversity are important to the institution. While focusing its atention on the educational needs of students in the west-central portion of the State and on minorities, ISL'matriculates undergraduate and graduate students from all of the State's ninety-two countics, all of the nation's fifty states, and apnroximately seventy foreigen countries.

Thirtereight percent of the L'niversity’s baccalaureate degree recipients in 1989 graduated in an arts and sciences discipline, but nearly 18 percent of those individuals achieved teacher certification. The School of Education graduated just over 10 percent of the University's baccalaureate sudents. Of the remaining baccalaureate degree recipients in the University, 7.3 percent achieved teacher certification. The fact that 21.8 percent of the baccalaureate students graduated with teacher certification would suggest that Indiana State University's normal schooi and teachers' college heritage are still very much in cridencr.

Project 30 Team Members:
Dr. J. Stephen Hazlett, Dean School of Education
Dr. Marvin A. Henry, Chair
Department of Secondary Education
Dr. Gail M. Huffman, Associate Dean
School of Education
Dr. Robert Perrin, Professor
English Department
Dr. Joe Weixlmann, Associate Dean
College of Arus \& Sciences
Contact Persons:
Dr. Gail Huffman (812) 237-2893

Dr. Joe Weixlmann
(812) $937-2784$
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## Uniwersity of Maryland

College Park, Maryland 20742
Number of Schools/Colleges: 14
Number of Students: 35,000
Teacher Education Students: 752 undergraduates
1322 graduates
Geographic Area Served by
Teacher Education Graduates: Maryland, Washington DC. Virginia, Pennsylvania, Delaware

## Mission:

The University of Maryland at College Park is officially designated by the legislature as the flagship of the University of Maryland System. The mission places emphasis on research and graduate study, exemplary undergraduate education for talented students. and semice to the state, nation and international community. Legislative intent is to provide facilities and resources comparable to those of the upper echelon of peer institutions.


Project 30 Team Members:
Dr. Richard Arends, Professor
College of Education
Dr. Linda Berg, Lecturer Department of Botany
Dr. William Higgins, Associate Professor Department of Zoology
Dr. Dale Scannell, Dean
College of Education
Dr. Thomas Weible, Associate Dean
College of Education

## Contact Person:

Dr. Thomas Weible
(301) 405-2336

Memphis, Tennessee 38152
Number of Schools/Colleges: 6
Number of Students: 16,400 undergraduates 4,100 graduates
Teacher Education Students: 903 undergraduates 859 graduates
Cicographic Area Served by
Teacher Education Graduates: Tennessee, Arkansas, Mississippi

## Mission:

From the opening of its doors in 1912 as a normal school for taming teachers to its present status as we of 'remenessee"s comprehensive unis arsies. Memphis State University (MSC) has been thrust forward by the growth of Memphis and the Mid-South. A town oriented to a rural economy and culture in 1900 grew into a large urban and commercial center by mid-century, and the city's public institution of higher learning experienced comparable growth. Now a research miversity, MSC is located in the largest metropolitan area in Temessee and
 the Mid-South. Its primary mission is to be a comprehensive university that provides an enviromment for intellectual, cultural and ethical development through a wide range of programs. MSU strives to achieve and maintain this mission as part of wo major commmities: the national and international academic community of scholars and students: and the state of Tennessee and the Mid-South, especially metropolitan Memphis. In both communities the Liniversity strives for excellence and seeks to contribute substantially to the quality of life of its various constituencies.

Project 30 Team Members:
Dr. Ronald W. Cleminson, Director
Center for Environmental/Energ Fducation
Dr. George W. Etheridge, Director
Graduate Studies
Dr. Donald Franceschetti, Chair
Department of Physics
Dr. H. Graden Kirksey, Chair
Department of Chemistry
Dr. W. Theodore Mealor Jr., Chair
Department of Ceography
Associate Vice-President for Academic Programs and Planning
Dr. James F, Payne, Chair
Department of Biolog.

## Contact Person:

Dr. George W. Etheridge
(901) $578-2352$

# Millersville, Pennsslvania 17551 <br> Number of Schools/Colleges: 3 <br> Number of Students: 7,001 undergraduates <br> 790 graduates <br> Teacher Education Students: 2,588 undergraduates <br> 506 graduates 

Geographic Area Served by
Teacher Education Graduates: South central Pennsylvania

## Mission:

Millersville L'niversity dedicates itself to fulfilling its primary mission of providing excellent instructional programs conforming to the highest standards of traditional liberal ants education. The L'nisersity is steadfastly committed to the proposition that a thorough, broad-based foundation in the arts and sciences is a necessary condition for the development of the whole person. It resolutely embraces the conviction that its degree programs must maintain a strong liberal arts component while preparing students to engage in productive and meaningful lives.

Millersville University resolves to provide a comprehensive range of meritorius baccalaureate programs to all qualfied students at the lowest reasonable cost to Commonwealth residents. It will strive to offer programs consistent with sudent aspirations, faculty wisdom and expertise, and the requirements of a complex industrial
 world. It will offer graduate and associate degree programs in those fields where there is both need and corresponding instituional strength. Through all of its programs, Millerstille L'niversity will provide students with opportunities for academic. personal. social, and cultural growth essential to the development of an educated and productive person.

While Millerswille l'niversity recognizes exceflence in teaching and the caltiation of miuds as its reason for being, the Leniversits atso accepts its responsibility to provide opportmities for rescarch, artistic and scholarly effort and other creative endeavors in a manner consistent with its priman mission as a teaching institution. Additionally, the Conisersity accepts its responsibility to serve society by acting as a resource for businesses and agencies.

Toward these ends, the Dillerstille L'niversity community pledges itself to acade aic freedom and to the murturing of an environment designed io stimulate imagination and curionity. encourage mifetered discourse. olerate disergent and controversial opinion. enhance multicultural awarencss and mendersanding and fonter mutual renpect and cooperation among its members.

The Millerstille L'inersity community achowledges that the realization of the ieleals set forth in this Mission requires the commitment of a large and diverse group of people who. in spite of differing academic specialities, interests and styles, are of a common mind conceming the importance and value of a liberating education. The l'niversity commumit! affirms that it is the process of learning and the intellectual and momal maturation accompansing it that are to be valued and pursued.

Project 30 Team Members: Dr. Rosario Caminero, Assistant Professor Foreign I.anguages<br>Dr. Sam Casselberry, Chair Sociology and Anthropology<br>Dr. Christopher Dahl, Dean<br>Humanities and Social Sciences<br>Dr. Linda Clark-Newman, Professor<br>History<br>Dr. Cynthia Dilgard, Chair English<br>Dr. Sam Ha, Professor<br>Bislog:<br>Dr. Pat Hill, Assistant Professor<br>Chemistry<br>Dr. Albert Hoffman, Dean<br>Science and Mathematics<br>Dr. Keith Lauderbach, Associate PrGfessor Indusury and Techmolog.<br>Dr. Nancy Smith, Dean<br>School of Education<br>Dr. Barbara Stengel, Assistant Professor<br>Educational Foundations<br>Contact Person:<br>Dr. Barbara Stengel<br>(717) 879-3785

## The Hniwersity of New Wicxica

Albuquerque, New Mexico 87131
Number of Schools/Colleges: 11
Number of Students: 28,600
Teacher Education Students: 315 undergraduates 430 graduates
Geographic drea Served by
Teacher Education Graduates: New Mexico

## Mission:

UNM is the largest and most comprehensive higher education institution in New Mexico. Twenty-three years older than the state itself, the Unisersity has grown from an original enrollment of 75 to more than 28,600 students on five campuses.

LNM offers the broadest range of programs in New Mexico with more than 4,000 courses and 125 degrees and nationally recognized programs in Intin American studies, anthropology, biology, laser optics, envirommental studies and photography.


Project 30 Team Members: Dr. David Colton, Professor College of Education
Dr. David Darling, Professor
College of Education
Dr. Nancy Gonzales, Professor
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Dr. Richard Griego, Dean
Graduate Office
Dr. Dick Metzler, Professor
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Dr. Phyllis Metzler, Coordinator for Mathematics
Albuquerque Public Schools
Dr. Họbson Wildenthal, Dean
College of Arts and Sciences
Contact Person:
Dr. Dick Metzler
(505) 277-4147

## The University of Narth Caralima at Chapel Fill

Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599-3500
Number of Schools/Colleges: 13
Number of Students: 22,447
Teacher Education Students: 419 undergraduates
77 graduates
Geographic Area Served by
Teacher Education Graduates: South and the Southeastern portion of the Linited States.

## Mission:

The L'niversity has been built by the people of the State and has existed for two centuries as the nation`s first state university. Through its excellent undergraduate programs, it has provided higher education to ten generations of students, many of whom have become leaders of the State and the nation. Since the nineteenth century: it has offered distinguished graduate and professional programs.

The Lniversity of North Carolina at Chapel Hill is a rescarch university. Fundamental to this
 designation is a faculty actively involved in research. scholarship, and creative work, whose teaching is transformed by discovery and whose service is informed by current knowledge.

The mission of the Liniversity is to serve all the people of the State, and indeed the nation, as a center for scholarship and creative endeavor. The Ciniversity exists to expand the body of knowledge: to teach students at all levels in an enviromment of rescarch. free inquiry, and personal responsibility: to improve the condition of human life through service and publication: and to enrich our cuhture.

Project 30 Team Members:
Dr. Gillian Cell, Dean
College of Arts and Sciences
Dr. Darryl Gless, Associate Dean
General College
Dr. Donald Stedman, Dean
Teacher Educaation
Contact Person:
Dr. Donald Stedman
(919) 966 -7000

## Hniversity of Northern Colarada

Greeley, Colorado 80639
Number of Schools/Colleges: 5
Number of Students: 9,645
Teacher Education Students: 1.500 undergraduates 1,000 graduates
Geographic Area Served by
Teacher Education Graduates: Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Kansas, Nebraska, New Mexico, Oregon, Texas, Ltah, Washington, Wyoming

## Mission:

Now in its second century of service to the state. region, and nation, the Liversity of . Corthem Colorado has grown from its beginning as the state nommal school into a maturing, multipurpose university whose primary mission is to provide high-quality instruction while developing research and carrving out public service.

Founded in 1889, L'NC maintains its traditional role as a national leader in the field of teacher education and offers
 more than 100 degree programs through five undergraduate colleges and the graduate school. The colleges are Arts and Sciences, Business Administration, Health and Human Sciences, and Performing and Visual Arts.

As if prepares to enter the twenty-first century, $\mathcal{L N C}$ has established a reputation for excelient programs. diversity, and a highly prized balance of the personal contact with students typically found at small, private universities and the broad range of cultural, social, and intellectual opportumities found at major state universities. L.NC's programs in teacher education have consistently ranked among the top ten in the United States over the past sixty years.

## Project 30 Team Members:

Dr. Bruce W. Broderius, Director
Division of Elementary, Middle School, Early Childhood
Dr. Carolyn A. Cody, Associate Dean
College of Health and Human Sciences
Dr. Gene E. Hall, Dean
College of Education
Dr. Roger A. Kovar, Dean
College of Arts and Sciences
Dr. Lymn A. Sandstedt, Chair
Department of Hispanic Studies

## Contact Person:

Dr. Carolyn A. Cody
(303) 351-1726

Stockton, California 95211<br>Number of Schools/Colleges: 11<br>Number of Sudents: 5,457<br>Teacher Education Students: 180 undergraduates 120 graduates

Geographic Area Seried by
Teacher Education Graduates: Califomia

## Mission:

The L'niversity of the Pacific aspires to be a model of excellence for the private comprehensive university in the Western Cnited States. In the range of its academic programs and its commitment to the best traditions of teaching and scholarship, the Linversity will enable students of diverse backgrounds to assume responsible leadership in the 2 Ist century: By integrating the values of tiberal education with professional studies and a love of learning with committed service to others. students grow intelle ctually, cthically, and socially.

The L'niversitys size and attractive campus is well suited to its goals: large enough for academic choice and small enough for individual mentoring. As a comprehensive
 institution, the C'niversity offers a unique constellation of quality programs in atts and sciences, business, dentistry, education, engincering, international studies, taw, music, and pharmacy. Through jointly sponsored pregrans, including a coherent generat education sequence, the college and schools of the C'nisersity contribute to the intellectual life of the campus while maintaining the integrity of their disciplinary majors. The batance of faculty and students ensures coltaborative and interactive education in which students are encouraged to think imaginatively and critically.

As active participants in a commmity of learners, the faculty, students, and staff expand the process of education to the campus and the hocal region. As teacher echolars, the faculty are engaged in discovering and sharing knowledge, and they are rommitted to a sunthesis of the liberal arts and professional education. Since the studer.ts live in an increasingly pluratistic society, the Eniversity promoted an understanding of gender. multiculural, and global perspectives through its comses, scholarly activities, and campus life as well as by atracting and retaining faculte, students, and staff who represent cultural, ethnic. and generational diversity. In that the education of citizens extends beyond the classrom, students participate in a broad spectrom of co-curricular activities in areas such as residential life, student government, community service, work experience, and sports.

As the oldest chatered instimtion in Californa, the Coniversity has preserved a pione ering spirit through a history of imovative higher education. The C'niversity is entiched by the cultural diversity of Stockton, the natural beaty of the Sicrata mountains. the historical sites of the Modterlode, the state capitol in Sacramento, and the proximity of the cosmopolitan San Francisoo Bay Arca. The Conversity is committed to the Central Valley of Califomia - to serve as a cultural resource, on assist in economic development. and to enhance the quatity of life of this region.

In fulfiling this vision, the Lniversity of the Pacific seeks to offer an uticommon education.

Project 30 Team Members:
Dr. Robert Benedetti, Dean
College of the Pacific
Dr, Robert Cox, Professor
English
Dr. Fay B. Haisley, Dean
School of Education
Dr. Margaret A. Langer, Professor and Chairperson
Curriculum and Instruction
Dr. Eugene Pearson, Professor
Geolog:
Dr. Andres Rodriguez, Professor
Physics
Contact Person:
Dr. Fay B. Haisley
(209) 946-2680
14.

Pembroke, North Carolina 28372
Number of Schools/Colleges: 16 Departments
Number of Students: 2,712 undergraduates
369 graduates
Teacher Education Sudents: 703 undergraduates
284 graduates
Geographic Area Served by
Teacher Education Graduates: Southeastern North Carolina

## Mission:

Pembroke State L'niversity, as a constituent institution of The University of North Carolina, is committed to academic excellence in a balanced program of teaching, research and service. Sudent engagement with a faculty dedicated to sound, vigorous teaching and to dynamic contributions in their academic disciplines enables Pembroke State Liniversity graduates to perform with distinction
 within and beyond the region.

Founded in 1887 as an institution for the education of American Indians, Pembroke State University will continue to affirm the unique surength of its culturally diverse student body, community and region. The interaction within and among these groups fosters social consciousness and sensitivity to the rights and views of others, encouraging appreciation of different cultures in a global perspective.

Through education as a lifelong experience, the University is committed to enhancing and enriching the intellectual, conomic, social, cultural and political life of the region.

In support of this mission, Pembroke State U'niversity is committed:

1. To maintain an environment of free inquiry in which a dynamic faculty enjoys teaching and research and in which students learn and experience growth.
2. To ensure quality acadenic programs and learning opportunities for the liberal arts. in preparation for diverse professions, and for service to the region.
3. To encourage and support meaningful faculty research and development.
4. To recruit and retain students capable of achieving academic and professional success and of enriching the intellectual, cultural and social community of the University.
5. To provide and support extra-cumicular and student life activities and facilities elesigned to enrich the educational experience of residential and commuter students, enhance the image of the Liniversity, and serve the region.
6. To provide ways by which the life of the region can benefit the educational experience of the L'niversity and be enhanced by the Lnmersity.
7. To instill in Pembroke State University students a continuing appreciation for diverse cultures and an active concern for the well-being of others.
8. To reflect a commitment to academic and scholaty excellence, to the University's rich heritage, and to enhancement of the immediate and larger region.

Project 30 Team Members:<br>Dr. Paul Wright Killian, Chairman Department of Psycholog:<br>Dr. Gerald D. Maynor, Professor<br>Department of Education<br>Dr. Gilbert Sampson, Chairman<br>Department of Mathematics and Computer Science<br>Dr. Kathryn Sullivan, Director<br>Teacher Education<br>Mr. Paul Van Zandt, Chairman<br>Department of Art<br>Contact Person:<br>Dr. Kathryn Sullivan<br>(919) $521-4214$

## Unicersity of Penmsyluania

Philadelphia, Pemnsyluania 19104
Number of Schools/Colleges: 13
Number of Students: 20 undergraduates 67 graduates
Teacher Education Students: 125
Geographic Area Served by
Teacher Education Graduates: The Northeast corridor between Boston and Washington.
DC: is served by graduates and a broader national region is served by undergraduates

## Mission:

The location of the Graduate School of Education in a major metropolitan region enables our faculty and students to address problems in urban education-issues of equity and diversity, cducational opportunte. and educational excellence, and the management of complex organizations. The School

engages in a continuous exchange of knowledge and expertise with the surrounding community: We encourage collaborative partnerships with school districts, individual schools and school teachers, with day care centers, unions, and corporations.

At the Graduate School of Education we emphasize praxis, the joining of theory and action in wass that allow us to be se holars, policy makers. and practitioners. We reject the traditional dichotomy between theory and practice; as part of a great research umiversity, we hold rigorous scholarship in high regard, but we believe that the pupose of scholarly endeavors is to inform debate about education and to improve educational policy and plactice. Much of the work at the Graduate School of Education is interdisciplinary. Our cducational psychologists work with historians, our linguists with reading and wating experts. Both the Institute for Researeh on I Iigher Education and I iteracy Researeh Center bring together scholars from many different disciplines. Education faculty teach students from other schools within the miversity-Arts and Sciences. Wharton, Nursing. Social Work. These links represent one of our greatest strengths. They allow us to merge our commitments to theory and practice and to develop problem-solving approaches that recognize the complexities of the educational process.

The challenges education faces today are boh uplifing and claunting. Nonetheless, the concentration of talent and energy within our school and the array of resources provided by the university and the commmoty offer us a promising means of addressing these challenges. Penn's Graduate Selool of Education is an emironment chatged with the excitement of sustained inquiry and engagement.

Project 30 Team Members: Dr. Jonathon Baron, Professor
Psychology
Dr. Marilyn Cochran-Smith, Assistant Professor
Education
Dr. Herman Gluck, Professor
Mathematics
Dr. James M. Larkin, Adjunct Associate Professor Education
Dr. Walter Licht, Associate Professor
History
Contact Person:
Dr. Marilyn Cochran-Smith (215) 898-7378
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## Si. Mary's Mricuersity

San Antonio. Texas 78284-0400
Number of Schools/Colleges: 2
Number of Students: 2,900 undergraduates 800 graduates
Teacher Education Students: 300 undergraduates
0 graduates
Geographic Area Served by Teacher Education Graduates: San Antonio, Houston, Dallas, EI Paso

## Mission:

St. Mary's Lniversity provides a Cutholic educational experience which places academic excellence as its top priority. It integrates a strong liberal arts program with professional preparation and cthical commitment. It places quality teaching as its first priority and its faculty endeavor to develop within their students a broad range of academic knowledge and skills, professional training, and a mature, sensitive and moral conscience.


The Lniversity seeks to respond to the changing needs of its sudents and our society and to create effective and participative structures and modes of education that foster excellence in education. It seeks to develop among faculty, administration, staff and sutdents a sense of Christian Community; and then to reach out in service to the communities of San Antonio, the Southwest, our nation and our world through the spiritual, intellectual, professional, and moral leadership of all its members. St. Mary's strives to contribute to the urgent task of extending justice, freedom, and dignity to all people.

Project 30 Team Members:
Dr. Gerard Dizinno, Coordinator
Oucomes Assessment
Dr. Melba Hutsell, Chair
Department of Education
Dr. Charles Miller, S.M., Dean
Humanities and Social Sciences

## Dr. Nancy Newton, Director

Student Teaching, Department of Education
Dr. Paさtinia Owen, Director
Clinical l'ogram. Department of Pswholog.
Dr. Gerald Pratt, Certification Officer
Department of Education

Brother Peter Pontolillo, S.M., Superintendent San Antonio Archdioce san Catholic Schools

Dr. Ann Semel, SSND, Chair

Deparment of English and Communications

## Contact Person:

Dr. Ann Semel
(5lㅢ) $436-3107$

$$
153
$$

## San Diego State University

San Diego, California 92812
Number of Schools/Colleges: 7
Number of Students: 35,000
Teacher Education Students: 800
Geographic Area Served by
Teacher Education Graduates: Southern California

## Mission:

The largest of the wenty universities composing the California State Universit! system, San Diego State E'niversity has over 35,000 students and 2,000 faculty members ( 1200 fulli-time). In short. San Diego State is a major regional university. Its seven colleges presently offer sevente-five bachelor's degrees, fifiy-five master's degrees, and six joint dectorates. Through its programs in the atts and sciences the Liniversity
 aspires to have students understand themselves and their cultural, physical, social, and institutional world. The L'inversity's professional programs, both undergraduate and graduate, prepare students fir the proficient and successful practice of a profession. SDSU has the largest College of Ed ecation in the State of California, Although there is no undergraduate education $p$ esram, approximately 800 students per year enroll in the fifthyear basic teacher education megram.
$\therefore$ Project 30 Team Members:
Dr. Nicholas A. Branca, Professor
Mathematics
Dr. Rafaela Santa Cruz, Associate Professor
School ol Teacher liducation
Dr. Ann I. Morey, Dean
College of Education
Dr. Donald R. Short, Dean
College of Sciences
Dr. Francis N. Stites, Professor Histors
Contact Person:
Dr. Francis N. Stites
(619) 594-63.35

## Santa Clara University

Santa Clara. California 95053
Number of Schools/Colleges: 4
Number of Students: 3.700 undergraduates
4,000 graduates
Teacher Education Students: 100 undergraduates 50 graduates
Geographic Area served by
Teacher Education Graduates: Califomia, especially the Bay area
Mssion:
Santa Clara C'niversity (SCU') was begun by the Fratciscans who founded Mission Santa Clara in 1777 and confinued by the Jesuits who opened the College in 1851. The University declares its purpose to be the education of the human person within the Catholic and Jesuit tradition.

Project 30 Team Members:
Dr. Rosemarie Beebe, University Director Multidisciplinary Studies Pre-Teaching Program
Dr. Alma Garcia, University Director
Multidisciplinary Studies Pre-Teaching Program
Dr. Dong Hau, Program Coordinator
Refugee children
Indoclinese Curriculum Specialist
Dr. Joyce E. King, Director
Teacher Education Program
Dr. Gloria Ladson-Billings, Acting Director Assistant Director of Teacher Education


Dr. Carol Rossi, Assistant Director
Teaching and I earning Center
Dr. Jo Ann Vasquex, Dean
Division of Counscling Psychology and Education
Contact Person:
Dr. Joyce E. King
(408) 554-4434

## Sacthern Wniwersity at Hem Orleans 109

New Orlcans, Louisiana 70216
Number of Schools/Colleges: 5
Number of Students: 4,200
Teacher Education Students: 350 unde graduates, graduates?
Geographic Arca Served by
Teacher Education Graduates: Orleans, Plaquemines, St. Bernard and other parishes in Southeast L.ouisiana

## Mission:

Southern Cniversity at New Orleans is a small. public, historically black univeristy ( HBCL © located in New Orleans, Louisiana.

The mission of SLNO is to create and maintain an environment conducive to leaning and growth, to promote the upward mobility to all people by preparing them to enterinto new as well as traditional carcers, and to equip them to function optimally in the manstream
 of American Society.

The University embraces six basic objectives: (1) to afford to the citizenry of the Greater New Orleans Metropolitan Area increased opportunities for higher learning: (2) to provide instruction for the working adult populace of the area who seek to continue their education in the evenings or on the weekend; (3) to train individuals for positions in business, education, industry, and government; (4) to prepare sudents for graduate work or advance study: (5) to instruct at the graduate level for the awarding of advanced degrees, and (6) to provide opportumities for personal development, self-monderstanding, and an enhanced self-image.

Project 30 Team Members:
Dr. Mack Felton, Chair Department of Biology
Dr. Sandra Hollis, Chair
Deparment of Finc Arts and Philosophs
Dr. John Jones, Curriculum Specialist
Social Studies
Dr. Louise Kaltenbaugh, Coordinator
Allernative Certification Program College of Eclucation
Dr. Viola King, Dean
Fiening and Weekend College
Dr. Ding Kuo, Dean
College olf Arts and Social Sciences

Dr. Richard Majeste, Dean<br>College of Science<br>Dr. Linda Stelly, Associate Superintendent of Schools<br>Orleans Parish System<br>Dr. Harold Weaver, Dean<br>College of Education<br>Contact Person:<br>Dr. Louise Kaltenbaugh<br>(504) 286-5351

## State Hriversity of New Work at Buffala 171

Buffalo, New York 14260
Number of Schools/Colleges: 15)
Number of Students: 27,000
Teacher Education Students: 75 undergraduates
1100 graduates
Gcographic Area Served by
Teacher Education Graduates: Westen New York


Mission:
The State Liniverrsity of New York at Buffalo is the largest and most comprehensive university center in the State University of New lork System. Its focus is on research, teaching and public service. Its research mission is supported by more than fifty centers and institutes. Its teaching mission is supported by fifteen divisions, including Architecture and Planning, Education, Enginecring, Law, Information and Library Studies, Management, Social Work, five schools in the health sciences, and three arts and sciences faculties. Committed to kecping an appropriate balance between its research and educational missions, the university has established a new L'ndergraduate College with a mandate to "reaffirm the centrality of liberal education" in the university's undergraduate programs. This College has designed an ambitious new general education program which includes attention to many of the Project 30 goals.
Project 30 Team Members:
Dr. Stephen I. Brown, Professor
Education Organization, Administration and Policy
Dr. D. Allan Cadenhead, Professor
Chemistry
Dr. Catherine Cornbleth, Professor
Lcarning and Insuruction
Dr. Clyde F. Herreid, Distinguished Teaching Professor
Biological Sciences
Dr. Ruth Meyerowitz, Assistant Professor
American Studies
Dr. Orville T. Murphy, Professor
History
Dr. Robert S. Newman, Associate Professor English

## Dr. Hugh G. Petrie, Dean

Graduate School of Education

## Dr. Norman Solkoff, Professor

Psychiatry
Dr. John A. Thorpe, Vice Provost
Undergraduate Education and
Dean of the Lindergraduate College

## Contact Person:

Dr. Jobn A. Thorpe
(716) $636-9991$
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## Texas A\&? Uniwersity

Kingsville, Texas 78363
Sumber of Schoots/Colleges: 6
Number of Sudents: 5.872

## Teacher Education Students: 1,085 undergraduates 460 graduates

Geographic Area Served by
Teacher Education Graduates: South Texas area; an area south of San Antonio 10 Brownstille, and on a line west to Lealde and cast to Houston

## Mission:

Texas d\& I Lniversity is the mose comprehensive and only. predominanth residential university in South Texas. It offers a large inventory of academic programs at the bachelor's and master's levels and a doctorate in bilingual education. Its programs in congineering. agriculture, home economics, and adult education are unique to the region. The John E. Conner Museum. Kleberg Hall of Natural History. Caesar Keberg Wildlife Research Institute, and the
 Citrus Research Cienter illustrate unique institutional resources.

Texas A\&F Lineersity is dedicated ase semg an ethnically and culturally diverse student population consistent with its locaton in South Texas. The L'niversity seeks to enhance its position of educational leadership in South Texas by offering programs that attrant students from throughout the state and nation, as well as a significant number of international students.

Texat A\&I Eniversity is committed to providing high quality educational opportunities to atl students who demonstrate the potential to bencin from its programs. The Cniversity curricula are designed to provide all students with a basie grounding in the arts and sciences. Admission standards balance the '"niversit!'s commitment open access and rigorous performance standards for successfal program completion.

The first commitment of Texas A\&l is high-quality teaching. The Linversity encourages rescarch to complement the instructional programs. foster intellechal growth of the faculte provide a foundation for its graduate programs, and to mee the research-related needs of the region. The Lniversitys commmety service efforts are principally directed toward the technical. developmental. and training needs of agriculture. business. industry. and education. A major effort is devoted to providing life-kng leaming opportmities to residents of all ages and to serving as a cutural center for the region.

Project 30 Team Members:<br>Dr. Armando Arias, Dean<br>College ol irts and Sciences

Dr. Doris Clatanoff, Professor
Deparment of Language and Literature

Dr. Grace Hopkins, Dean
College of Education
Dr. Manuel Salinas, Chairperson
Department of Education
Dr. Mark Walsh, Director
Continuing Education
Contact Person:
Dr. Grace Hopkins
(512) 595-2802

## Fexas A\&TK Unicersity

College Station, Texas 77843
Number of Schools/Colleges: 10
Number of Students: 38,478
Teacher Education Students: 1250 undergraduates
617 graduates
Geographic Area Served by
Teacher Education Graduates: Texas

## Mission:

Texas A\&M Univeristy is a public institution dedicated to the development and dissemination of knowledge in many and diversified academic and professional fields. The University is committed to assist students in their search for knowledge, to help them understand themselves and their cuitural and physical environments, and to develop in them the wisdom and skills needed to assume responsibility in a democratic society. The University assumes as its historic trust the maintenance and enhancement of an intellectual environment that encourages the development and expansion of the human mind and spirit. While continuing to fulfil its mission as a Land-Grant/Sea-Grant/SpaceGrant institution, the University is evolving and expanding its role to meet the changing needs of state, national, and international communities. The University aspires to
 preceminence in teaching, research, and service.

Project 30 Team Members:
Dr. Jane Stallings, Dean College of Education
Dr. Sylvia Grider, Associate Professor Anthropology Department
Dr. Paul A. Parrish, Associate Dean
College of Liberal Arts
Dr. William Perry, Associate Provost and Dean of Faculties
College of Science
Dr. William H. Peters, Head
Department of Education Curriculum and Instruction
Dr. Donna Wiseman, Associate Professor
Department of Education

## Contact Person:

Dr. Paul A. Parrish
(409) 845-8509

El Paso, Texas 79968-0569
Number of Schools/Colleges: 7
Number of Students: 17,000
Teacher Education Students: 2,000 undergraduates 650 graduates
Geographic Area Served by
Teacher Education Graduates: Far West Texas and Southem New Mexion

## Mission:

To provide quality higher education to the citizens of Fil Paso and the Far Hest Texas region, to prepare them to function effectively in society, and to contribute to the quality of life of this community and region.

Project 30 Team Members:
Dr. Bill Cornell, Assistant Dean
College of Science
Dr. Jorge Descamps, Associate Professor College of Education
Dr. Jon Engelhardt, Dean College of Education


Dr. Charles Fensch, Chair
Deparment of Art
Dr. Carl Jackson, Dean
College of liberal Arts
Mr. Steve Lacy, Assistant Superintendent
Vsleta Independent School District

## Contact Person:

Dr. Jon M. Engelhardt (915) 747-5579

## Vanderlitt Unicuersity

Nashville, Tennessee 37203
Number of Schools/Colieges: 10
Number of Sudents: 9,000
Teacher Education Sudents: 2.54
Gcographic Area Served by
Teacher Education Graduates: Scutheast. National, International

## Mission:

Vanderbilt's mission is to serve as a comprehensive rescarch university. It is an independent, medium-sized miversity offering a wide range of undergraduate and graduate; professional programs through its ten colleges and schools. Admission is sclective. and there is a heaty rescarch emphasis in the programs and activities of the institution. The Liniversity has a faculty of almost 1,400 full-time nembers and enrolls approximately 9.000 undergraduate and postbaccalaureate students from throughout the Southeast, as well as from a number of areas inside and outside the Linited States. George Peabody
 College was an independent institution until it merged with Vanderbilt in 1979 to become Vanderbilt's school of education and human development. Peabody combines a long tradition of teacher eduction with a suong focus on research in education and human development.

## Project 30 Team Members:

Dr. Elizabeth Goldman, Associate Professor Mathematics Education
Dr. Joseph Hamilton, Professor
Landon C. (iarland Professor of Physics
Dr. Wendell Holladay, Professor Physics
Dr. Melvin Joesten, Professor
Chemistry
Dr. Robert Sherwood, Chair
Department of Teaching and L carning and Associate Professor of Education
Dr. Horace Williams, Professor
Mathematics and Computer Science
Contact Person:
Dr. Elizabeth Goldman
(615) 399-8261

Poughkeepsic, New York 12601-6918
Number of Schools/Colleges: 4 divisions
Number of Students: 2300
Teacher Education Sudents: 25 undergraduates
0 graduates
Geographic Area Served by
Teacher Education Graduates: National

## Mission:

Vassar College is a highly selective, four-year liberal arts college that encourages independence. creativits, and collaboration among its faculty. There is no separate major in Education. Sudents who wish to become teathers major in an academic diseipline and then take a series of courses and field experiences in teacher education. Each year the program graduates about 35 students with state certification.

## Project 30 Team Members:



Dr. Marianne H. Begemann, Assistant Professor
Chemistry Department
Dr. Harvey K. Flad, Chairman
Department of (ieography and Geology
Dr. Colton Johnson, Dean
Dean of Sudies
Dr. Thomas McGlinchey, Writing Director Student Support Services
Dr. Thomas F. McHugh, Chair
Dcpartment of Education
Dr. Robert B. Suter, Associate Professor
Biolog: I epartment
Cont. at Person:
Dr. Thomas F. McHugh
(914) 4.37-7360

## Weler State Unicersity

Ogden, L'ah 84408-1204
Number of Schools/Colleges: 7
Number of Students: 13,000
Teacher Education Students: 800 undergraduates 200 graduates
Geographic Area Scrved by
Teacher Education Graduates: Utah

## Mission:

W'eber State College provides learning opportunitics appropriate to a comprehensive institution of higher cducation, welcoming leaners from all regions and nations. The chief mission of the College is to meet the educational needs of tah through voles assigned be the State Board of Regents in the liberal arts and sciences and a rariett of vocations and professions. Primarik committed to quality undergraduate education, the College's selection of degree programs includes some adrance professional preparation.

Students are admitted on the basis of demonsuated competence in skills that assure a reasonable chance of success in both college and career. Curricula emphasize further development of such skills, together with acquisition of knowledge and development of character. Eligibility for degrees requires meeting established standards of competence through outcomes assessment.


Instructional programs are designed to prepare students for immediate emplorment or further study, at the same time equipping them through liberal education for lifeliong learning in a changing world. The process of learning is emphasied, as well as acquisition of knowledge. Organized around traditional disciplines. the College also provides opportunitics for faculty and students to transend disciplinary boundaries. Extensive personal contact between faculty and students creates an entiched learning entironment both in and out of the classroom.

Weber State responds to the changing L'tah enviromment through publie service activities. as well as through conventional and innowative instruction. In order to insure the vitality needed for effective teaching and service, faculty engage in scholarship. rescarch. artistic expression, and other professional pursuits. The College serves as a cultural center for its region and seeks to be a leader in addressing the particular needs of its students. stimulating community economic development. and improving public education.

Project 30 Team Members:
Dr. Helen James, Professor
Deparment of Chemistry
Dr. Richard Jones, Professor and Dean School of Education

Dr. Candadai Seshachari, Professor and Chair Department of English
Dr. John Ulibarri, Director
Federal Programs for the Ogden School District
Dr. Richard Sadler, Dean
School of Social Sciences and
Professor of History

## Contact Person:

Dr. Richard Sadler
(80) 6262623

## Winthrop College

Rock Hill, South Carolina 29733
Number of Schools: 4
Number of Students: 5,388
Teacher Education Sudents: 750 undergraduates 450 graduates
Geographic Arca Served by
Teacher Education Craduates: South Carolina, North Carolina, Georgia and Virginia

## Mission:

The college is strongly committed to supporting the academic excellence which is its goal. This is accomplisted through competitive student admissions; active fos ering of faculty growth and vitality; on-going curricuhum examination and development; and providins: a conducive learning environment through its laboratories, library, studio and performance spaces, computer laboratories, and other facilities. It is understood at Winthrop that excellence, once
 attaned, is not static but is retained only through continting effort and commitment.

## Project 30 Team Members:

Dr. Marsha S. Bollinger, Assistant Professor
Department of Geolog.
Dr. James F. Fouche, Dean
School of Education
Dr. Thomas F. Moore, Chair
Deparment of Chemistry and Physics
Dr. Everett S. Stallings, Associate Professor
Elementary Education

## Contact Persons:

Dr. James Fouche and Dr. Robin Bowers (803) 32.-2169

Mikaukee. Wisconsin 53201
Number of Schools. Colleges: 11
Number of Students: 24.857
Teacher Education Sudenes:
393 undergraduates
345 post-baccalaureate
Geographic Area Served by
Teacher Education Graduates: Milwateer. Racinc. Waukesha, West Alis-West Milwaukee

## Mission:

The primary purpose of the C'niversity of Wisconsin-Miluatake is to provide Wisconsin's targest merropolitan area with a major comprehensive doctoral university which offers a comprehensive araty of university degree programs, a major program of rescarch that meets the standards of academic excellence. and an expert faculty active in public service. Fulfilling this mission requires the active pursuit of the following mutually reinforcing academic goals:

a. To maintain high quality undergraduate and graduate programs and to develop new programs designed to meet the diversity of needs of the metropolitan, state, national, and international student poputations and their communities.
b. To engage in a sustained rescarch effort which will enhance and fulfill the Eniversity: role as a doctoral institution of academic and professional excellence.
c. To atract nighly qualified undergraduate and graduate students who demonstrate the potential for adtanced intllecual development and contributions of leadership and innotation to their communitics.
d. To improve academic and professional programesing at the undergraduate and graduate levels for part-ime, minority, and financially or educationally disaduantaged students.
c. To continue development of high quality doctoral programs in basic disciplines and professiontal areas.
f. To maintain productive relationships with relecant public and pritate sector entities at regional, state, national and international levels.
g. To promote public service efforts to help meet the community, governmemat, and business needs of the state of Wisconsin and its metropolitan area.
h. To mee the present and future continuing education needs of the public in preparation for the social, cultaral, and technokogical challenges of the 2 lst century.
Project 30 Team Members:
Dr. Craig A. Berg, Assistant Professor
School of Pducation
Dr. James R. Coggins, Associate Professor
Biological Sciences
Dr. Richard H. Dittman, Associate Professor
Phusics

Dr. Robert L. Hall, Associate Dean<br>College of Letters and Science<br>Dr. William F. Halloran, Dean<br>College of Letters and Science<br>Dr. William F. Kean, Associate Professor<br>Geo-sciences<br>Dr. Henry S. Kepner, Jr. Professor<br>Curriculum and Instruction<br>Dr. Constance J. Moon, Administrative Program Manager<br>The Center for Math/Science Education Research<br>Dr. Donald B. Neuman, Professor<br>Curriculum and Instruction<br>Dr. Mary Ellen Schaff, Associate Professor<br>Chemistry<br>Dr. Philip L. Smith, Associate Dean<br>School of Education<br>Dr. William L. Walters, Professor<br>Physics<br>Dr. Sam J. Yarger, Dean<br>School of Education<br>Contact Person:<br>Dr. William L. Walters<br>(414) 299-5948115
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Iudex
$17:$

Academic major
$7-8,27-28,52$
Allen, William 52
American Association for Higher Education (AAHE) 119
American Association of Colleges of Teacher Education (AACTE) vii, $8,110,119$
American Conference of Academic Deans (ACAD) vii
American Educational Research Association (AERA) 110
Analogy(ies) v, 28, 31
Art
$38,50,112-113$
Association for
Supervision and
Curriculum
Development (Utah) 51
Association of Teacher Educators (Utah) 51-52
Astronomy 47 sec also: Science(s)
Baron, Jonathan 25
Baruch College 29-30, 54-55, 92
Berg, Linda 67
Bilingual education 48
Biology
18-19, 21, 33, 47 sec also: Science(s)

Blaney, Bob 47
BLOCK (University of Dayton)
110-113
Bridgewater State College 31-32, 91-92
-and Bristol Community
College
91-92
-and Massasoit Community College 91-92
Brooklyn College 32-34
-and Kingsberough Community College 53-54
Brown, Stephen 57
Calculators 61-62, 76 see also: Technology
California State University, Los Angeles (CSLA) 20, 53
Capstone course (s) 30-31, 67-69, 102, 111112
Carnegie Corporation funded activities viii, 110-114, 120
Cell, Gillian 11
Center for Educational Renewal 119
Center for Teacher Education (University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee) 92
Coalition of Essential Schools 117
Cochran-Smith, Marilyn 25


Cognitive psychology 28
Comer School-Based Management model (New Orleans) 44
Computer skills 55
Conversation(s) (Project 30 themes)
vi, $4,6,17,28$
Cooperative Student Teaching (Weber University) 19
CORE (University of Dayton) 110-113
Cornbleth, Catherine 19
Council of Colleges of Arts and Sciences (CCAS) vii
Cox, Bob 48
Cox, Patricia 48
Curriculum Dialogue (Santa Clara University) 104
DiMarino, Emily 54
Diversity
v, 11, 37, 45-46, 103-105, 118
Donaldson, Jeff 107
Economics 34
Education Commission of the States (ECS)
117.1:9

Engaging Students Actively in University
Classrooms (Indiana State University) 5

English
20-21, 27, 103-105
Ethnic Student
Recruitment Committee
(San Diego State
University)
95
Ethnicity v. 38

Ethnography and Education Forum 110
Everybody Counts 79
Far West Regional Laboratory $4(1)$
Fenstermacher, Gary 8
Fine arts
27,53
Fischer, William 37
Florida A\&M University 43
-and Florida State University 43
-and Weber State University 43
Foreign language (s) 27, 29, 103
Forum Series (Millersville University) 5
Fund for the Improvement of Post-Secondary Education (FIPSE) 120
Future Teachers Clubs sec Funure Teachers of America
Future Teachers of America (FTA)
87-88, 90, 95
see also: Teachers of

Tomorrow Club
Gee, James 104
Gender v, $10,33,36,38$
General education
iv, 7, 11, 22, 23, 31, 37. 52, 69. 76. 110-114
Genetic epistemology 28
Geography
38, 52
sce also: Science(s)
Geology
47
sec also: Science(s)
Giroux, Henry 104.112

Global studies $18,39,52$
Gluck, Herman 59
Gonzales, Nancy G1
Goodlad, John vii. 119

Gottling, Cecily 54
Gravett, Maureen 48
Great books sce: Text approath
Green, Katherine 1617
Harris, Judith 47
Health 29. 51 sco also: Science(s)
Heim, Dennis 20
Herreid model 18
Herreid, Clyde
18
172

History
18, 21, 26, 27, 29, 34,
36-38, 52, 88
Holmes Group 29, 117, 119
Hopkins, Grace 50
Horace's Compromise 117
Howard Universitr 60. 105-108
-and Vassar College 43. 106-107

Humanities 29-30, 32-33. 37. 51 , 113-114
Hutchings, Pat 119
Indiana State University $4.5,89-90$
Interdisciplinary major/study 8-9. 27, 29, 49, 82-83
International perspective(s) v, 52, 103-104
International Reading Association (IRA) 110
Joint Committee on Teacher Education (Baruch College) $99-30$
Joint Mathematics Advisory Council (JMAC) (New Mexico) (i)-61

Junior Mathematics Prognostic (JUMP) (Ohio) (0)-61

Kapstein, Detlev 103
Kennedy, Elizabeth 37
Kennedy, Mary
119

KIDLINK (Baruch
College)
54-5
Langer, Peg 93,95
Language arts
$\underline{9} 7,44,48,51$
Larkin, James
96
Learning cycle
64-67
Liberal arts (curriculum)
iv, vii, 5, $7,9(1)-34,67,69$. 119-120
Liberal arts (schools and faculty)
vii, 4, 8-9, 13, 47, 100, 120
Liberal education iv
sec also: Liberal sudies
Liberal studies 29-3I
see also: Liberal education
Licht, Walter 26
Literature
$38,88,109$
Math
sec Mathomatics
Mathematics
v, 14-15, 21, 27-30, 32-
33, 48, 50-53, 57-62, 75-
$85,88,112$
McCall, Cecelia 54
McDiarmid, Bill 119
Meacham, John
37
McIntyre, Peter
14
Memphis State University 70-7.3
Mentor(s)
$48,90-93,96,109$
sece also: Resource teachers
Mentoring Program (St. Mary's University) $90-41$
Metaphor(s)

$$
\because,(2-x, 29,31,57
$$

Metzler, Dick 6]-(i)
Millersville University $5-7.15-17.118$
Minorities in Teaching Council (Bridgewater

- State College) 91
Minority pupils see Minority students
Minority recruitment v, $4,43,87-96,100,105-$ 108
Minority Recruitment Task Force (University of Dayton) 87-89
Minority students
v-ri, 10, 31, 44-45, 48. 87-96. 100, 105 sec also: Minority teachers
Minority teachers r, 49, 91, 95-96, 105. 109
see also: Minority
students
Morey, Ann 95
Multicultural classroom(s) 10-11, 36.106
Multicultural education 35, 37, 43, 106-107
Multicultural literacy :3
Multicultural
perspective(s)
v.104

Murphy, Orville 18

$$
170
$$

Murray, Frank
$6,108,120$

## Music

53, 112-113
A Nation at Risk iii, 11 ?
A Nation Prepared 117
National Advisory Committee vi-vii
National Center for Research on Teacher Learning (Michigan) 118
National Conference(s) (Project 30) vi, 60, 70, 72, 101-102. 105, 120
National Council of Teachers of English (NCTE) 110
National Research Council 79
National Science Foundation (NSF) funded activities (6)-62, 70, 82-85, 120

National Science Teachers Association (NSTA) 63. 72. 76

National Standards Board for Professional Teaching Practice 117
National Universities Continuing Education Association (conference) 71
New Directions (Baruch College)
92
New Teacher Retention Project (San Diego) 21

Non-majority faculty 11
Non-majority students 10-11
Older than average students 39. 94

One Third of a Nation: Priorities for the Year 2000 (conference) 105-106
Orpinela, Bob $46-47$
Panhandle Center for Alternative Teacher Education (PCATE) 43
Pedagogical content knowledge (PCK) iv-w, 5, 9, 15-16. 18, 2022, 28, 64, 66, 79, 83
Pedagogy seminar(s) 15-19, 31
Pembroke State University 3-4, 6, 95-96
Pennsylvania State System Colloquium 7
Petrie, Hugh 19
Phi Delta Kappa 3
Philosophy 34
Philosophy of subject matter 27
Physical education 99
Physics
$\therefore 1+-15,28,+5$ see also: Science(s)
Pluralism 37. 110119

Political science 20. 3.4 .49

Preservice teachers 14, 78
Professional education 7, 23, 32, 111-114
Professional Pathway program (University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee) 92-93
Project 30 Alliance 118, 120
Project 30 Support Tea:n
(Memphis State
University)
71-72
Project EmPOWERment (Indiana State University)
89
Psychology 25
Race
r, 10, 28, 33, 38, 107
Racism
10-11
Re:Learning project 117
Reading
$25,49,55,88,112$
Recruitment
wi
sec also: Minority
recruitment: Teacher
recruitment
The Reform of Teacher
Education for the 21st

- Century (Project 30

Year One Report)
vi. viii. 118

Renaissance Group 119
Representational repertoire
,
Research skills 55
Resource teachers 78-80)

sce also: Mentor(s)
Retention (of teachers)
v. 90-96, 108

San Diego State University 20-22, 95
Santa Clara University 101. 103-105

School-College Partnership conferences (AAHE) 120
Science(s)
14, 27-30, 32-33, 44-48, 51,57, 60, 63-85, 88, 102, 112
Scott, Rick
61
Sexism 10-11
Shulman, Judy 46. 48

Shulman, Lee vii-viii, 5-8, 15, 22, 24. 112
Sizer, Theodore 117
Social science(s) $20,2: 5-27,32-33$
Social studies 26, 29-30, 39, 51, 55, 84. 109. 112

Soder, Roger 119
Solkoff, Norman 52
Soltis, Jonas 7
Southern University at New Orleans
37. 44, 92

St. Mary's University $3+36,90-91,103$
Standards Board
see National Standands Board for Professional Teaching Pactice

State University of New York, Buffalo
18-19, 37-39, 52, 57-58
Stengel, Barbara $7-8$
Studio I, II (Brooklyn
College)
32, 34, 53
Stuessy, Carol
14
Subject matter knowledge 8, 25
see also: Subject matter understanding(s)
Subject matter understanding(s)
iv-i, 21, 27, 29, 36. 118
see also: Subject matter knowledge
SUPER Project (Berkeley) 47-48
-and University of the Pacific

$$
47-48
$$

Task Force on Teaching as
a Profession 117
The Teacher Academy
(Weber State
University)
50-51
Teacher aides 48-50
Teacher Education
Coordinating
Committec (TECC)
(Baruch College)
30
Teacher Education Council (University of Texas at El Paso)
!
Teacher Education
Program (Santa Clara
University)
1(1+14)
Teacher Education

Through Partnership 11
Teacher-research 108-109
Teacher-researcher(s) 108-109
Teachers College Conference 108
Teachers for Our Nation's Schools 119
Teachers for the Future Project (University of Northern Colorado) 22
-Faculty Analysis Teams (FAT) $2-24$
 eachers of Tomorrow ! 9
see also: Future Feachers of America
Teaching and Learning Center (Santa Clara University) 104
Technology 54-55, 71-73, 77, 83-85 sec also: Calculators
Texas A\&I $48-49$
Texas A\&M 13-14
Text approach 27
Thentes (Project 30) iv-vii, 52, 95, 118-119
Tomorrow's Teachers 117
Under-represented groups v, vi, 92, 9\%
-recruiting (see minority recruitment)
Unity in Science (Memphis State University) $71.741 ?$

University of Dayton $59,69,87-89,110-114$
-and public schools 111
University of Delaware 26-29, 120
University of Georgia 78-81
University of Maryland at College Park 67-69, 101-102, 103
University of New Mexico 60-62
-and New Mexico State University 61
-and public schools 60-62
University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill 10-11
University of Northern Colorado 22-24, 118, 120
University of Pennsylvania $25,59,95,108-110,120$
University of Texas at El Paso
8-9
University of the Pacific 31, 44-47, 93, 99-100
-and the College of the Pacific 31, 45
University of Wisconsin, Milwankee 75-78. 99.93
Urban Summer Education program (University of Dayton) 87.88

Vanderbilt University 81-85, 118, 190
Vassar College 43,69,100-101
-and Howard University 43, 106-107

Wagner, Ken 20
Weber State University
$19,50-52,96,190$
-and Florida A\&CM
43
-and Ogden Area History
Teaching Alliance
52
-and Utah Geographic Alliance
5ㄴ
Winthrop College 63-67
Wiseman, Donna
14
The World of Chemistry (Vanderbilt University) 84
Writing
47,55
Year One Report (Project 30)

Sce The Reform of Teacher I:ducation for the 2Ist
Century
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